

QIBA Ultrasound Shear Wave Speed (SWS): System Dependencies Subcommittee

Friday, August 10, 2012; 11 AM CT

Dr. Palmeri's Call Summary

In attendance

Mark Palmeri, MD, PhD (Co-Chair) Andy Milkowski, MS
Keith Wear, PhD (Co-Chair) Kathy Nightingale, PhD
Paul L. Carson, PhD Nicolas Rognin, MSc, PhD
Brian Garra, MD Daniel C. Sullivan, MD
Stephen McAleavey, PhD Ron Tosh, PhD

RSNA

Fiona Miller
Joe Koudelik
Julie Lisiecki

Agenda / Call Summary for QIBA System Dependencies Telecon (2012-08-10)

Moderators: Mark Palmeri & Keith Wear

Items in **blue** indicate notes taken during the call. Items in **green** were on the original agenda, but not discussed due to time limitations.

- Review of last telecon summary
 - Can submitted manufacturer methodology documents be posted for public access to the wiki?
 - qEI - ok [Andy M.]
 - FibroScan - ?
 - SSI - ?
 - Represented systems:
 - FibroScan
 - SSI
 - qEI
 - Others?
 - Philips? - Roy Peterson (hx w/ static elastography); Hua X.; Steve Metz
 - GE? - Kai T.?
 - Toshiba - nothing available yet; may be provided in the future
 - Research?
 - Crawling wave (Parker)
 - SDUV (Chen)
- Literature Database Overview
 - 34 members
 - 189 papers
 - ~75% tagged
 - Minimal Data Mining
 - Proposed Parameters to Mine:
 - System
 - Manufacturer Recommended Procedure
 - Number of repeated measures
 - Mean / median / outlier removal
 - Include software version
 - Patient Population
 - Demographics
 - BMI
 - Age
 - Disease(s)
 - Clinical subcommittee input!!

- Imaging Target / Locations
 - Final Metrics
 - Report native measure (SWS, shear modulus, Young's modulus) relative to disease state
 - Also convert to SWS if known material assumptions
 - Estimated time:
 - Each manuscript: 15 min
 - Total time: 47 hours (HELP!!)
 - Alphabetical parsing by group members
 - No more than 5 papers per member
 - Mark will distribute tasks based on active users on calls and Mendeley, and will extend this to the clinical subcommittee
- Outcomes
 - Establish range of values each system is currently experiencing
 - Evaluate reported precision relative to this range
 - Current systems may not have rigorous criteria for stated precision [ANDY M.]
 - Differences with how Doppler data are presented [BRIAN G. and KATHY N.]
 - Images of quantitative information versus a stated quantitative metric
 - What about choosing a pixel from a quantitative image?
 - Establish criteria for reporting error bars and how the end-user should interpret these error bars
 - Restrict to "stable" environment versus establishing them in the clinical environment, where, for example, probe pressure on the skin is known to be a significant confounder. [ANDY M.]
 - Clinical subcommittee to come up with recommendation about minimizing these clinical confounders
 - Identify confounders that could be reduced / optimized / controlled to reduce this variability
- Identifying most relevant confounding variables
 - Andy Milkowski spreadsheet template
 - Determine first-pass parameters to include
 - How do we resolve parameters that are not ubiquitous between systems
 - Variables that are necessary to change from the manufacturer perspective
 - Populate with "complete" list... then narrow down based on what needs to be modified and then quantify the impact of those restricted parameters
 - Mark will solicit these parameters from the manufacturer contacts
 - Chat notes from Paul
 - Mechanical shear wave generator variables?
 - Plunger/vibrator diameter(s) / ARF excitation geometry
 - Amplitude
 - Frequency
 - Waveform
 - Shape
 - Duration
 - Placement(s)
 - Depth range of analysis
 - Chat notes from Nicolas Rognin (Toshiba)
 - Acoustic pressure at the focus point (e.g., $I_{sppa0.3}$ / $I_{spta0.3}$)
 - System-provided ranges for these parameters
 - Populate data using simulated and experimental phantom data
 - Phantom subcommittee addressing the experimental arm of this effort
 - Simulation data for ARFI imaging can / will be made available; should be available for other systems?

- Elastic simulations are “easy” to modulate parameters
- VE models are material-model dependent (e.g., Voigt, 3-parameter, etc.)
 - How can this space be constrained?
 - Are all of the elastic parameters also tested in the VE space?
- We ran out of time to discuss this in depth, but got the ball rolling on thinking about how to do this in a timely manner since there will be a lag on phantoms being available for experimental analysis.
 - Who performs the analysis for each system in simulation?
- Outcomes
 - Prioritized list of confounding system-dependent variables
 - Focus efforts of each system to reduce these confounders to standardize a reported value

Next steps:

- Group system representatives to send database entries to Dr. Palmeri mark.palmeri@duke.edu or Dr. Wear Keith.Wear@fda.hhs.gov, and address whether or not wiki posting is permissible.
- Volunteers willing to assist with tagging to contact mark.palmeri@duke.edu

Next calls with moderators:

- QIBA US SWS Technical Committee - **Friday, August 24, 2012 at 11:00 AM CT** (Dr. Hall)
- Phantom Subcommittee - **Monday, August 27, 2012 at 1:00 PM CT** (Drs. Hall and Garra)
- System Dependencies Subcommittee - **Friday, August 31, 2012 at 11:00 AM CT** (Dr. Wear)
- Clinical Applications Subcommittee - **Monday, September 10, 2012 at 1:00 PM CT** (Mr. Cohen-Bacrie, proposed moderator)

RSNA Staff attempt to identify and capture all committee members participating on WebEx calls. However, if multiple callers join simultaneously or call in without logging on to the WebEx, identification is not possible. Call participants are welcome to contact RSNA staff at QIBA@RSNA.org if their attendance is not reflected on the call summaries. [QIBA wiki](#)