# QIBA FDG-PET/CT Digital Reference Object (DRO) - Subcommittee Update WebEx March 27, 2009 <br> 2-3 PM CDT <br> Call Summary 
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Michael E. Casey, PhD
Dennis Nelson, PhD
John G. Wolodzko, PhD

Brian Zimmerman, PhD

RSNA
Joe Koudelik

## General Discussion

Please note that call duration was 20 min . This is our target duration for future calls.
The call summary from March 13, 2009 was approved without any changes.
Reviewed four manners in which to design a DRO

1. As a de novo DICOM image stack
2. By the reconstruction of a stored synthetic raw data object (i.e. sinogram)
3. By the reconstruction of a stored measured object (i.e. calibration phantom)
4. Some approximation between version 1 and 3

General comments

- One DRO for each scanner vendor - if vendors agree
- Can vendors create their own DRO based on our needs/parameters?
- Design the DRO to contain objects to test maximum SUV values from ROI measurements
- This could also support work of ROI Technical subcommittee
- Suitable test object
- Single FOV will not be sufficient to test multiple FOV 'stitching' done by scanners
- NEMA IQ phantom would need a minimum 2 bed FOV so may be a good choice
- Could be modified for max SUV testing as noted above

Next Steps - Basis of next call (April 17 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ ):

- Set of agreed upon parameters needed for quantitation for clinical trails - feedback from other FDG-PET/CT Subcommittees, (Covariates, Computation, QA/QC, Version tracking) and others needed
- Create the dataset ourselves and provide to vendors to include in DICOM format
- Produce 'data brick' of image voxels
- Provide list of parameters we believe should be included as discussed above
- Vendors then figure out where this is to go on their DICOM headers
- Priority of next call will be to talk with vendor reps from scanner manufacturers
- Data brick of test object and list of DICOM fields will be provided by this Subcommittee to vendors

