

2

3 Profile: DCE MRI Quantification

- 4 Version 1.0
- 5 December 13, 2011

6

8	Table of Contents	
9	I. Executive Summary	3
10	II. Clinical Context and Claims	3
11	Claim:	4
12	III. Profile Details	4
13	1. Subject Handling	4
14	2. Imaging Procedure	8
15	3. Image Post-processing	12
16	4. Parametric image formation	12
17	5. Parametric image analysis	15
18	6. Archival and Distribution of Data	
19	7. Quality Control	
20	8. Imaging-associated Risks and Risk Management	24
21	IV. Compliance	24
22	Acquisition Scanner	24
23	Contrast Inject Device	25
24	Software Analysis	25
25	Performing Site	25
26	References	27
27	Appendices	
28	Appendix A: Acknowledgements and Attributions	
29	Appendix B: Conventions and Definitions	
30	Appendix C: Spreadsheet on reproducibility data	
31	Appendix D: Model-specific Instructions and Parameters	
2 7		

I. Executive Summary

35 The RSNA QIBA Dynamic Contrast Enhanced Magnetic Resonance Imaging (DCE-MRI) Technical Committee 36 is composed of scientists representing the imaging device manufacturers, image analysis laboratories, 37 biopharmaceutical industry, academia, government research organizations, and professional societies, 38 among others. All work is classified as pre-competitive. The goal of the DCE-MRI committee is to define basic standards for DCE-MRI measurements and quality control that enable consistent, reliable and fit-for-39 purpose quantitative transfer constant (K^{trans})^[1] and blood normalized initial area under the gadolinium 40 concentration curve (IAUGC_{BN})^[2] results across imaging platforms (at 1.5 tesla (1.5 T)), clinical sites, and 41 time. 42

43

This effort is motivated by the emergence of DCE-MRI as a method with potential to provide predictive, 44 prognostic and/or pharmacodynamic response biomarkers for cancer^[3-11]. Remarkably, the results 45 demonstrating this potential have been obtained despite considerable variation in the methods used for 46 47 acquisition and analysis of the DCE-MRI data. This suggests there are substantial physiological differences (i.e., benign vs. malignant or non-responsive vs. responsive tumors) underlying these observations. Thus, 48 49 there appears to be a promising future for use of DCE-MRI for both clinical research and in routine clinical 50 practice. However, in order to fulfill this promise it is essential that common quantitative endpoints are 51 used and that results are independent of imaging platforms, clinical sites, and time.

52

For the application of DCE-MRI in the development of anti-angiogenic and anti-vascular therapies, there is a
consensus ^[12] on which quantitative endpoints should be employed: K^{trans} and IAUGC_{BN}. Hence, the initial
focus of the DCE-MRI committee is on these biomarkers. Although there have been general
recommendations on how to standardize DCE-MRI methodology^[12, 13], there are no guidelines sufficient to
ensure consistent, reliable and fit-for-purpose quantitative DCE-MRI results across imaging platforms,
clinical sites, and time. Hence, in this profile, basic standards for site and scanner qualification, subject

59 preparation, contrast agent administration, imaging procedure, image post-processing, image analysis,

60 image interpretation, data archival and quality control are defined to provide that guidance.

61 Summary of Clinical Trial Usage

This technique offers a robust, reproducible measure of microvascular parameters associated with human
 cancers based on kinetic modeling of dynamic MRI data sets. The rigor and details surrounding these data
 are described throughout the text of this document in various sub-sections.

65 II. Clinical Context and Claims

66 One application of DCE-MRI where considerable effort has been focused on quantitative endpoints is its use

to provide pharmacodynamic biomarkers for the development of novel therapeutic (in specific anti angiogenic) agents targeting the tumor blood supply ^[4, 9, 14-26]. A growing understanding of the underlying

69 molecular pathways active in cancer has led to the development of novel therapies targeting VEGFR, EGFR-

70 tk, PI3K, mTOR, Akt and other pathways. Unlike the conventional cytotoxic chemotherapeutic agents, many

71 of these molecularly-targeted agents are cytostatic, causing inhibition of tumor growth rather than tumor

72 regression. One example is anti-angiogenesis agents, which are presumed to act through altering tumor

- vasculature and reducing tumor blood flow and/or permeability. In this context, conventional endpoints,
- 74 like tumor shrinkage as applied at e.g. Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors (RECIST), may not be

- 75 the most effective means to measure therapeutic responses. Other functional MR imaging acquisition and
- 76 analysis applications (e.g. BOLD, R₂* perfusion) yield several important candidate imaging biomarkers that
- can predict and monitor targeted treatment response and can document pharmacodynamic response.
- 78 However, these are not within the scope of this document. DCE-MRI represents an MRI-based method to
- 79 assess the tumor microvascular environment by tracking the kinetics of a low-molecular weight contrast
- 80 agent intravenously administered to patients.
- 81 The emerging importance of angiogenesis as a cancer therapy target makes assays of vascularity important
- 82 to clinical research and future clinical practice related to targeted cancer therapy. There are multiple
- 83 literature reports of the application of DCE-MRI to predict and detect changes associated with angiogenesis
- 84 targeted therapy ^[4, 9, 15, 17, 19, 20, 24, 25]. Further, there is interest in the application of quantitative DCE-MRI to
- 85 characterize enhancing lesions as malignant in several organ systems, including breast and prostate.
- 86 In this context, K^{trans} and IAUGC_{BN} can provide evidence of the desired physiologic impact of these agents in
- 87 Phase 1 clinical trials. For some agents, e.g., VEGFR-targeted agents, evidence of substantially reduced K^{trans}
- and IAUGC_{BN} is necessary, but not sufficient, for a significant reduction in tumor size [16, 17]. For other
- agents, e.g., vascular-targeted agents, evidence of a substantial vascular effect may not be associated with
 a reduction in tumor size ^[9], but is still essential for effective combination with other anti-cancer agents. In
- 91 either case, lack of a substantial vascular effect indicates a more potent agent is needed, while evidence for
- 92 a substantial vascular effect indicates further development is appropriate.

93 Utilities and Endpoints for Clinical Trials

- 94 DCE-MRI is currently not the standard of care in many centers conducting clinical trials in oncology. Since
- 95 these centers often do not have expertise in DCE-MRI and more than one center is typically involved,
- 96 therefore effort and precision are required to ensure consistent, reliable and fit-for-purpose quantitative
- 97 DCE-MRI results. Hence, the guidelines provided in this profile will ensure that not only are the relative
- 98 changes induced by treatment are informative, but that absolute changes can be compared across these 99 studies.

100 **Claim:**

- 101 Quantitative microvascular properties, specifically transfer constant (K^{trans}) and blood normalized initial
- area under the gadolinium concentration curve (IAUGC_{BN}), can be measured from DCE-MRI data obtained at 1.5T using low molecular weight extracellular gadolinium-based contrast agents with a 20% within-
- 104 subject coefficient of variation for solid tumors at least 2 cm in diameter.*
- 105
- Profile specified for use with: patients with malignancy, for the following indicated biology: primary or
 metastatic, and to serve the following purpose: therapeutic response.
- 108
- ^{*} a 20% within-subject coefficient of variation is based on a conservative estimate from the peer-reviewed literature. In general, this suggests that a change of approximately 40% is required in a single subject to be
- 111 considered significant.

112 III. Profile Details

113 **1. Subject Handling**

114 **1.1 Subject Scheduling**

118

121

127

131

138

144

115 Subject Selection Criteria related to Imaging

- Local policies for contraindications for absolute MRI safety should be followed; definition of
 relative and/or absolute contraindications to MRI are not within the scope of this document.
- Lesions that are selected for DCE-MRI analysis should not be within 10 cm of metal
 prostheses, e.g., spinal hardware, hip prostheses, metallic surgical staples, etc.
- Patient selection criteria may be guided by the Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group (ECOG)
 status (See Appendix B) for full description of ECOG performance status). In specific,
 patients meeting ECOG status >= 2 will not be eligible for participation in the study because,
 historically, this patient profile has shown poor ability to meet the demands of the
 examination.
- The QIBA DCE-MRI committee acknowledges that there are potential and relative
 contraindications to MRI in patients suffering from claustrophobia. Methods for minimizing
 anxiety and/or discomfort are at the discretion of the physician caring for the patient.
- The QIBA DCE-MRI committee acknowledges that there are potential risks associated with the use of gadolinium-based contrast media. The default recommendations for intravenous contrast that follow assume there are no known contraindications in a particular patient other than the possibility of an allergic reaction to the gadolinium contrast agent. The committee assumes that local standards for good clinical practices (GCP) will be substituted for the default in cases where there are known risks.
- Recent FDA guidelines (<u>http://www.fda.gov/DrugSafety/ucm223966.htm#aprooved</u>),
 outline the safety concerns associated with using gadolinium based contrast agents in
 patients with impaired renal function. The DCE-MRI committee echoes these
 recommendations and advises reference to these standards when choosing patients in order
 to determine eligibility for entry into a DCE-MRI clinical trial.
- Although the vascular half-life of the gadolinium contrast agents addressed by the Profile is approximately 90 min, it is strongly recommended that patients should not have received ANY gadolinium based contrast agent within 24 hrs before a DCE-MRI procedure as some residual contrast agent may remain in the lesion(s) of interest and the impact of such residual contrast agent on the within-patient coefficient of variation is unknown.
- 150

151 **1.1.1.** Timing of Imaging Tests within the Treatment Calendar

- 152 The DCE-MRI Technical Committee believes that all baseline evaluations should ideally be within 14 days.
- 153 Otherwise the resulting functional tumor characterization may not reflect the status of the tumor prior to
- 154 initiation of therapy. The interval between follow up scans within patients may be determined by current
- standards for GCP or the rationale driving a clinical trial of a new treatment

156 **1.1.2.** Timing Relative to confounding Activities (to minimize "impact")

157 The presence of susceptibility artifacts and, possibly, mass-effect from hemorrhage and/or air related to

recent biopsy may potentially affect the quantitative DCE-MRI parameters. If practical, it is recommended

- that DCE-MRI examinations should not be performed within 14 days after biopsy of lesions of interest. If
- 160 this amount of delay is impractical, excluding hemorrhagic portions of lesions from the image analysis is
- 161 strongly recommended.

162 **1.2. Subject Preparation**

163 There are no specific patient preparation procedures for the MRI scans described in this protocol. There 164 are specifications for other procedures that might be acquired contemporaneously, such as requirements 165 for fasting prior to FDG PET scans or the administration of oral contrast for abdominal CT. Those timing 166 procedures may be followed as indicated without adverse impact on these guidelines

167 **1.2.1.** *Prior to Arrival*

168 The local standard of care for acquiring MRI scans may be followed. For example, patients may be advised 169 to wear comfortable clothing, leave jewelry at home, etc.

170 **1.2.2. Upon Arrival**

171 Staff shall prepare the patient according to the local standard of care, (including e.g. removal of all metal

objects and electronic devices). Patients should be comfortably positioned, in appropriate attire to

173 minimize patient motion and stress (which might affect the imaging results) and any unnecessary patient 174 discomfort

174 discomfort.

175 **1.2.3 Preparation for Exam**

176 Beyond a clear, simple language description of the image acquisition procedure, no exam preparation is 177 specified beyond the local standard of care for MRI with contrast.

178 **1.3. Imaging-related Substance Preparation and Administration**

179 **1.3.1.** Substance Description and Purpose

180 The literature, which supports the claim, is based on the utilization of an extracellular gadolinium based 181 contrast agent. Although it is known that there is a small degree of protein binding associated with many

contrast agent. Although it is known that there is a small degree of protein binding associated with many commercially available extracellular gadolinium contrast agents, ^[27], these are comparable amongst the

183 various vendors. Contrast agents with fundamentally different degrees of protein binding, (e.g.,

184 Gadobenate and Gadofosveset) are not addressed by this profile. The committee therefore recommends

185 using a classical extracellular based gadolinium based contrast agent.

186 **1.3.2.** Dose Calculation and/or Schedule

187 Total contrast agent dose depending on body weight and renal function:

Before DCE-MRI the patient's renal creatinine clearance should be obtained, and estimated
 glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) determined through well-known and adopted formulas. ^[28]

• Routine dose of the Gadolinium contrast agent should be 0.1 mmol/kg.

The decision whether to administer total contrast dosage will be based on GCP and the
 policies adopted at the institution performing the examination. However, the same body weight adapted
 contrast agent concentration should be used for repeat studies, and in case of an acute renal insufficiency
 and/or failure at follow-up a later imaging time point or patient exclusion should be discussed.

196 **1.3.3.** Timing, Subject Activity Level, and Factors Relevant to Initiation of Image Data Acquisition

197 Contrast injection should occur after the following imaging sequences have been acquired (See Section 6):

- 198 Anatomic imaging for localizing tumors
- Variable flip angle imaging for native tissue (pre-gadolinium injection) T₁ map calculation

200 Contrast injection should occur after at least 5 baseline acquisitions from the imaging volume have been201 acquired.

202 **1.3.4.** Administration Route

It has been demonstrated in studies of CT arteriography, contrast-enhanced CT, and contrast-enhanced MR
 arteriography that left arm injections lead to reflux of contrast agent into venous structures^[29-31] It stands

to reason that inconsistencies in the arm that is injected could, therefore, lead to variability in the shape of

206 the VIF, further exaggerating the potential inaccuracy of an assumed input function. Therefore, it is

207 recommended that each subject should have an intravenous catheter (ideally no smaller than 20 gauge

208 (0.8mm inner diameter)), which should be ideally placed in the right antecubital fossa. Injection through a

- 209 port-a-catheter or permanent indwelling catheter is not recommended. What is critical is that the same
- 210 injection site and catheter size be used for repeat studies, if at all possible.

211 **1.3.5.** *Rate, Delay and Related Parameters / Apparatus*

- Contrast agent and normal saline flush should be administered in a dynamic fashion with an MR-compatiblepower injector.
- At baseline and at each subsequent time-point in any longitudinal study, the same dose of contrast (in mmol/kg) and rate of contrast administration should be performed.
- The rate of administration should be rapid enough to ensure adequate first-pass bolus arterial
 concentration of the contrast agent (generally 2-4 ml/sec)
- The contrast agent should be flushed with 20 to 30 ml of normal saline, which should be injected at the
 same rate as the contrast agent.

220 **1.3.6.** Required Visualization / Monitoring, if any

No particular visualization or monitoring is specified beyond the local standard of care for MRI with contrast.

- 223
- 224
- 225

226 **2. Imaging Procedure**

This section describes the imaging protocols and procedure for conducting a DCE-MRI exam. Suitable localizer (scout) images must be collected at the start of exam and used to confirm correct coil placement as well as selection of appropriate region to image. This will be followed by routine non-contrast agentenhanced sequences to delineate the number, location, and limits of tumor extension. Exact protocols for these imaging sequences may be determined by the local imaging norms, e.g:

	U	
232		• Localizer
233		 Anatomic sequences T₁, T₂ weighted imaging
234		• Variable Flip angle (VFA) T_1 weighted imaging (T_1 mapping)
235		 3D Gradient echo volumetric imaging (dynamic imaging)
236		 Anatomic, post-contrast T₁ weighted sequences
237	2.1. Require	d Characteristics of Resulting Data
238 239 240		I portion of the exam will consist of two components, both acquired using the same 3D fast ent recalled echo sequence, or equivalent, and scan locations:
241	(a) A variable	e flip angle (VFA) series, for pre-contrast agent native tissue T $_1$ mapping.
242	•	Ensure TR and TE values stay constant for all flip angles,
243	•	Ensure that the machine gain settings are not reset automatically (using automated pre-scan
244		features) between each flip angle acquisition so that system gain settings are identical for
245		each flip angle acquisition.
246	•	Flip angles: The range of numbers of flip angles supported in the literature varies from 2-7.
247	•	Number of signal averages (NSA or NEX) \geq 2.
248	•	Fat saturation if used may alter baseline T ₁ values and therefore should be consistently used
249		throughout the examination.
250	•	The pulse sequence and coils used for T_1 calculation should be the same used for the DCE-
251		MRI Protocol (see 2.1 b).
252		Drotosol, Dulas Convensor
253		Protocol: Pulse Sequence:
254		Pulse Sequence: 3D fast spoiled gradient recalled echo or equivalent
255		Coils: Transmit: Body coil; Receive: Body coil or phased array receive coil dependent on
256		which body part is being studied, e.g., torso (pelvic applications), breast coil (breast
257		applications)
258	•	Parallel imaging options are not recommended due to vendor-specific implementations of
259		such techniques and the fact that the effects of such techniques on within-patient
260		coefficients of variation in Ktrans and IAUGC $_{BN}$ have not been evaluated.
261	•	No magnetization preparation schemes are specifically addressed by this Profile, including
262		the use of saturation pulses for fat suppression. The use of such pulses may impact the
263		within-subject coefficient of variation and should be investigated prior to use.
264	•	Imaging plane - The acquisition plane should include the lesion of interest and a feeding
265		vessel with in-plane flow in order to capture a vascular input function (VIF). In addition, the

choice of the acquisition plane should be made, where possible, to mitigate the effects of

lesion motion, e.g., coronal-oblique plane for a liver lesion.

Frequency encoding direction: The frequency encoding direction should be adjusted so as to
 minimize motion artifact. This decision will be based on the location of the tumor being
 interrogated and its relationship to moving structures.

271

267

Parameter	Compliance Levels (for DCE acquisitions)				
	Acceptable	2.0-2.5ms			
TE	Target	1.5-2.0ms			
	Ideal	<1.5ms			
	Acceptable	5-7ms			
TR	Target	3-5ms			
	Ideal	< 3ms			

*Note: The table above specifically addresses the DCE-MRI acquisition. The choices of TE and TR might be
modified slightly for the pre-gadolinium administration R1 measurements. For example, the TR may be
lengthened for more optimal R1 quantification.

275 276

277

286 287

288 289

290

291 292

293

294

- **Temporal resolution:** The temporal resolution should be less than 10 sec.
- 278 Flip angles: Flip angles ranging from 25-35 degrees are recommended in order to minimize 279 saturation effects. Smaller flip angles will lead to potential saturation of the signal intensity 280 vs. gadolinium concentration, particularly in vessels. It should be noted that SAR limits may 281 affect the maximum allowable flip angle and, of course, such limits may be affected by the 282 patient's weight and, for some scanners, weight and height. The technologist should use the maximal allowed flip angle when SAR limitations occur. In addition, the number of imaging 283 sections may be reduced, if practical, to help mitigate the SAR limitations while maintaining 284 285 a flip angle in the desired range stated above.
 - **Receiver Bandwidth**: Greater or equal to ±31.25 kHz (or ~250 Hz/pixel)
 - Field of View (FOV) and Partial Fourier ("fractional echo" and/or reduced phase-encoding FOV) as needed to meet temporal resolution requirements
 - **Number of Slices:** Acceptable: ≥10 prior to zero fill. Ideal: as many as possible while maintaining ideal temporal resolution.
- 295 Slice thickness: *Ideal*: <5 mm, *Target*: 5.1-6 mm, *Acceptable*: 6.1-8 mm
- Matrix: 256 x 160 (before applying rectangular FOV) in order to meet 1-2mm in-plane

298 spatial resolution

- 300 Number of acquisitions (phases): Sufficient to allow acquisition of at least 5 minutes of post 301 injection data plus at least 5 phases acquired before contrast agent injection (baseline 302 images).
- 304 Digitized bit depth: The maximum dynamic range should be utilized, e.g., "extended dynamic range" or equivalent. 305

306 2.1.1. Data Content

307 All imaging data should be stored in DICOM format.

308 2.1.2. Data Structure

- 309 All variable flip angle (VFA) data should be clearly labeled as individual series, one per flip angle, or
- 310 contained in a single series with the data order clearly defined.
- 311

299

303

312 All DCE-MRI data should be contained in a single series.

313 2.1.3. Data Quality

314 A quality review, confirming that all imaging parameters were correct, data structure is correct, etc., before the data are submitted for analysis. 315

316 2.2. Imaging Data Acquisition

317 2.2.1. Subject Positioning

318 (a) Patient and coil positioning:

319

320 When the general location of the target tumor(s) is known prior to DCE-MRI, for example glioma or 321 local breast cancer evaluation, the patient set up for the MRI should be based on standard operating 322 procedures for patient positioning and coil placement for clinical MRI of that body part taking into account

- 323 the total scan time (see below).
- 324

325 When the subject under investigation may have uncertain tumor location(s), as is common in the setting of patients undergoing therapy for metastatic disease, it will often be necessary for the DCE-MRI 326 327 study to be planned with reference to the most recent pre-DCE-MRI imaging (often a CT study). From this 328 study, tumor burden and location should be assessed. Optimally, review of actual imaging by a radiologist 329 involved in the DCE-MRI study planning should be made. At times, if such images are not available for direct 330 review, review of imaging reports (CT, PET) detailing extent of disease is mandatory, both to confirm 331 eligibility (presence of at least one "imageable" target lesion) and to identify the preferred anatomic 332 regions for DCE-MRI (chest, abdomen, pelvis, extremity). Review of prior diagnostic imaging may also be 333 helpful to confirm cystic or necrotic nature of certain lesions, assessments which may be challenging at the time of DCE-MRI planning based solely on T₁- and/or T₂-weighted image sets. When multiple potential 334

target lesions are available, the location of the most suitable lesion(s) should be noted. The most suitable
lesion will depend on size, location relative to areas of pulsatile or respiratory artifacts, and presence or
absence of necrosis or cystic areas.

- 338
- DCE-MRI subject should be placed appropriately in the scanner in order to best image the lesion of
 interest (e.g. supine for head/neck/thorax/abdomen/pelvis and prone within a breast coil for breast
 studies).
- 342

343 When patient condition allows, placement of the arms over the head may avoid undesirable wrap • 344 artifact for temporally optimized 3D spoiled gradient echo sequences used for chest and abdomen lesions. 345 However, these positions often cannot be sustained by patients without excessive discomfort. In such 346 cases, arms placed anteriorly over the chest or at the sides may be preferable. For larger patients, side-347 down arm positioning may require adjustment of the DCE-MRI imaging FOV to avoid undesirable wrap 348 artifact. Appropriate coil placement per area of examination (head, neck, breast, extremity) is then done. 349 For lesions in the chest, abdomen, or pelvis, a torso array coil is then placed in the area of target lesion(s). 350 Ideally, both anterior and posterior coils are centered over the expected target lesion location.

351

352 Tumor size and location on longitudinal studies should be considered in the design of an analysis ٠ 353 scheme. Recall, that the claims of this profile are only applicable to lesions greater than or equal to 2cm. If 354 the lesion is large in proportion to the volume imaged by DCE-MRI, precautions should be taken to 355 maximize the possibility that the same portion of the lesion will be imaged on longitudinal studies. In 356 general, this requires careful scan location set up on follow-up studies in order to match the same anatomic 357 positions imaged in target organs on earlier studies (e.g. by saving of the planning screen shot). However, 358 because of differences in patient angulation on follow-up studies the same anatomic locations may not be 359 imaged on each study. In this case, an analysis scheme that discards image data from locations that are not 360 included in the imaged volume (after end slice elimination) of all relevant studies is favored. This can be 361 accomplished by registration of images obtained from the dynamic sequences of all studies (for example, 362 images obtained by averaging all dynamic images obtained at the same location) to high-resolution 363 anatomic images obtained (for example) at the initial time point.

364

Tumors that are predominantly solid without significant necrosis or cystic characteristics would be
 considered the ideal choice of tumor for analysis. Tumors with extensive hemorrhage, or completely cystic
 or necrotic lesions are considered non-ideal and should be excluded from consideration.

368

Tumor locations should be chosen to minimize the effects of excessive respiratory or pulsatile
 motion. Ideally, these would include the soft tissues of the extremities, posterior chest wall,
 retroperitoneum and abdomen. Although areas with some respiratory motion (e.g. kidneys, adrenal
 glands, retroperitoneum, lateral chest wall, pancreas, lung apices, neck) are considered acceptable, lesions
 within the hila, pericardium and lateral segment of the left lobe of the liver are not ideal because of their
 significant compromise secondary to respiratory motion.

375 **2.2.2.** Instructions to Subject During Acquisition

376 The patient will be instructed to relax and perform slow, steady breathing during the examination.

377 **2.2.3.** *Timing/Triggers*

- 378 All examinations will be performed in slow free breathing state. Timing parameters for the bolus injection
- of contrast agent will occur after the acquisition of no less than 5 baseline volume scans.

380 2.2.4. Model-specific Parameters

Appendix D lists acquisition parameter values for specific models/versions that can be expected to produce
 data meeting the requirements of Section 7.1.

383 **2.3. Imaging Data Reconstruction**

All imaging data reconstruction will be performed per vendor specification and will involve Fourier
 transformation of Cartesian data. No user-selected smoothing or other post-processing will be performed
 so as to insure the integrity of the data for image analysis.

387 2.3.1. Platform-specific Instructions

Appendix D lists reconstruction parameter values for specific models/versions that can be expected to produce data meeting the requirements of Section 7.2.

390 **3. Image Post-processing**

- 391 There are no specific image post-processing requirements in this profile. No user-selected post-processing
- 392 filters or image normalization methods should be used prior to data analysis as described in the next steps.
- 393 If phased-array receiver coils are used, image combination and reconstruction should be according to 394 standard manufacturer algorithms.

395 **4. Parametric image formation**

- 396 Analysis of DCE-MRI data is carried out in a series of distinct steps:
- 397
- Generate a native tissue T₁ map using the VFA data.
- When required, apply time-series motion correction to the dynamic data.
- Convert DCE-MRI signal intensity data, SI(t), to gadolinium concentration ([Gd](t)).
- 401 Calculate a vascular input function.
- Identify the region or regions of interest in the dynamic data.
- 403 Calculate the DCE-MRI imaging biomarker parameters, K^{trans} and IAUGC_{BN}.
- 404 Each of these steps is addressed in detail below.

405 **4.1. Input Data to Be Used**

406 Processed magnitude images will be utilized for image analysis for input into the steps described in the407 following sections

408 **4.2. Methods to Be Used**

409 (a) Generate a T₁ Map

- The intent of this step is to provide a complete map of pre-contrast T₁ values for the imaged slab. These
- 411 values will then be used in the signal formation model based conversion of changes in signal intensity to

- gadolinium concentration. The slice locations, orientation and resolution of these images should be
 prescribed identically to the dynamic series, and this series should be acquired immediately prior to the
 dynamic series. The output of this step is an image of T₁ values which can be co-registered to the dynamic
 series and used in subsequent calculations. The T₁ values at each voxel location are calculated as follows
 [1]:
 1. Create a vector x containing the signal intensity at each flip angle divided by the tangent of the
 flip angle.
- 419 2. Create a vector y containing the signal intensity at each flip angle divided by the sine of the flip420 angle.
- 421 3. For the n acquired flip angles create a set of points (x0,y0)... (xn,yn).
- 422 4. Fit a line with slope s to the set of points defined in Step 3.
- 423 5. T₁ = -TR/In(s). 424
- The use of non-linear curve fitting methods (for example, simplex or Levenberg-Marquard techniques) to extract T_1 from the signal intensities theoretically may be more robust to noise then the linearized solution presented above. Non-linear techniques may be used if they are validated using test images to perform no worse then the solution above in the expected range of T_1 , equilibrium magnetization and noise of tumors and vessels to be imaged.
- 430

431 (b) Apply Motion Correction to the Dynamic Data

432

433 The intent of this step is to correct for patient motion that occurs between acquired phases of the dynamic 434 data due to respiration, swallowing, and other involuntary movements. This step is not intended to correct ghosting artifacts that can occur along the phase encoding direction within a particular image due to 435 436 patient motion during acquisition. These artifacts are more or less intractable unless the motion is regular 437 and easily modeled, and are best addressed by adjusting the phase/frequency encoding scheme to 438 minimize their impact on structures of interest. In general, simple rigid shift or affine transform based 439 registration methods will not be adequate for this step, due to the fact that the movement in question is 440 typically limited to specific regions within the image – for example, the liver in a coronal scan of the 441 abdomen may move substantially with respiration while the bulk of the body remains relatively motionless. Fully deformable registration methods based on optical flow may provide good results in some cases ^[32, 33]. 442 443 However, these methods will frequently fail for the phases immediately surrounding the contrast injection. 444 Semi-automated registration in which a user identifies the target tumor and only information drawn from that region is used to generate phase to phase shifts provides an alternative approach. This allows rigid 445 shift methods using mutual information ^[34], which tend to be more robust than optical flow methods, to be 446 employed. Finally, registration may be carried out manually or using simple shift registration techniques ^[21]. 447 Data corrupted with motion must be either corrected prior to analysis or discarded for subsequent 448 449 pharmacokinetic analysis.

- 450
- 451

(c.) Convert SI(t) in the Dynamic Data to [Gd](t)

452

The intent of this step is to convert the arbitrary signal intensity units in the dynamic data into units of gadolinium concentration. This step should be applied after the regions of interest for analysis have been defined, but prior to the calculation of vascular parameters. Two methods for accomplishing this are defined below.

457 Method A: Conversion Using a Signal Formation Model Gadolinium concentration at each image pixel is

458 given by (eq 1):

$$C(t) = \left(\frac{1}{T_1(t)} - \frac{1}{T_{10}}\right) / R_{Gd}$$
 Eq. 1

459

Here T₁₀ is the pre-contrast T₁ at that pixel, obtained as described above, and R_{Gd} is the relaxivity of Gd
(obtained from contrast agent manufacturer's specifications).
T₁(t) can be derived from the SPGR imaging equation (neglecting T₂* effects, assuming T2*>>>TE) and is
given by the following expressions (eqs 2-4): Let
$$E_{10} = \exp(-TR/T_{10})$$
 Eq. 2
 $B = \frac{1 - E_{10}}{1 - E_{10}}$ Eq. 3

 $B = \frac{1 - \cos \alpha * E_{10}}{1 - \cos \alpha * SI(t) / SI(0)}$

467

where α is the flip angle, TR is the repetition time, and SI(t) and SI(0) are the signal intensities at time t and
 pre-contrast baseline respectively in the DCE-MRI sequence (eq 5). Then,

Eq. 4

470
$$\frac{1}{T_1(t)} = \frac{-1}{TR} * \ln \left[\frac{1-A}{1-\cos\alpha * A} \right] \text{Eq. 5}$$

471

472 Method B: Conversion Using a Look-Up Table

473

474 This method is motivated by the concern that inaccuracies in T_1 mapping and/or co-registration of initial T_1 values to the dynamic data may introduce excessive variability into the final calculated parameters. If this 475 476 method is used, it is not necessary to acquire the T_1 mapping data described above. This method assumes a 477 high degree of response uniformity, and so may be limited in cases where phased array coils are used. In 478 general it is recommended to use the inherent body coil for both transmit and receive when using this 479 method. It should also be noted that the use of this method will introduce a uniform bias in the estimation 480 of quantitative parameters which will impact absolute measurements, but will not affect quantification of 481 change, for example from one exam to another. This method has been shown to yield better test-retest reproducibility than T₁-based quantification method. ^[14, 35] 482

483

484 This method requires that a phantom containing a range of concentrations of gadolinium and a range of baseline T₁ values (generally obtained via different concentrations of copper sulfate or a similar compound) 485 is scanned using the dynamic protocol on each scanner that will be used for the study. Data from these 486 phantoms can then be used to construct a look-up table relating baseline T₁, signal delta, and gadolinium 487 488 concentration. In order to create this look-up table, a linear correlation is performed between the 489 difference of signal intensity between that in a phantom concentration sample and a sample with no 490 gadolinium concentration (used as x-axis values) and the nominal R_1 (1/ T_1) of the concentration sample. 491 The resulting slope m then be used to estimate Gd concentration C using the equation C = m * [SI(t) - SI(0)], 492 where SI(t) is the signal intensity in the dynamic data for a given time point t, and SI(0) is the signal intensity 493 in the same location at baseline (before contrast agent injection).

494

495 (d) Calculate a Vascular input Function (VIF)

The intent of this step is to generate an accurate, patient-specific vascular input function (VIF) to serve as an input to the vascular model. One way to accomplish this is to have an analyst draw a manual ROI within an artery, and use the mean enhancement curve within that ROI as the subject-specific VIF, as described by Vonken et al. ^[36]. It has been demonstrated previously that this method has significant variability associated with it ^[37], due primarily to the spatially- and temporally-varying flow artifacts found in major arteries. A better option is to make use of an automated search technique to generate a locally optimal VIF. Several methods of accomplishing this have been described previously ^[38-40]

504

The signal for the vascular input function can then be converted into concentration using either Method A or B as described above.

507

In some cases, data driven vascular input functions may be difficult to measure accurately due to anatomy,
 motion, flow effects, and T₂* effects. In these situations, alternative methods of using population averaged
 vascular input functions^[41-44] or reference tissue based vascular input functions ^[41-44] may be used. These
 methods in general lead to poorer characterization of subject-specific physiology and lead to poorer
 reproducibility ^[45].

513

515

514 (e) Calculate the Vascular Parameters

516 The intent of this step is to generate the parameter set which will be used to characterize the tissues of 517 interest. Parameters will be calculated based on the standard Tofts model ^[39], which is derived from the 518 Kety equations ^[46]. The vascular bed is modeled as a linear system, such that (eq 6):

519 $C_t(t) = C_n(t) \otimes h(t)$

520 with impulse response h(t) given by (eq 7):

521

$$h(t) = K^{trans} * \exp(-k_{ep}t)$$
 Eq. 7

Ea. 6

where K^{trans} is the volume rate constant between blood plasma and extra-cellular extra-vascular space (EES) and k_{ep} is the rate constant between the EES and blood plasma. Given the tissue uptake curve $C_t(t)$ and the VIF $C_p(t)$, K^{trans} and k_{ep} are estimated using a gradient-descent energy minimization scheme, by using already established Levenberg-Marquardt or Minpack-1 curve fitting algorithms, both of which require adequate baseline sampling^[47]. Delay correction should be performed to shift the VIF curve to match the arrival time of the tumor curve for each voxel prior to curve fitting.

A full parameter set will be calculated for each voxel within the defined tumor boundaries. Parameters may
be reported out either as mean and median values per tumor or as histograms.

530 The baseline timepoint is defined as the timepoint immediately preceding the change in gadolinium

- 531 concentration intensity. The blood normalized IAUGC_{BN} is defined as the area under the concentration
- 532 curve from the baseline timepoint up to 90 seconds post bolus arrival within the tumor, divided by the area
- 533 under the vascular input function curve, up to 90 seconds post the baseline timepoint within the vessel.

534 **4.4. Platform-specific Instructions**

- 535 Appendix D lists image analysis parameter values for specific models/versions that can be expected to
- 536 produce data meeting the requirements of Section 5.

537 **5. Parametric image analysis**

538 Derivation of quantitative parameters characterizing the response associated with a lesion of interest from

- parameter maps is a multistep process, most, if not all, of which are being studied by on-going research.
- 540 There are several choices that can be made at any of these steps, and the effect of these choices on the
- validity of results and variability of parametric maps has not yet been fully characterized.
- 542

565

569

576

543 When multi-institutional trials are undertaken, a central site for analysis is highly recommended so as to 544 reduce variability in analysis.

545 5.1. Input Data to Be Used

The input data that will be utilized will be in the form of concentration curves, and parametric maps of K^{trans} 546 and IAUGC_{BN} from which ROI analysis can be performed. One shortcoming of the 3D fast spoiled gradient 547 548 recalled echo technique used to acquire the dynamic images is that initial and end slice locations give 549 inaccurate results due to wraparound artifact and variability in excitation profile. The extent of this 550 wraparound artifact is dependent on slice-oversampling and other vendor specific techniques. Image 551 analysis can begin by removing areas that are subjectively compromised by wraparound artifact. One 552 method that can be used to determine which slices to discard is to closely examine the T₁ maps obtained at 553 the initial and end slice locations. Marked non-physiologic overestimations of T_1 on initial and end slices are

554 indicative of artifact.

555 5.2. Methods to Be Used

556 The following methodology for image interpretation of parametric maps should be performed in order to 557 ensure complete reproducible and interpretable results.

- 559 (a) Tumor ROI Definition.
- The first step in the extraction of quantitative parameters (K^{trans} or IAUGC_{BN}) associated with
 a particular lesion is to segment this lesion from adjacent tissues. Which techniques of
 segmentation are ideal or even acceptable for a given application is the subject of on-going
 research, but it is clear that the segmentation techniques used must be tailored to the
 particular organ system being studied with DCE-MRI. The following guidelines are proposed:
- The committee does not recommend an analysis scheme where an operator defines a lesion
 by placing regions of interest directly on parameter maps as that will introduce bias into the
 results
- Less subjective results can be obtained by using correlative imaging to define the lesion.
 These correlative images may be obtained at the same imaging session but not directly
 related to the DCE-MRI images. (For example, a T₂-weighted image of an organ, which clearly
 delineates lesions and their boundaries, may be used.) Correlative images should be
 obtained in the same imaging plane as the DCE-MRI series, with similar FOV and spatial
 resolution, if feasible. In this scenario, a registration step will likely be required (see 9.2)
- An alternative approach, which may be helpful if the lesion is well delineated on contrastenhanced T₁-weighted MRI, is to create summation images (images obtained by adding together images obtained on the dynamic series for each slice location). The average images can be used to segment the lesion on one or more slices, and because these segmentations

are (in the absence of patient or organ motion) registered to the dynamic series, the segmentations can be used to directly extract lesion-based parameters from parametric maps.

 Because of the presence of image noise on source images of the dynamic series, along with time-dependent changes in signal intensity which may blur or even obliterate the border between lesion and background tissue, analysis schemes in which lesions are segmented independently on each image of the dynamic series should be avoided where possible. In the case of moving organs, it may be necessary to segment the lesion of interest on early (preferably, before the arrival of the contrast bolus) or late dynamic images and estimate the position of the segmented lesion in intermediate time points.

Although lesions can be segmented using manual techniques, several techniques are
 available that allow a semi-automated approach to be used. The training of operator or
 operators in performing segmentations should be documented, preferably with training sets.

597 (b) Registration of segmentations and parameter maps.

599 Unless the segmentations are derived from relatively motion-free or motion-corrected dynamic images (for 600 example, summary images) image registration techniques may need to be used to place the segmentations 601 and parameter maps into a single anatomic framework (see Section 4.2). The choice of registration 602 technique to be used depends upon the organ system being imaged; the details of this are beyond the 603 scope of this document. In performing registration techniques, either images aligned with the parametric 604 maps or correlative images upon which the segmentation was performed are used as the target image for 605 registration. The registered images are then interpolated from the source images. In interpolating 606 parameter maps to match correlative images, tri-linear techniques are favored to avoid artifacts that may 607 be associated with more advanced interpolation techniques.

608

610

581

582

583

584

592

596

598

609 (c.) Extraction of values for statistical comparison

To derive values for statistical comparison from K^{trans} or IAUGC_{BN} parameter maps, median, mean and standard deviation of the pixel values should be calculated, and the median is considered the primary figure of merit. In a patient with multiple lesions due to metastatic disease, each lesion should be reported and tracked separately. In a patient with multiple lesions due to recurrent local tumor (for example, recurrent glioblastoma) per-patient figures of merit should be reported by aggregating the results of the multiple lesions.

617

619

618 (d) Choice of time point for segmentation.

As a rule, the K^{trans} or IAUGC_{BN} at a given time point should be extracted using tumor ROIs segmented from the same imaging examination. However, in the situation where anti-angiogenic therapies are evaluated and post-therapy imaging is performed within 72 hours of initial treatment with the anti-angiogenic agent, it is acceptable to use a recent (within 1 week) pre-therapy time point to provide the segmentation used to define the lesion on the immediate post-therapy imaging session. In this case, it is presumed that changes in the appearance of lesions on immediate post-therapy study are due to immediate decreases in permeability or blood flow rather than decrease in lesion volume.

- 628 In settings where analysis is performed retrospectively, all time points should be made available to the
- reader simultaneously to allow for consistency in choice of tumor(s) for segmentation, and to ensure that
- 630 similar regions of large tumors have been sampled and segmented. In the case of manual VIF segmentation,
- 631 such workflow analyses also allow for greater standardization of the region of the aorta or other artery
- used in the analysis. In such settings, the reader should be blinded to the nature of each time point, so that
- 633 inherent bias in tumor and/or VIF segmentation does not influence the results.

634 **6. Archival and Distribution of Data**

635 Archival and data distribution procedures are recommended so that all analysis results can be recomputed 636 for verification and validation purposes. In addition to saving of all original images in DICOM formats, the 637 following information must be archived along with the image data:

638 6.1. Post-Processed Data

- VIF: Detailed specification of the vascular input function selection. This may include a
 binary mask of pixels selected for arterial input function, or may consist of a tabulated text
 file containing RAS coordinates co-ordinates of the VIF pixel locations.
- 642
- Registration: Recorded parameters and user inputs required for image registration, if used.
 Time-series image registration may be used to align data spatially over time. Any parameters
 which control the performance of the registration algorithm (metric used, optimization
 parameters, user click points/sub regions used for alignment, etc) must be stored in suitable
 format. It is preferred to save the registration transform parameters so that identical
 registration can be reproduced in a multi-center environment.

649 6.2. Analysis Results

All regions of interest where analysis is performed and statistics are computed should be saved. In addition,
 all computed maps (K^{trans} and IAUGC_{BN}), should be saved in DICOM and DICOM secondary capture modes.
 K^{trans} min⁻¹ * 10000.

653 **6.3. Interpretation Results**

All interpretation of results should be saved for purposes of verification and audit.

655 **7. Quality Control**

The following section deals with all aspects of quality control in DCE-MRI studies. This includes selecting and qualifying an MRI imaging center, MRI personnel, and specific MRI scanners. In addition, the use of phantom imaging (prior to study initiation and ongoing) is discussed. Finally, post image acquisition quality assessment is detailed. Details of these processes will vary for investigator-initiated single site studies versus sponsor-driven multi site studies.

- 661
- 662 Mechanisms for appropriate patient and tumor selection, image acquisition, and post processing are
- 663 discussed throughout the document.

664 **7.1.** Selection of appropriate imaging centers for DCE-MRI studies

665 Typically sites are selected for DCE-MRI due to their competence in clinical oncology and access to a 666 sufficiently large patient population under consideration. Sites must also be highly competent in clinical 667 MRI techniques appropriate to the area(s) of anatomy to be imaged during the DCE-MRI study. In order to 668 ensure high quality DCE-MRI results, it is essential to implement procedures that ensure quality assurance of the scanning equipment and reliable image acquisition methodology. These processes must be set-up at 669 670 the outset, and followed throughout the duration of the study. A site "imaging capability assessment" prior 671 to site selection is therefore a requirement for any DCE-MRI study. This will include assessment of: 672 appropriate imaging equipment and quality control processes (see section 7.1.1) • 673 appropriate injector equipment and contrast media • 674 experienced MR technologists • 675 • experienced MR radiologists 676 • experienced MR physicists or MR imaging scientiests 677 procedures to assure imaging protocol compliance during the trial • 678 679 7.1.1 DCE-MRI Acquisition Scanner 680 681 DCE-MRI studies as developed in this profile require a 1.5 T MR scanner. The scanner software version 682 should be identified and tracked across time, with updates and changes in scanner software noted during 683 the course of a trial. 684 685 Proper coil maintenance must be performed to ensure adequate coil performance. It is beneficial to have 686 alternate receiver coil systems available in the event that coil malfunction is identified prior to or during a 687 DCE-MRI study. 688 689 The MRI scanner and receiver coils must undergo routine quality assurance and quality control processes 690 (including preventive maintenance schedules) appropriate for clinical MRI applications. In addition, in 691 order to assure adequate quantitative MR imaging results, additional quality control measures are 692 required, as discussed below. 693 694 It is beneficial to identify and qualify more than one 1.5T MRI scanner at the site, if such are available for 695 study use. This will ensure that if the primary MRI scanner is temporarily unavailable, the DCE-MRI study 696 may proceed on a secondary scanner. 697 698 7.1.2 DCE-MRI Power Injector 699 700 A power injector is required for all DCE-MRI studies. The power injector needs to be properly serviced and 701 calibrated. 702 703 7.1.3 MR Technologists or other Site Personnel performing DCE-MRI studies 704 MR technologists or other imaging expert(s) performing DCE-MRI procedures should be MR certified

according to local regulations or institutional requirements. These individuals should have prior experience
 in conducting dynamic contrast enhanced imaging. The personnel should also be experienced in clinical

study related imaging and should be familiar with good clinical practices (GCP). Competence in the
 performance of DCE-MRI should never be limited to a single individual at the imaging center, as scheduled
 and unplanned personnel absences are to be expected in the course of a DCE-MRI trial.

710

711 **7.1.4 MR Radiologists or other anatomic experts**

712

713 As tumor identification and selection is a critical component of the DCE-MRI study, sites performing DCE-714 MRI must have access to highly qualified MRI radiologists or other experts in MRI anatomic assessment. 715 These individuals must be available during each DCE-MRI study to confirm adequate tumor selection and 716 slab placement. In some settings, (e.g. brain tumors), it may be feasible for tumor identification and slab 717 placement to be performed by the MR technologist, with oversight by a neuro-radiologist. In other cases (e.g. wide-spread metastatic disease in the chest, abdomen, or pelvis), it is accepted that a radiologist or 718 719 other anatomic specialist must be available to identify tumor locations prior to contrast injection. It is 720 expected that more than one anatomic specialist be available at a site performing the examination, should the primary anatomic specialists not be available for a given study. 721

722

723 7.1.5 Site compliance with protocol requirements

724

725 Imaging centers participating in DCE-MRI trials must adhere to accepted standards of quality control in 726 imaging studies. This includes processes to identify patients, who are participants in research studies, 727 personnel familiar with local IRB and other regulatory practices, proper understanding of source 728 documentation, and reporting of protocol deviations and adverse events. Imaging centers must be able to 729 document their compliance with DCE-MRI procedures in order to facilitate central guality control and 730 auditing processes. Centers participating in multi-site trials must be familiar with protocol-directed 731 methods for image transfer of HIPAA-compliant anonymized imaging data, properly annotated, to central 732 analytic laboratories.

- 733
- 734

735 **7.2 Site qualification process**

736

737 **7.2.1 Site readiness**

738

Site readiness for DCE-MRI should be documented prior to the initiation of the DCE-MRI trial. In single-site studies initiated by in-house investigators, imaging procedures should be reviewed with the DCE-MRI team prior to study initiation. In multi-site studies, site readiness assessment can begin with a simple questionnaire completed as a pre-qualification step. A subsequent site visit prior to DCE-MRI study initiation is recommended. During the site visit, study related imaging procedures and protocols are discussed. Ideally, all DCE-MRI scan parameters are reviewed and entered at the MR scanner at the time of the study visit. In some cases, initial phantom scanning can be performed during the site visit to familiarize

- 746 747
- 748 **7.2.2 Scanner qualification**
- 749

750 MR scanners should be identified based on their vendor, model, and machine name. Hardware 751 specifications (maximum gradient strength, slew rate, etc.) should be documented. Software versions in

local MR personnel with proper phantom handling, set-up, and scanning.

place at the time of trial initiation, and at all upgrades should be documented as well. Local receive coils to
 be used should be noted, with quality checks per local institutional methods documented. Power injector
 models should be noted, including date of most recent calibration.

756 7.2.3 Phantom imaging

757

755

To qualify the MRI scanner, a phantom imaging process is required. The QIBA DCE-MRI phantom, or a similar multi-compartment phantom with range of R_1 relaxation rate values appropriate for DCE-MRI should be utilized. With the exceptions noted below, imaging of the phantom should otherwise be performed using the same R_1 mapping ($R_1=1/T_1$) and DCE-MRI acquisitions that are to be used in the clinical research protocol. Coil placement should approximate that which would be used by the site for the typical patient and anatomy of interest.

764

765 **7.2.4 Phantom imaging data analysis**

766

Phantom data should be analyzed in a uniform method by a centralized DCE-MRI image analysis center.
Assurance should be made by the central site that the phantom scan orientation is correct, and appropriate
image rotations or inversions were performed (and documented by the image analysis center).

770

For all phantom image tests, a single central slice is utilized. Uniform 2cm ROI spheres are placed within
each phantom compartment, avoiding the edges of the compartments where signal intensity may be
altered by Gibbs lines or other artifacts. Mean and standard deviation of the signal intensities within each
ROI should be noted. There are three categories of DCE-MRI phantom data analysis: signal stability, signal
linearity, and R₁ precision. In all cases, analysis should use a single central slice of the phantom data for
analysis.

- 777
- 778 7.2.4.1. Signal stability
- 779

The signal stability test is performed using the DCE-MRI acquisition method to be used for the dynamic
gadolinium enhanced imaging. The duration of this scan should be at least 6 minutes to test magnet
stability. A single R₁ compartment with adequate SNR (10:1 or higher) is required. The mean SI in the ROI
is then plotted over time. The plot should be linear and horizontal with no upward or downward trends.
The root mean squared (rms) noise calculation should be similar across all aspects of the scan.

785

Marked deviations or drift of signal intensity over time indicate magnet instability, and should initiate a
 thorough evaluation of the magnet by the on-site MR physicist or site engineer prior to use in the DCE-MRI
 trial. The source of magnet instability should be determined and corrected prior to use in the DCE-MRI
 trial.

- 790
- 791 7.2.4.2 Signal linearity
- 792

In cases where signal intensity differences are to be used as a marker of tumor gadolinium concentration
 (see section 5), the linearity of MRI signal intensity with respect to R₁ over a range of R₁ values is required.
 While published guidelines on the allowed deviation from linearity do not exist, a linear correlation
 coefficient between SI and R₁ of 0.9 or higher is expected.

If a good linear correlation between SI and R_1 is not achieved, it is recommended that the receive coil array

- used for phantom imaging be evaluated to ensure that coil failure was not a cause of the abnormal results.
 The phantom image may be repeated with a different local coil array, or with the body coil as receiver to
 further evaluate this issue.
- 802

803 If linearity of SI vs. R_1 is still not achieved, it is recommended that the phantom scan be repeated with a 804 larger flip angle, in order to increase the relative T_1 weighting of the images.

- 805
- 806 7.2.4.3 R1 precision
- 807

If *T*₁-dependent analysis is intended for the DCE-MRI study, the fidelity of R₁ measurement should be
assessed based on the phantom imaging. As uncertainty in the measurement of R₁ is an important
contributor to concentration measurement bias ^[48], the measured phantom R₁ values based on the VFA
method (see Section 5) should be compared within the known R1 values calibrated based on non-flip angle
dependent methods (such as IR-prepped imaging). Simulation studies suggest that variation in the R₁ value
by greater than 15% from actual may severely affect the reliability of the DCE-MRI quantification when R₁ dependent modeling of tumor gadolinium concentration in DCE-MRI studies is used.

815

816 If accurate R₁ values cannot be reproduced, it is recommended that R₁ -dependent modeling not be
 817 performed.

818

819 7.2.5 Ongoing MRI scanner quality control

820

The phantom scans and analysis should be repeated at regular intervals, such as every 3 months, during the course of the study. Any changes to scanner equipment, including major hardware changes or any software version change, need to be documented and will result in the need for imaging qualification renewal prior to repeat imaging. In particular, it is strongly recommended that patients undergoing longitudinal study be scanned on the same MRI system with the same software version whenever possible. Sites performing DCE-MRI studies should be informed of planned software upgrades, when possible deferring such upgrades until serial imaging of all currently enrolled patients is complete.

828

829 **7.3. Quality Control of DCE-MRI studies**

831 **7.3.1** Determination of suitable tumor lesions

832

830

Patients suitable for DCE-MRI analysis must possess at least one tumor ≥ 2cm, well removed from areas
 subject to large degrees of cardiac pulsatility artifact, that is not largely cystic of necrotic. Determination of
 patient eligibility is usually based on pre-enrollment imaging (often CT or clinical MRI) which then serves as
 a baseline study for subsequent assessments for tumor response or progression. The site radiologist then
 reviews these images prior to enrollment to ascertain the location of the most suitable tumor lesion(s) for
 analysis.

839

840 7.3.2 Selection of target lesion

841

Once the MRI scan commences, the radiologist or anatomic expert will review the pre-gadolinium imaging
 to identify putative target lesions. The DCE-MRI study then proceeds with slab placement and T₁

mapping/dynamic enhanced imaging once the target lesion is identified. Sites should strive to inspect 844 these images to ensure absence of substantial artifacts (e.g., phase wrap, pulsatility) overlying the target 845 846 lesion, with protocol specified adjustments to patient positioning and slab placement prior to continuing 847 the DCE-MRI study. Once the final slab placement is confirmed, grid line overlays of the DCE-MRI slab on 848 routine anatomic imaging (usually axial plane) is recommended to facilitate DCE-MRI slab placement on 849 subsequent visits (e.g. by saving of a screen shot).

850 851

852

7.3.3 Determination of subjects unsuitable for DCE-MRI analysis

- 853 Despite best efforts and protocol adherence, on occasion, a patient enrolled and imaged in DCE-MRI study 854 will be found to be ineligible for subsequent analysis. Reasons for eliminating patients for analysis include: 855
- 856 Lack of a tumor of suitable size in the usable DCE-MRI imaging volume •
- 857 Unacceptable pulsatility, wrap, or metallic artifact involving all tumors in the usable DCE-MRI • 858 imaging volume
- 859 All target lesions in the DCE-MRI imaging volume determined to be largely cystic or necrotic
- Patients with significant amount of ascites since anti-angiogenic therapies can be very 860 861 effective at reducing ascites and, hence, altering body weight, which may substantially affect 862 the amount of gadolinium contrast agent administered.
- 864 Determination of patient eligibility should be made by an independent reviewer who is blinded to other attributes of patient data, including (when applicable) randomization arm/drug treatment, toxicity, and 865 866 clinical outcomes. Decisions on eligibility should be made on the basis of visual image assessment prior to 867 analysis of DCE-MRI data. Quantitative criteria for defining tumors that are largely cystic or necrotic (such 868 as percentage of pixels with enhancement above a certain threshold) should be defined in the protocol to 869 avoid bias in decisions to eliminate patients form further DCE-MRI assessment.
- 870

863

871 7.3.4 Determination of DCE-MRI exams unsuitable for DCE-MRI analysis

872

873 In addition, individual DCE-MRI examinations may be deemed nonanalyzable based on a variety of technical 874 deviations. These may include:

875 876

877

- Failure of gadolinium injection •
- Gross patient motion not correctable with motion correcting algorithms •
- 878 Failure of the imaging site to replicate the imaging parameters within acceptable standards • 879 of deviation from protocol specifications
 - Failure of the imaging site to replicate anatomic DCE-MRI slab placement •
- 880 881
- 882 Whenever possible, all anticipated instances where individual DCE-MRI data will be removed from analysis 883 should be prespecified in the DCE-MRI protocol.
- 884
- 885 7.3.5 Editing of DCE-MRI exams prior to DCE-MRI analysis
- 886

887 It is recognized that DCE-MRI analysis requires post-processing of the DCE-MRI image sets. Most 888 frequently, data sets will be subject to automated or semi-automated motion compensation schemes to

889 eliminate or minimize the effects of image motion of subsequent DCE-MRI analysis. The methodology used 890 for such post processing should be documented, ideally in the DCE-MRI protocol or the standard operating

- procedures of the central analysis laboratory. Motion correction matrices keyed to each temporal phase
 may be documented as part of the analysis routine, in order to facilitate replication of the data analysis
 when required.
- 894

In the course of post processing, individual phases of the DCE-MRI exam may be found to be severely compromised by image blur or degraded by other artifacts (such as random noise spikes). Judicious selection of phases to be eliminated for analysis may be made by the central analysis team, provided that the decision to eliminate such phases is determined prior to data analysis. Elimination of baseline or early post gadolinium phases is discouraged as such post processing may substantially alter the subsequent analysis. Data documenting these forms of post-processing should be maintained by the imaging analysis laboratory.

- 902
- 903

904 8. Imaging-associated Risks and Risk Management

- 905 MR safety considerations are to be established individually at each institution according to each
- 906 institutions' radiology departmental guidelines and institutional review board (IRB) considerations to907 include policy guidelines on the following:
- 908 (1) laboratory screening for renal dysfunction prior to gadolinium based contrast administration
- 909 (2) contrast administration in pregnant patients and in patients who are lactating
- 910 (3) policy on patients receiving gadolinium based agents who have a positive history of a previous adverse
- 911 event or events to iodinated or gadolinium based contrast agents to include serious and non-serious
- adverse events. The American College of Radiology Manual on Contrast Media Version 7 2010 can serve as
- 913 a referenced guideline for each institutional policy development. This manual reflects policy statements
- 914 previously released by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) in the United States and its counterpart in
- 915 the European Union, The Committee for Medicinal Products for Human Use (CHMP).

916 **IV. Compliance**

- 917 Typically clinical sites are selected due to their competence in oncology and access to a sufficiently large
- 918 patient population under consideration. For DCE-MRI use as quantitative imaging biomarker it is essential
- to put some effort into an imaging capability assessment prior to final site selection for a specific trial. Forimaging it is important to consider the availability of:
- 921 appropriate imaging equipment and quality control processes,
- 922 appropriate injector equipment and contrast media,
- 923 experienced MR technologists for the imaging procedure, and
- 924 processes that assure imaging protocol compliant image generation at the correct point in
 925 time.
- 926 Acquisition Scanner
- 927 1.5 T MR machines with 55-70 cm bores need to be available. The scanner needs to be under quality
- assurance and quality control processes (including preventive maintenance schedules) appropriate for
- 929 quantitative MR imaging applications, which may exceed the standard requirements for routine clinical
- 930 imaging or for MR facility accreditation purposes. The scanner software version should be identified and

931 tracked across time. It might be beneficial to identify and qualify a second scanner at the site, if available. If

this is done prior to the study start there will be no difficulties later on in case the first scanner is

933 temporarily unavailable. Practically speaking sites are encouraged to perform longitudinal treatment trials

934 on one instrument.

935 Contrast Inject Device

A power injector is required for DCE-MRI studies. It needs to be properly serviced and calibrated.

937 Software Analysis

When a site is performing parametric image analysis and interpretation, a DCE-MRI tool that complies with
the Tofts' model should be utilized. In addition, for multi-institutional trials a central reading site is
assumed.

941 Performing Site

942 MR technologists running DCE-MRI procedures should be MR certified according to local regulations. The 943 technologists should have prior experience in conducting dynamic contrast enhanced imaging. The person 944 should be experienced in clinical study related imaging and should be familiar with good clinical practices 945 (GCP). A qualified backup person is needed that should fulfill the same requirements. Contact details for 946 both technologists should be available in case of any questions.

947

948 Imaging qualification process:

949

The above-mentioned details can be obtained using a simple questionnaire as a pre-qualification step. If
 appropriate equipment and personnel are available, a site visit is recommended. During the site visit, study
 related imaging protocols are discussed and, ideally, all scan parameters are entered at the MR scanner.

953

To qualify the scanner, a phantom imaging process is strongly recommended. The QIBA DCE-MRI phantom, or a similar multi-compartment phantom with range of relaxation rate (T_1) values appropriate for the DCE-MRI study to be performed, should be used if the Profile Claim given above is to be assured. Data should be acquired from the multi-compartment phantom using the same T_1 mapping and DCE-MRI acquisitions that will be used in the proposed clinical application or clinical research protocol (see Section 6).

958 959

The phantom scans should be repeated on a regular interval (e.g 3 months) during the course of the study.
Ongoing image quality inspection on a per scan basis is essential. Any changes to scanner equipment,
including major hardware changes or any software version change, need to be documented and will result
in the need for imaging qualification renewal.

964

965 Site Analysis qualification:

966

The data analysis procedures to be used in the DCE-MRI application should be used to analyze the T_1

mapping data and results compared to the known T_1 values of the various compartments. As uncertainty in

969 the measurement of T_1 is an important contributor to concentration measurement bias ^[48], the measured

- values should compare within 15 % of the known values over a T_1 range of approximately 50-1000 ms. The
- 971 DCE-MRI data obtained from the phantom should be analyzed to confirm the correct temporal resolution
- and to provide SNR measurements and signal intensity vs. T₁ characteristics for the specific DCE-MRI

- acquisition protocol.
- 974
- 975 Significant variations in any of these parameters during the course of an ongoing longitudinal study can
- 976 affect the resulting imaging biomarker determinations, in the case of this specific claim K^{trans} and IAUGC_{BN},
- 977 and such changes can readily occur if there are major changes in the scanner hardware or software, e.g., an
- 978 update to the pulse sequence used for the DCE-MRI and/or T_1 measurements or to the gradient subsystem.
- All results shall be documented and, if they pass the established acceptance values, will constitute the site
- 980 qualification documentation for the DCE-MRI procedure. This process ensures study specific training of the
- 981 site personnel and needs to be documented and signed.
- 982

983 **References**

- Tofts, P. S. et al. Estimating kinetic parameters from dynamic contrast-enhanced T(1)-weighted MRI of a diffusable tracer: standardized quantities and symbols. (1999). J Magn Reson Imaging 10:223-232
- 2. Evelhoch, J. L. Key factors in the acquisition of contrast kinetic data for oncology. (1999). J Magn
 Reson Imaging 10:254-259
- Ah-See, M. L. et al. Early changes in functional dynamic magnetic resonance imaging predict for
 pathologic response to neoadjuvant chemotherapy in primary breast cancer. (2008). Clin Cancer Res
 14:6580-6589
- 991 4. Drevs, J. et al. Phase I clinical study of AZD2171, an oral vascular endothelial growth factor signaling
 992 inhibitor, in patients with advanced solid tumors. (2007). J Clin Oncol 25:3045-3054
- 5. Esserman, L. et al. Utility of magnetic resonance imaging in the management of breast cancer:
 evidence for improved preoperative staging. (1999). J Clin Oncol 17:110-119
- 995 6. Hawighorst, H. et al. Angiogenic activity of cervical carcinoma: assessment by functional magnetic
 996 resonance imaging-based parameters and a histomorphological approach in correlation with disease
 997 outcome. (1998). Clin Cancer Res 4:2305-2312
- 998 7. Hylton, N. Dynamic contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging as an imaging biomarker. (2006).
 999 J Clin Oncol 24:3293-3298
- O'Connor, J. P. et al. DCE-MRI biomarkers in the clinical evaluation of antiangiogenic and vascular disrupting agents. (2007). Br J Cancer 96:189-195
- Rosen, M. A. and Schnall, M. D. Dynamic contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging for
 assessing tumor vascularity and vascular effects of targeted therapies in renal cell carcinoma. (2007).
 Clin Cancer Res 13:770s-776s
- Solin, L. J. et al. Relationship of breast magnetic resonance imaging to outcome after breast conservation treatment with radiation for women with early-stage invasive breast carcinoma or ductal
 carcinoma in situ. (2008). J Clin Oncol 26:386-391
- 1008 11. Zahra, M. A. et al. Dynamic contrast-enhanced MRI as a predictor of tumour response to radiotherapy.
 (2007). Lancet Oncol 8:63-74
- 1010 12. Leach, M. O. et al. Assessment of antiangiogenic and antivascular therapeutics using MRI:
 1011 recommendations for appropriate methodology for clinical trials. (2003). Br J Radiol 76 Spec No
 1012 1:S87-91
- 1013 13. NCI Recommendations for MR measurement methods at 1.5 Tesla and endpoints for use in Phase 1/2a
 trials of anti-cancer therapeutics affecting tumor vascular function. Dynamic contrast MRI (DCE-MRI)
 guidelines resulted from the NCI CIP MR Workshop on Translational Research in Cancer. (2004). MR
 Workshop on Translational Research
- 1017 14. Ashton, E. et al. Scan-rescan variability in perfusion assessment of tumors in MRI using both model
 1018 and data-derived arterial input functions. (2008). J Magn Reson Imaging 28:791-796
- 1019 15. Dowlati, A. et al. Novel Phase I dose de-escalation design trial to determine the biological modulatory
 1020 dose of the antiangiogenic agent SU5416. (2005). Clin Cancer Res 11:7938-7944
- 16. Ferl, G. Z. et al. An automated method for nonparametric kinetic analysis of clinical DCE-MRI data:
 application to glioblastoma treated with bevacizumab. (2010). Magn Reson Med 63:1366-1375
- 1023 17. Flaherty, K. T. et al. Pilot study of DCE-MRI to predict progression-free survival with sorafenib
 therapy in renal cell carcinoma. (2008). Cancer Biol Ther 7:496-501
- 1025 18. Galbraith, S. M. et al. Reproducibility of dynamic contrast-enhanced MRI in human muscle and
 1026 tumours: comparison of quantitative and semi-quantitative analysis. (2002). NMR Biomed 15:132-142
- 1027 19. Liu, G. et al. Dynamic contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging as a pharmacodynamic measure 1028 of response after acute dosing of AG-013736, an oral angiogenesis inhibitor, in patients with advanced 1029 solid tumors: results from a phase I study. (2005). J Clin Oncol 23:5464-5473
- 1030 20. Morgan, B. et al. Dynamic contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging as a biomarker for the

1031		pharmacological response of PTK787/ZK 222584, an inhibitor of the vascular endothelial growth
1032		factor receptor tyrosine kinases, in patients with advanced colorectal cancer and liver metastases:
1033		results from two phase I studies. (2003). J Clin Oncol 21:3955-3964
1034	21.	Ng, C. S. et al. Reproducibility of perfusion parameters in dynamic contrast-enhanced MRI of lung and
1035		liver tumors: effect on estimates of patient sample size in clinical trials and on individual patient
1036		responses. (2010). AJR Am J Roentgenol 194 :W134-40
1037	22.	Padhani, A. R. et al. Reproducibility of quantitative dynamic MRI of normal human tissues. (2002).
1038		NMR Biomed 15 :143-153
1039	23.	Roberts, C. et al. Comparative study into the robustness of compartmental modeling and model-free
1040		analysis in DCE-MRI studies. (2006). J Magn Reson Imaging 23:554-563
1041	24.	Stevenson, J. P. et al. Phase I trial of the antivascular agent combretastatin A4 phosphate on a 5-day
1042		schedule to patients with cancer: magnetic resonance imaging evidence for altered tumor blood flow.
1043		(2003). J Clin Oncol 21 :4428-4438
1044	25.	Wedam, S. B. et al. Antiangiogenic and antitumor effects of bevacizumab in patients with
1045		inflammatory and locally advanced breast cancer. (2006). J Clin Oncol 24:769-777
1046	26.	Zweifel, M. and Padhani, A. R. Perfusion MRI in the early clinical development of antivascular drugs:
1047		decorations or decision making tools? (2010). Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging 37 Suppl 1:S164-82
1048	27.	Wang, Y., Spiller, M. and Caravan, P. Evidence for weak protein binding of commercial extracellular
1049		gadolinium contrast agents. (2010). Magn Reson Med 63:609-616
1050	28.	Ledermann, H. P. et al. Screening for renal insufficiency following ESUR (European Society of
1051		Urogenital Radiology) guidelines with on-site creatinine measurements in an outpatient setting. (2010).
1052		Eur Radiol 20 :1926-1933
1053	29.	Lee, Y. J. et al. Suboptimal contrast-enhanced carotid MR angiography from the left brachiocephalic
1054		venous stasis. (1999). J Magn Reson Imaging 10:503-509
1055	30.	Tseng, Y. C. et al. Venous reflux on carotid computed tomography angiography: relationship with left-
1056		arm injection. (2007). J Comput Assist Tomogr 31 :360-364
1057	31.	You, S. Y. et al. Effects of right- versus left-arm injections of contrast material on computed
1058		tomography of the head and neck. (2007). J Comput Assist Tomogr 31:677-681
1059	32.	B, H. and B, S. Determining optical flow. (1981). Artificial intelligence
1060	33.	Sharp, G. C. et al. GPU-based streaming architectures for fast cone-beam CT image reconstruction and
1061		demons deformable registration. (2007). Phys Med Biol 52:5771-5783
1062	34.	Pluim, J. P., Maintz, J. B. and Viergever, M. A. Mutual-information-based registration of medical
1063		images: a survey. (2003). IEEE Trans Med Imaging 22:986-1004
1064	35.	Parker, G. J. et al. Probing tumor microvascularity by measurement, analysis and display of contrast
1065		agent uptake kinetics. (1997). J Magn Reson Imaging 7:564-574
1066	36.	Vonken, E. J. et al. Measurement of cerebral perfusion with dual-echo multi-slice quantitative dynamic
1067		susceptibility contrast MRI. (1999). J Magn Reson Imaging 10:109-117
1068	37.	Ashton, E., McShane, T. and Evelhoch, J. Inter-operator variability in perfusion assessment of tumors
1069	• •	in MRI using automated AIF detection. (2005). Med Image Comput Comput Assist Interv 8:451-458
1070	38.	Rijpkema, M. et al. Method for quantitative mapping of dynamic MRI contrast agent uptake in human
1071	• •	tumors. (2001). J Magn Reson Imaging 14:457-463
1072	39.	Tofts, P. S. and Kermode, A. G. Measurement of the blood-brain barrier permeability and leakage
1073		space using dynamic MR imaging. 1. Fundamental concepts. (1991). Magn Reson Med 17:357-367
1074	40.	E, A. System and method for Identifying Optimized Blood Signal in Medical Images to Eliminate Flow
1075		Artifacts. (2007).
1076	41.	McGrath, D. M. et al. Comparison of model-based arterial input functions for dynamic contrast-
1077		enhanced MRI in tumor bearing rats. (2009). Magn Reson Med 61:1173-1184
1078	42.	Meng, R. et al. Comparison between population average and experimentally measured arterial input
1079		function in predicting biopsy results in prostate cancer. (2010). Acad Radiol 17:520-525

- 43. Parker, G. J. et al. Experimentally-derived functional form for a population-averaged high-temporal-resolution arterial input function for dynamic contrast-enhanced MRI. (2006). Magn Reson Med 56:993-1000
 44. Wang, Y. et al. Feasibility of using limited-population-based arterial input function for pharmacokinetic modeling of osteosarcoma dynamic contrast-enhanced MRI data. (2008). Magn Reson Med 59:1183-1189
- Mouridsen K et al. Subject-specific AIF optimizes reproducibility of perfusion parameters in
 longitudinal DSC-MRI in comparison to session and population level AIF. (2011). International
 Society of Magnetic Resonance in Medicine
- 1089 46. S, K. Peripheral blood flow measurement. (1960). Methods in Medical research 8
- Ahearn, T. S. et al. The use of the Levenberg-Marquardt curve-fitting algorithm in pharmacokinetic
 modelling of DCE-MRI data. (2005). Phys Med Biol 50:N85-92
- 48. Schabel, M. C. and Parker, D. L. Uncertainty and bias in contrast concentration measurements using
 spoiled gradient echo pulse sequences. (2008). Phys Med Biol 53:2345-2373
- 49. Oken, M. M. et al. Toxicity and response criteria of the Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group. (1982).
 Am J Clin Oncol 5:649-655
- 1096

1098 Appendices

1099 Appendix A: Acknowledgements and Attributions

1100 1101 1102	I. Executive Summary II. Clinical Context and Claims III. Profile Details	Jeffrey Evelhoch Mitchell Schnall
1103	1. Subject Handling	Alex Guimaraes
1104	2. Imaging Procedure	Ed Jackson/Sandeep Gupta
1105	3. Image Post-processing	Sandeep Gupta
1106	4. Parametric image formation	Ed Ashton
1107	5. Parametric image analysis	Dan Barboriak
1108	6. Archival and Distribution of Data	Sandeep Gupta
1109	7. Quality Control	Mark Rosen
1110	8. Imaging associated Risks and Risk Management	Orest Boyko

1112 Appendix B: Conventions and Definitions

1113	B.1 List of Abbreviations
1114	
1115	- VIF: Vascular input function
1116	 DCE-MRI: Dynamic contrast enhanced magnetic resonance imaging
1117	- ECOG: Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group
1118	- eGFR: estimated Glomerular Filtration Rate
1119	- Gd-DTPA: Gadolinium – diethylene triamine pentaacetic acid
1120	 IAUGCBN: Initial area under the Gadolinium concentration blood normalized
1121	- Ktrans: Permeability transfer constant
1122	 QIBA: Quantitative Imaging Biomarkers Alliance
1123	- ROI: Region of Interest
1124	- VEGF: Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor
1125	- VFA: Variable Flip angle
1126	- GCP: Good Clinical Practice
1127	- SPGR (Spoiled Gradient Recalled)
1128	
1129	B.2 ECOG Performance Status Descriptions, by grade: ^[49]
1130	
1131	0: Fully active, able to carry on all pre-disease performance without restriction
1132	1: Restricted in physically strenuous activity but ambulatory and able to carry out work of a light or
1133	sedentary nature, e.g., light-house work, office work
1134	2: Ambulatory and capable of all self-care but unable to carry out any work activities. Up and about more
1135	than 50% of waking hours
1136	3: Capable of only limited self-care, confined to bed or chair more than 50% of waking hours
1137	4: Completely disabled. Cannot carry on any self-care. Totally confined to bed or chair
1138	5: Dead

1140 Appendix C: Spreadsheet on reproducibility data

Reference	Year	Field Strength (T)	Organ System	N	Contrast Agent	Injection Rate	Flush	Temporal Resolution (s) / # sections
				12 (lung) /	Magnevist (0.1		20 ml saline @	
Ng, Raunig, Jackson, et al	2010	1.5	Liver / Lung	11 (liver)	mmol/kg)	3 ml/s	3 ml/s	10.4 / 10
					Magnevist (0.1			
Ferl, Lu, Friesenhahn, et al	2010	1.5	Brain (GBM)	16	mmol/kg)	3 ml/s	Not stated	4.8 / 16
Asheen Develo No. et al	2000		11	12 (lung) /	Magnevist (0.1	2	20 ml saline @	
Ashton, Raunig, Ng, et al	2008	1.5	Liver / Lung	12 (liver)	mmol/kg)	3 ml/s	3 ml/s	10.4 / 10
			Maria		Magna 1/0 1			
Lankester, Taylor, Stirling, et al	2007	1.5	Various tumors (pelvic)	20	Magnevist (0.1 mmol/kg)	4 ml/s	Not stated	12.0/4
Lankester, Taylor, Stirling, et al	2007	1.5	(pervic)	20	mmol/kg)	4 m/s	Not stated	
					Omniscan (brain);	Hand	Brain: same	8s / 25 (brain); 8 s early and
			Brain and	4 (brain) / 9	Magnevist (abdo);	injected (3-4	volume; Abdo:	75 s late
Roberts, Issa, Stone, et al	2006	1.5	Abdomen	(abdo)	0.1 mmol/kg	s)	not stated	(abdo)
				()				(
			Various tumors		Magnevist or			
			(liver, lung,		Omniscan (0.1	Manually,		
Morgan, Utting, Higginson, et al	2006	1.5	lymph node)	10	mmol/kg) or	less then 5 s	Not stated	0.5/1
			Various tumors		Magnevist (0.1			
Lankester, Taylor, Stirling, et al	2005	1.5	(body)	20	mmol/kg)	4 ml/s	Not stated	Not stated
							Saline at same	
						Hand	volume and	
					Omniscan (0.1	injected (3-4	injection	
Jackson, Jayson, Li, et al.	2003	1.5	Brain (glioma)	9	mmol/kg)	s)	duration	5.1 - 8.7 / 24
Collectific Lodes Testerated	2002		Various tumors		Magnevist (0.1			
Galbraith, Lodge, Taylor et al	2002	1.5	(body)	16	mmol/kg)	Not stated	Not stated	11.9
			Various (6					
Dilakana Kaandan Jaanta stal	2001	1.5	H&N 2 brain; 3		Managed (15 ml)	2.5 ml/s	Network	_
Rijpkema, Kaanders, Joosten et al	2001	1.5	prostate)	11	Magnevist (15 ml)	2.5 ml/s	Not stated	2

1: 1142

	Whole ROI				lf yes, T1	
	or	Parameters		т1	mapping	Fitted Data Type
Model (Tofts, GKM, etc)	Pixelwise?	Reported	AIF	Correction?	technique?	$(\Delta[Gd], \Delta SI, \Delta SI/S0)$
		Ktrans, kep,				
2 param GKM	Pixel	IAUC90 _{BN}	Yes, automated	No		SI
F****		Div				
Deconvolution and 3-					VFA (5, 10, 15,	
param GKM	Pixel	Ktrans, ve	Yes (venous)	Yes	20, 25, 30)	[Gd]
I		,				
		Ktrans, kep,				
2 param GKM	Pixel	IAUC90 _{BN}	Yes, automated	No		SI
		IAUGC60, Ktrans,			Proton density	
IAUGC, Kety (=Tofts?)	Pixel	kep, Ve	No (pooled data)	Yes	reference	[Gd]
		IAUC60 (Model 1);				
		Ktrans, ve (Model			VFA (2, 20, 35:	
IAUC, Tofts (2 param),		2); Ktrans, ve, vp	No (Model		brain; 2, 13, 28:	
Tofts (3 param)	Pixel	(Model 3)	based)	Yes	abdo)	[Gd]
			Yes and No, local			
			data, and			
	Not	PE, IAUC60,	modified on			
IAUC, Tofts (2 compart)	specified	IAUC180, Ktrans	published data	Yes?	IR	
			No (Model		Proton density	
IAUC, Tofts (2 param)	Pixel	IAUCGC60, Ktrans	based)	Yes	reference	[Gd]
			Yes (sagittal			
			sinus, fitted to			
2 param GKM	Pixel	Ktrans, ve	biexponential)	Yes	VFA (2, 10, 35)	[Gd]
		IAUC90, Ktrans,	No (Model		Proton density	
IAUC, Tofts (2 param)	Pixel	kep, ve	based)	Yes	reference	[Gd]
					Proton density	
3 param GKM	Pixel	kep	Yes	Yes	reference	[Gd]

Motion Correction?	Primary Findings (test/retest CV, CI, etc)	Additional Findings	Reference
correction:		Additional Findings	Kelefence
	Within Patient CV. Ktrans: liver:8.9%, lung:17.9%;	Sample size requirements of liver and lung	
Yes	IAUC: liver:9.9%, lung:18.2%.	for %change in Ktrans and IAUC	Ng, Raunig, Jackson, et al
163	IAOC. IIVEI.9.9%, Iulig.18.2%.		
	Repeat baseline CV%.	Deconvolution method: AUC/MRT: 10.7%,	
None stated	Ktrans: 13.6%, ve: 23.6%	AUC: 12.7%	Ferl, Lu, Friesenhahn, et al
None stated	Kitalis: 15.0%, VC. 25.0%	Also used Tofts model derived method;	
	Within Patient CV.	Within Patient CV (Ktrans, kep). Ktrans:	
	Ktrans: liver:10.6%, lung:19.3%; IAUC:	liver:35.6%, lung:20.7%; IAUC: liver:33.1%,	
Yes	liver:9.8%, lung:15.7%.	lung:18.9%.	Ashton, Raunig, Ng, et al
	Within Patient CV. Ktrans:		
	20.3%, Ve: 8.3%, kep: 17.4%, IAUGC:		
None stated	12.1%	Additional results to previous paper of 2005	Lankester, Taylor, Stirling, et al
	RMS CV%. IAUC60: 19%;		
	Model 2: Ktrans:13%, ve:11%;		
None stated	Model 3: Ktrans:19%, ve:14%, vp:30%	Ktrans vs IAUC60 correlation	Roberts, Issa, Stone, et al
	Within Patient CV.		
	Ktrans: 19.1%, IAUC60: 15.8%,	Correlation of IAUC60 and IAUC180 with	
Yes	IAUC180: 16.1%, PE: 15.9%	Ktrans after treatment	Morgan, Utting, Higginson, et al
	Within Patient CV.		
None stated	Ktrans: 20.3%, IAUGC: 12.1%		Lankester, Taylor, Stirling, et al
		Max intensity change / unit time (MITR):	
		17.9%; Time to 90% enhancement (T90):	
	Within Patient CV.	7.1%; Tumor volume: 4.0%; Native tumor	
None stated	Ktrans: 7.7%; ve: 6.2%	T1 relaxation rate (R _{1,0}): 9.2%	Jackson, Jayson, Li, et al.
	Within Patient CV.		
	Ktrans: 24%, kep: 21%, ve: 8.5%,	Muscle data (whole ROI only); Whole ROI	
None stated	IAUC90:12%	tumor data	Galbraith, Lodge, Taylor et al
	No statistical difference in kep in 10 of		
None stated	11 patients (Student's t-test, p:0.05)		Rijpkema, Kaanders, Joosten et al

1147

1149 Appendix D: Model-specific Instructions and Parameters

The presence of specific product models/versions in the following tables should not be taken to imply that 1150 those products are fully compliant with the QIBA Profile. Compliance with a profile involves meeting a 1151 1152 variety of requirements of which operating by these parameters is just one. To determine if a product (and a specific model/version of that product) is compliant, please refer to the QIBA Conformance Document for 1153 1154 that product. G.1. Image Acquisition Parameters The following technique tables' list acquisition parameter 1155 values for specific models/versions that can be expected to produce data meeting the requirements of Section 7.1. 1156 These technique tables may have been prepared by the submitter of this imaging protocol document, the 1157 clinical trial organizer, the vendor of the equipment, and/or some other source. (Consequently, a given 1158 model/version may appear in more than one table.) The source is listed at the top of each table. 1159 Sites using models listed here are encouraged to consider using these parameters for both simplicity and 1160 consistency. Sites using models not listed here may be able to devise their own acquisition parameters that 1161 result in data meeting the requirements of Section 7.1 and conform to the considerations in Section 13. 1162 1163 In some cases, parameter sets may be available as an electronic file for direct implementation on the 1164 imaging platform. 1165

1166 Siemens

1167 QIBA DCE-MRI Abdominal Protocol for VA30 Software

parameter	value	notes
Routine tab		
slabs	1	
distance factor	irrevelant	
position	as needed	
orientation	coronal	
phase enc. dir.	R >> L	
rotation	0.0 deg	
phase	0%	
oversampling		
slice oversampling	0%	
slices per slab	26	Reconstructed images, interpolated by zero-filling. The slab thickness is 4.25 x 26
FoV read	400	= 110.5 mm
10v lead	400	
FoV phase	81.3%	325 mm
slice thickness	4.25 mm	For 3-D, this is the slice <i>spacing</i> . The true slice thickness is this number divided by
		the slice resolution, in this case $4.25 / 0.62 = 6.85$ mm.
TR	5.03 ms	
TE	1.9 ms	
averages	1	NEX
concatenations	1	
filter	nono	
11101	none	
coil elements	as needed	
con ciements	as 1100000	
Contract tob		
Contrast tab		
(l. 1	20.1	
flip angle	30 deg	

fat suppression	none	
water supp.	none	
Dixon	no	
save original images	on	
averaging mode	short term	
reconstruction	magnitude	
measurements	40	
measurement series	each measurement	
pause after measurement	0 sec	
Resolution tab		
base resolution	256	readout pixel size 1.56 mm
phase resolution	62%	phase pixel size 2.52 mm
slice resolution	62%	Controls zero-filling in slice. If no partial Fourier processing is used, 16 partitions are acquired. The raw matrix is padded with 10 zeros to reconstruct 26 slices: $16 / 0.62 = 26$. Divide the slice spacing by the slice resolution to get the slice thickness: $4.25 / 0.62 = 6.85$ mm
phase partial Fourier	choose 7/8ths here or below (slice)	If 7/8ths is chosen, partial Fourier processing is used to reduce the number of acquired lines to: 256 x $0.62 \times 0.813 \times 7/8 = 113$
slice partial Fourier	choose 7/8ths here or above (phase)	If 7/8ths is chosen, 14 partitions are acquired to provide the data for 16. Ten additional zeros are added to reconstruct 26 slices.
interpolation	on	In-plane zero-filling to 512 x 512.
PAT mode	none	No SENSE or GRAPPA
matrix coil mode	as needed	
image filter	off	
distortion correction	off	also called "large FoV filter"
prescan normalize	off	
normalize	off	Acts on individual slices, so must be turned off.
raw filter	off	
elliptical filter	off	

Geometry card		
multi-slice mode	irrelevant	
series	irrelevant	
special sat.	none	
(remainder)		May be ignored.
System Card		
shim mode	standard	
save uncombined	off	
adjust with body coil	off	
Physio card		
1 st signal/mode	none	
rsp. control	off	
Inline card		
3D centric reordering	off	
(remainder)	off	
Sequence card		
introduction	off	
dimension	3D	
elliptical scanning	off	
asymmetric echo	allowed, weak	
contrasts	1	
bandwidth	250 Hz/pixel	Corresponds to \pm 32 KHz.

·		
optimization	min TE	
DE pulso turo	normal	
RF pulse type	normal	
gradient mode	fast	
e		
• •		
excitation	slab-sel.	
RF spoiling	on	For the FLASH sequence.
Tool Tips		Roll the cursor over the appropriate item to view these.
1		
readout echo	38%	Roll over "echo asymmetry."
position		
matrix size	129 x 256	Roll over "phase resolution." This size includes the effects of reduced pixel
		resolution and rectangular FoV.
slab thickness	110 mm	
pulse sequence	fl3d vibe	Roll over the pulse sequence abbreviation.
r		r r r

1171 SNR protocol: change measurements to 8 and flip angle to 15^o.

1172 Variable flip angle protocol for T₁: one measurement, 4 averages, and flip angles of 2^o, 5^o, 10^o, 15^o, 20^o,

1173 25^o, and 30^o.

1175 QIBA DCE-MRI Abdominal Protocol for VB15, VB17, and VD11 Software

1176 These are the 400 Hz/pixel protocols.

parameter	value	notes
Routine tab		
slabs	1	
distance factor	irrelevant	
position	as needed	
-		
orientation	coronal	
phase enc. dir.	R >>> L	
F		
rotation	0.0 deg	
	0.0 408	
phase oversampling	0%	
phase oversampting	070	
slice oversampling	0%	
shee oversampning	070	
slices per slab	26	Reconstructed images, interpolated by zero-filling. The slab thickness is $4.25 \times 26 =$
shees per stab	20	110.5 mm
FoV read	400	
FoV phase	81.3%	325 mm
slice thickness	4.25 mm	For 3-D, this is the slice <i>spacing</i> . The true slice thickness is this number divided by the
		slice resolution, in this case, $4.25 \pm 0.62 = 6.85$ mm
TR	3.61 ms	4.25 / 0.62 = 6.85 mm. VD11, Aera
IIX	3.91 ms	VB17, Espree
	4.76 ms	VB15B, Verio
TE	1.49 ms	VD11, Aera
	1.48 ms	VB17, Espree
	1.43 ms	VB15B, Verio
averages		NEX
concatenations	1	
<u>(*1)</u>		
filter	none	
coil elements	as needed	
Contrast tab		
	L	

flip angle	30 deg	
fat suppression	none	
lat suppression	none	
water suppression	none	
Dixon	no	
DIXOII	110	
save original images	on	
averaging mode	short term	
averaging mode	short term	
reconstruction	magnitude	
measurements	50	as needed
measurement series	each	
	measurement	
pause after	0 sec	for all measurements
measurement		
Resolution tab		
Resolution tab		
base resolution	256	readout pixel size 1.56 mm
phase resolution	62%	phase pixel size 2.52 mm
slice resolution	62%	Controls zero-filling in slice.
		Sixteen partitions are acquired. The raw matrix is padded with 10 zeros to reconstruct
		26 slices:
		16 / 0.62 = 26
		Divide the slice spacing by the slice resolution to get the slice thickness: $4.25 / 0.62 =$
		6.85 mm
phase partial Fourier	off	No further reduction in the number of acquired lines:
		$256 \ge 0.62 \ge 0.813 = 129$
slice partial Fourier	off	No further reduction in the number of acquired partitions (16).
interpolation	on	In-plane zero-filling to 512 x 512.
PAT mode	none	No SENSE or GRAPPA
matrix coil mode	as needed	
image filter	off	
inage inter	011	
distortion correction	off	
prescan normalize	off	
presean normalize		
normalize	off	Acts on individual slices, so must be turned off.
\mathbf{B}_1 filter	off	
raw filter	off	
iaw intel	011	
elliptical filter	off	
-		

POCS	off	
Geometry card		
multi-slice mode	irrelevant	
series	irrelevant	
special sat.	none	
Set-n-Go Protocol	off	
inline composing	off	
System Card		
shim mode	tune up	
save uncombined	off	
adjust with body coil	off	
confirm freq. adjustment	off	
Physio card		
1 st signal/mode	none	
resp. control	off	
Inline card		
3D centric reordering	off	
(remainder)	off	
Sequence card		
introduction	off	
dimension	3D	
elliptical scanning	off	

asymmetric echo	allowed, weak	
contrasts	1	
bandwidth	400 Hz/pixel	Corresponds to ± 51.2 KHz.
optimization	min TE	
RF pulse type	normal	
gradient mode	fast normal fast	VD11, Aera VB17, Espree VB15B, Verio
excitation	slab-sel.	
RF spoiling	on	For the FLASH sequence.
Tool Tips		Roll the cursor over the appropriate item to view these.
readout echo position	38%	Roll over "echo asymmetry."
matrix size	129 x 256	Roll over "phase resolution." This size includes the effects of reduced pixel resolution and rectangular FoV.
slab thickness	110 mm	
pulse sequence	fl3d_vibe	Roll over the pulse sequence abbreviation.

1179

1180 SNR protocol: change measurements to 8 and flip angle to 15^o.

1181 Variable flip angle protocol for T₁: one measurement, 4 averages, and flip angles of 2^o, 5^o, 10^o, 15^o, 20^o,

1182 25º, and 30º.

GE

GE GE Scanners	
DCE Scan	
B0:	1.5T
Grad Subsystem:	BRM, TRM (Zoom), CRM, XRM
Coil:	Torso Phased Array
Sequence:	3D FSPGR
Slice orientation:	Oblique Coronal
Imaging Options:	EDR, MPH, ZIP2, ZIP512
User CVs:	Turbo ¹ =2 / Slice res=100%
TE (ms):	0.9
TR (ms):	4.1 ²
Flip Angle (deg):	30
Bandwidth:	+/- 32 kHz
NEX:	1
FOV (cm):	40
Phase FOV:	0.8
Slice Thickness (mm):	5
# locs per slab:	16
Acquisition matrix:	256 x 160
Freq Direction:	S/I
Scan time/volume:	8.5 ² sec
Scan time / 40 volumes:	5:40 ² min
T1 Mapping Protocol	
B0:	1.5T
Grad Subsystem:	BRM, TRM (Zoom), CRM, XRM
Coil:	Torso Phased Array
Sequence:	3D FSPGR
Slice orientation:	Oblique Coronal
Imaging Options:	EDR, MPH, ZIP2, ZIP512
User CVs:	Turbo ¹ =0 / Slice res=100%
TE (ms):	1.0
TR (ms):	5.2 ²
Flip Angle (deg):	2, 5, 10, 15, 20, 25, 30
Bandwidth:	+/- 32 kHz
NEX:	4
FOV (cm):	40
Phase FOV:	0.8
Slice Thickness (mm):	5
# locs per slab:	16
Acquisition matrix:	256 x 160
Freq Direction:	S/I
Acq Time (min):	43 ² sec / flip angle

¹¹⁸⁵ Notes:

1.

larger flip angle values.

1187 1188 1189

1186

- 1190 1191
- 1192
- 1193

values are obtained if in Whole Mode), and XRM platforms.

Turbo (User CV or Advanced) should be set to 2 (fastest) for the DCE scan, but should be set to 0 (slowest) for the T1

particular gradient subsystem used for the scans. The values above were obtained on a CRM platform and similar or

slightly longer values can be obtained on BRM platforms, TRM platforms (if in Zoom Mode; substantially longer TR

2. The value of TR and, therefore, the scan time/volume and total scan time, will change slightly depending on the

mapping scans. If Turbo is set to 2 for the T1 mapping scans, the value of TE will change with flip angle, particularly for

1194 Phillips

INFO PAG	E	GEOMET	RY	CONTR	AST
Total scan duration	05:50.3	Nucleus	H1	Scan type	Imaging
Rel. signal level (%)	100	Coil selection	SENSE-XL-Torso	Scan mode	3D
Act. TR/TE (ms)	5.0 / 2.4	element selection	All	technique	FFE
Dyn. scan time	00:08.3	connection	d	Contrast enhancement	T1
Time to k0	00:01.9	Dual coil	no	Acquisition mode	cartesian
ACQ matrix M x P	256 x 162	CLEAR	no	Fast Imaging mode	none
ACQ voxel MPS (mm)	1.64 / 2.10 / 4.00	FOV FH (mm)	420	3D non-selective	no
REC voxel MPS (mm)	0.82 / 0.82 / 2.00	RL (mm)	341.25	Echoes	1
Scan percentage (%)	78.125	AP (mm)	48	partial echo	no
Act. WFS (pix) / BW (Hz)	0.692 / 313.8	Voxel size FH (mm)	1.64	shifted echo	no
Min. WFS (pix) / Max. BW (Hz)		RL (mm)	2.1	TE	shortest
SAR / whole body	< 40 % / 1.6 W/kg	AP (mm)	2	Flip angle (deg)	30
Whole body / level	< 1.6 W/kg /	Recon voxel size (mm)	0.8203125	TR	shortest
thole body / level	normal	Fold-over suppression	ves	Halfscan	yes
B1 rms [uT]	2.865556	Slice oversampling	user defined	factor Y	0.65
PNS / level	44 % / normal	oversample factor	1	factor Z	0.85
Sound Pressure Level (dB)	20.09241	Reconstruction matrix	512	Water-fat shift	maximum
MOTION		SENSE		Shim	volume
Cardiac synchronization	no	k-t BLAST	no		
Respiratory compensation	no		no	ShimAlign	no
Navigator respiratory comp	no	Overcontiguous slices	yes	Fat suppression	no
Flow compensation	no	Stacks	1	Water suppression	no
fMRI echo stabilisation	no	slices	24	MTC	no
NSA	2	slice orientation	coronal	Research prepulse	no
SMART		fold-over direction	RL	Diffusion mode	no
	yes	fat shift direction	F	Elastography mode	no
DYN/ANG		Chunks	1	SAR mode	high
Angio / Contrast enh.	contrast enh.	PlanAlign	no	B1 mode	default
Quantitative flow	no	REST slabs	0	PNS mode	high
CE profile order	linear	Catheter tracking	no	Gradient mode	maximum
Manual start	no	Interactive positioning	no	SofTone mode	no
Dynamic study	individual	Allow table movement	no		
dyn scans	42	OFFC/A	NG		
recon multiplier	1	Stacks	1		
dyn scan times	user defined	Stack Offc. AP (P=+mm)	0		
(mm:ss)	shortest (00:00.0),	RL (L=+mm)	0		
	shortest (00:08.4), shortest (00:16.7),	FH (H=+mm)	0		
	shortest (00:25.0),	Ang. AP (deg)	0		
	shortest (00:33.4),	RL (deg)	0		
	manual (00:41.7),	FH (deg)	0		
	shortest (00:50.1),	Shim Size AP (mm)	100		
	shortest (00:58.4), shortest (01:06.8),	RL (mm)	100		
	shortest (01:15.1),	FH (mm)	100		
	shortest (01:23.4),	Offc. AP (P=+mm)	0		
	shortest (01:31.8),	RL (L=+mm)	0		
	shortest (01:40.1), shortest (01:48.5),	FH (H=+mm)	0	7	
	ISDOPTEST (011:48(5))		0	-	

QIBA Profile Format 2.0

		0
		0
		0
shortest (02:21.8),	(deg)	V
shortest (02:30.2),		
shortest (02:38.5),		
shortest (02:46.8),		
shortest (03:53.6),		
shortest (05:17.0),		
shortest (05:25.3),		
shortest (05:33.6),		
shortest (05:42.0),		
1.1		
no, no, no, no		
no, no, no, no		
Liver		
Liver soft		
Liver		
Liver soft real time		
Liver soft real time no		
	shortest (02:38.5), shortest (02:46.8), shortest (02:55.2), shortest (03:03.5), shortest (03:03.5), shortest (03:20.2), shortest (03:28.5), shortest (03:28.5), shortest (03:36.9), shortest (03:45.2), shortest (03:45.2), shortest (04:10.2), shortest (04:10.2), shortest (04:10.2), shortest (04:10.2), shortest (04:26.9), shortest (04:26.9), shortest (04:35.3), shortest (04:35.3), shortest (04:35.4), shortest (04:51.9), shortest (05:00.3), shortest (05:00.3), shortest (05:25.3), shortest (05:25.3), shortest (05:33.6), shortest (05:42.0),	shortest (01:56.8), shortest (02:05.1), shortest (02:13.5), shortest (02:13.5), shortest (02:21.8), shortest (02:30.2), shortest (02:38.5), shortest (02:38.5), shortest (02:38.5), shortest (02:32.2), shortest (03:20.2), shortest (04:20.9), shortest (04:26.9), shortest (04:26.9), shortest (05:19), shortest (05:00.3), shortest (05:25.3), shortest (05:42.0),

1200