

QIBA CT Volumetry Biomarker Committee (BC)

21 March 2023 at 11 AM (CT)

Call Summary

In attendance

Ritu Gill, MD, MPH (Co-Chair)

Rudresh Jarecha, MBBS, DNB, DMRE (Co-Chair)

Maria Athelougou, PhD

Hubert Beaumont, PhD

Heang-Ping Chan, PhD

Sean Fain, PhD

Mathis Konrad, MSc

James Mulshine, MD

Jayant Narang, MD

Nancy Obuchowski, PhD

Nicholas Petrick, PhD

Ying Tang, PhD

Pierre Tervé, MS

Gudrun Zahlmann, PhD

RSNA

Joe Koudelik

Julie Lisiecki

Moderator: Dr. Jarecha

Discussion Topics:

- Plans for Stage 4 Study ([reference 11/1/2022 notes](#))

[Updates on Progress toward Proposed Plan A – \(Lung Stage 4\)](#)

- Dr. Beaumont has two sites in Europe that are willing to test the QIBA CT Volumetry Profile
 - Cancer center in France
 - Clean protocol of the study is needed
 - Site in Monaco – where no supervisory committee exists
 - Protocol with clear and simple instructions is needed
- Unresolved questions include:
 - Patient population – inclusion and exclusion criteria
 - Measurement parameters
 - Step-by-step instructions for how to make and share measurements, and use the phantom(s)
 - Place to store patient scans and data for expert review and evaluations
- Dr. Obuchowski needs a statement of objective / aim for the study to calculate necessary measurements
 - CT Vol BC wants to confirm clinically relevant use of the Profile via utilization by a clinical site
 - Hurdle is the need for patient scans
- Human clinical study protocol and proper site protocol would be needed
 - May be able to do some scanner compliance with phantom QC
- Test-retest data are needed for a large clinical study or clinical trial
 - This will be very complicated
 - Trying to consider if other Profile elements/metrics could compensate for use of patient double CT scans
- Online conformance checklist and conformance model used by QIBA FDG-PET/CT BC recommended by Dr. Zahlmann

[Challenges in Developing a Conformance Program](#)

- Scientific reviewer or review team would be needed
- This is beyond the scope of the checklist – not certain how this would be achieved
- No platform exists to acquire, store, and analyze data on a regular basis
- Human interaction needed (similar to the EARL/RadSite pilots with Dr. Jeffrey Yap and the FDG-PET/CT BC)
 - This would necessitate detailed review of submissions by sites
 - Tailored recommendations for improvement to sites in order to achieve Profile conformance
 - Email feedback and follow up dialogue with sites from scientific reviewer(s)

[Link to detailed discussion notes: 11/01/2022](#)

Proposed plans (for reference)

1) Plan A – Lung Stage 4

- a. Try to advance the Stage 3 Lung Profile to Stage 4
- b. Clinical setting needed
- c. Challenge is CT scan and re-scan of patients to measure performance
- d. May be able to apply one of Dr. Samei's simulated datasets (see how many cases can be used for lung or liver)
- e. A public cloud-based platform is needed

2) Plan B – Liver Stage 2

- a. Expand the Profile to include lymph and liver
- b. May need to go back to Stage 2 (Consensus) to get additional details and create new Profile language
- c. Funding may be needed for this project

3) Plan C – Lung Volume – Proof of Value

- a. Demonstrate the value of existing Profile by showing use of groundwork studies
- b. Design a study to demonstrate how measurements are improved by using the QIBA Profile

New action items:

- Julie to invite small group for next meeting as discussed (3-4 weeks)
-

Ongoing action items: (please strike if complete)

- Drs. Jarecha and Gill to email Dr. Samei to discuss some of the questions raised on 11/1 re: his data
- Dr. Samei to follow up via email re: access to shared dataset for proposed challenges
- All to reach out to research community re: similar coffee break studies but for liver or lymph nodes
- BC leaders to contact Dr. Buckler, as his company hosted the 3A Challenge data and completed the analysis
- Permission would be requested from participants to use segmentation and volume details of the lesions for publication
- Training and clear instructions needed to provide reproducible results
- Update re: Dr. Jarecha to look for candidates to provide cross measurements to aid with determining ground truth: Dr. Narang agreed to support the cross measurements once Dr. Gill has identified the cases and lesion locations.
- Dr. Jarecha to begin drafting some study guidelines for the Stage 4 study
- Dr. Obuchowski to consider an appropriate assessment of the number of radiologists needed for approximately 31 lesions and 14 modules
- Dr. Obuchowski to email the Process Committee working document on study guidelines to Dr. Jarecha (note – this is still in process)
- Dr. Obuchowski to determine if a revised coefficient of variation is needed and share revised sample size plan
- Mr. O'Donnell will double check with Dr. Obuchowski and Mr. Buckler to determine the ideal number of cases needed from RIDER data
- Dr. Obuchowski to adjust section 4.4 to account for precision and bias
- Dr. Obuchowski's revised sample size plan to be shared with Dr. Beaumont (for possible Stage 4 study)
- Suggestion to build use cases for the payers (future Profile version)
- Consider guidance or training data going forward for radiologists to become better "quantitators"
- Other questions to consider:
 - Should the Profile retain repeatability requirements for the radiologist?
 - Should a test of bias and linearity be added?

- Hurdle remains obtaining the test-retest data due to subject exposure to ionizing radiation

Next Call: TBD via doodle poll (need Dr. Samei for next meeting – Small group identified, including
- *Mr. O'Donnell, Dr. Beaumont, Dr. Samei, Dr. Gill, Dr. Jarecha, Dr. Obuchowski* – in 3 weeks or so)

Shared Google document / stage 4 planning:

https://docs.google.com/document/d/1Wcmkzp8N_2ILL-FCyKNPwgsn1BJOs7Z9A1ZyTlkuGCo/edit

- Group editing is welcome. All are invited to share ideas.

Reference: Data are available on the QIDW – <https://qidw.rsna.org/> under CT modality datasets