QIBA fMRI Biomarker Committee (BC) Call

Wednesday, September 18, 2019 at 11 AM CT Call Summary

In attendanceRSNA staffFeroze Mohamed, PhD (Co-chair)Andrew Kalnin, MDDavid Scott, PhDJoe KoudelikDavid Soltysik, PhD (Co-chair)Ho-Ling (Anthony) Liu, PhDJames Voyvodic, PhDSusan StanfaPing Hou, PhDUma Ranjan, MSc, PhDYuxiang Zhou, PhD

Moderator: Dr. Mohamed

Review of Previous Call Summary

• The 08.21.2019 call summary was approved as presented

Profile v2.0 (language-mapping)

- Dr. Liu, v2.0 Profile editor, provided a Profile-writing progress update
- During the Aug. 21 call, an initial draft was presented, and changes were proposed; language-mapping-specific content still needed
 - o It was agreed that while most Profile v1.0 content can be applied to Profile v2.0, Claims will vastly differ
 - Profile will not include a "ground truth" Claim, but rather a reproducibility Claim re: BOLD activation using center-of-mass as a measurement
 - Discussion continued re: reprocessing and re-analyzing data to develop Laterality Index (LI) Claim for language-mapping; it is to be determined whether a multi-subject/multi-site effort would be needed
 - o Dr. Voyvodic possesses a substantial amount of usable data to be organized and prepared for analysis
 - Included are scans on 562 subjects with multiple language scans for 100+ time points
 - The first step is to re-process the data to generate language maps and QA metrics for motion and consistency of task performance; this will be used to screen-out subjects that do not meet criteria for "good scans/data"
 - Need to define "good data" and the associated criteria
 - There are different permutations of both within-session and across-session data and not all the same tasks were performed
 - More than half of the scans are within-session and more than half are with the same task performed twice
 - Discussion re: difference between within-session and across-session scans; the difference in data across sessions was minimal
 - The greater amount of usable data available, the more statistically sound the Claim will be (i.e., if 500 cases analyzed, could be within 5 mm with 95% confidence)
 - Rather than using clinical goals, the fMRI BC will consider how well tasks used for brain mapping can be used as a reference for a generic activation
 - Plenty of human subject language-mapping data using a sentence completion task and word generation task based on antonyms are available; agreement that Claim should be based on data from specific tasks used during studies
 - Additional discussion re: word tasks fMRI BC members have used with their subjects, the disparities among them, and language areas activated
 - Areas of activation tend to be similar, but they will activate to various degrees among different individuals in response to different tasks
 - Dr. Voyvodic's data was deemed reproducible as a result of using various methods of screening for quality

- o Discussion re: how to develop a Claim based on activation of specific regions of the brain
 - A template of broad regions of interest (ROIs) based on anatomy was developed and registered to the brain of each subject
 - Then, within anatomical regions, the largest clusters of activation were identified
 - To compare two different tasks when conducting a cluster-based analysis, two activation maps were examined to see whether:
 - Cluster locations (activation areas) lined up
 - Cluster sizes were similar
 - Located on the same side of the brain
 - Discussion re: whether focusing on largest cluster would be most relevant for this study
 - Clusters would be sorted by size, but not only the largest would be examined
 - Language activation maps may include 5 or 6 significant sized clusters in a given region, the "most important" cluster would be difficult to determine because the task would activate all of them to different degrees
 - Any cluster with more than 10 voxels would be evaluated to see whether it was reproducible in two different language scans
 - It was noted that specific areas are almost always activated by any language task, but whether activation is due more to motor or to language would be unknown
 - A better sense of brain region and cluster activation is needed to correlate with a loss of function
 - Dr. Voyvodic to continue to learn more about the reproducibility of language-mapping and the variability of patterns by continuing to analyze the data
- Reproducibility/repeatability/reliability studies of language fMRI found during a literature review will be referenced to address the issue of identifying clusters
- Challenges remain re: methodology for analyzing the data and deciding which scans could meet the qualifications for the Claim (clear criteria for defining a "good" scan still need to be determined)
- Task activation will be needed to meet the Profile Claim; Dr. Voyvodic defined a reasonable threshold criterion for identifying active voxels
- Dr. Voyvodic welcomed feedback on motion DRO article that will soon be submitted for publication

Reminder:

- Please <u>RSVP for the Dec. 4 QIBA Working Meeting</u> during the 2019 RSNA Annual Meeting
- Please sign up for the RSNA 2019 MTE Sessions at the QIBA Kiosk:
 - Type in your name next to the presentation time slot that works for you (we encourage that each 30-minute time slot is filled by at least one committee member)
 - o Simply close out of the document (there is no save button and changes will automatically save)

NEW! Visit the QIBA Citations EndNote Library! Details can be found on the QIBA Wiki Education page

Next call: Wednesday, September 18, 2019 at 11am CT (1st & 3rd weeks of each month)