

## QIBA fMRI Biomarker Committee (BC) Call

Wednesday, February 7, 2018 at 11 AM CT

Call Summary

### In attendance

*Jay Pillai, MD (Co-chair)*

*David Soltysik, PhD (Co-chair)*

Ted DeYoe, PhD

Nancy Obuchowski, PhD

Yunhong Shu, PhD

### RSNA

Joe Koudelik

Susan Weinmann

### Review of Previous Call Summary

- The 01.24.18 call summary was approved as presented

### QIBA Checklist: fMRI Center of Mass Estimation for presurgical Assessment (fMRI-CMA)

- With help from Drs. Elsinger, Pillai and Soltysik, and using the CT Volumetry checklist as a reference, Dr. Mohamed drafted an fMRI checklist which can be found on the QIBA Wiki at:  
[http://qibawiki.rsna.org/images/3/35/QIBA\\_CVol\\_TumorVolumeChangeChecklist-20161205\\_-\\_Field\\_Test\\_version\\_1.0.docx](http://qibawiki.rsna.org/images/3/35/QIBA_CVol_TumorVolumeChangeChecklist-20161205_-_Field_Test_version_1.0.docx)
- Overall rationale for the checklist and how fMRI BC plans to address general comments regarding Profile v1.0 was explained by Dr. Pillai
  - Dr. Elsinger will be triaging the low, medium and high priority comments for committee review
- Differing requirements for site conformance vs. actor conformance discussed, e.g. sites required to generate test-retest data
- Assessment procedures divided into subsections by actor type; each actor to have required performance tests to pass to prove conformance
- Method-to-measure conformance to be provided
- The Profile user needs to:
  - Be able to acquire data from the scanner that matches the QA measurement data within QIBA Profile specifications
  - Demonstrate that center-of-mass calculation is within QIBA Profile specifications (can be assessed using DROs rather than acquired empirical data)
  - Assess bias and repeatability using the data provided
- Focus of discussion was on computing Center of Mass of Activation (CMA) repeatability
- Statistical assumptions underlying current fMRI Profile v1.0 Claims:
  - Certain repeatability is assumed
  - There is no bias in determination of center of mass
- Two stages of feasibility-testing:
  - Sites test Claims
  - Manufacturer tests to see if scanner is conformant

- As action items for future discussion by the fMRI BC committee, Dr. Pillai suggested the following in order to complete the checklist draft:
  - Need to determine consensus methodology for selection of an appropriate ROI for primary motor activation per current Profile claims
  - Need to determine the optimal statistical thresholding approach to include, e.g. 50-60% AMPLE normalization suggested
  - Need to determine acceptable within-subject repeatability metrics, e.g. 5mm variability between subjects has been suggested

**Next calls:**

- QIBA fMRI Bias TF call – Tuesday, February 13, 2018 at 1 PM CT---focus will be on continuation of discussion of the checklist (Dr. Voyvodic will discuss some of his motor reproducibility data in this context)
- QIBA fMRI Biomarker Committee call – Wednesday, February 21, 2018 at 11am CT

---

RSNA Staff attempt to identify and capture all committee members participating on WebEx calls. However, **if multiple callers join simultaneously or call in without logging on to the WebEx, identification is not possible.** Call participants are welcome to contact RSNA staff at [QIBA@RSNA.org](mailto:QIBA@RSNA.org) if their attendance is not reflected on the call summaries.