

Ambition level	Scope	Potential activities and deliverables 2013	Questions
Ambition level 1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• QuIC-ConCePT and QIBA exchange information and plans.</li> <li>• Mutual advice.</li> <li>• Duplication avoided.</li> <li>• QuIC-ConCePT trials either (a) use QIBA protocols or (b) document deviations with reasons</li> </ul>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Review QuIC-ConCePT trials either underway or planned to start before end-2013. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Which ones use(d) QIBA-compatible protocols?</li> <li>• Which ones won't/didn't? Why?</li> </ul> </li> <li>2. Run QIBA phantoms on QuIC-ConCePT sites?</li> <li>3. Joint abstracts / publications?</li> <li>4. Extend to preclinical?</li> </ol>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• How can data from these QuIC-ConCePT trials help QIBA mission?</li> <li>• Can phantom data reassure QuIC-ConCePT our sites deliver high-quality ADC (top quartile?)</li> </ul>
Ambition level 2	<p>As ambition level 1 but add:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• QuIC-ConCePT data contribute to QIBA FDA submission.</li> <li>• QIBA data support QuIC-ConCePT engagement with EMA</li> </ul>		Timescales and plans?
Ambition level 3	<p>As ambition level 2 but add:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Joint funding (e.g. industry, US; EU, or 3<sup>rd</sup>-country) secured for additional projects</li> </ul>	<p>Several of the QuIC-ConCePT participants (e.g. Sinkus, Jackson, Waterton) are interested in image heterogeneity (ADC or DWI) and have introduced different strategies and algorithms. However these have not been systematically compared or evaluated.</p>	Is there interest in developing and delivering research ideas in this area?