QIBA Musculoskeletal (MSK) Biomarker Committee (BC) Call

Tuesday, February 18, 2019 at 10:30 AM CT

Call Summary

In attendance

			RSNA
Xiaojuan Li, PhD (Co-Chair)	Alejandro Espinoza, PhD	Yuxi Pang, PhD	Joe Koudelik
Thomas Link, MD, PhD (Co-Chair)	Gabby Joseph, PhD	Erika Schneider, PhD	Susan Stanfa
Michael Boss, PhD	Feliks Kogan, PhD	Ramya Srinivasan, MD	
Angie Botto-van Bemden, PhD	Leon Lenchik, MD	Carl Winalski, MD	
Robert Boutin, MD	Kecheng Liu, PhD, MBA	Cory Wyatt, PhD	
Majid Chalian, MD	Nancy Obuchowski, PhD		

Moderator: Dr. Link

Presentation: **Defining Normative MRI cartilage T$_2$ values in the knee: data from the Osteoarthritis Initiative (Dr. Gabby Joseph, PhD and Thomas Link, MD, PhD)**

[Some information taken from presentation slides]

- Two previous research studies were highlighted: T$_2$ Reference Database → T2 Z-score quantification
- Joseph GB, McCulloch CE, Nevitt MC, Heilmeier U, Nardo L, Lynch JA, et al. **A reference database of cartilage 3 T MRI T$_2$ values in knees without diagnostic evidence of cartilage degeneration: data from the osteoarthritis initiative**. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage*. 2015; 23(6):897-905. doi: 10.1016/j.joca.2015.02.006.
  - o Purpose:
    - To establish a gender- and BMI-specific reference database of cartilage T$_2$ values
    - To assess the associations between cartilage T2 values and gender, age, and BMI in knees without radiographic osteoarthritis or MRI-based (WORMS 0/1) evidence of cartilage degeneration

  - o Why normative values?
    - Provide a reference database of T$_2$ values for future studies with similar acquisition and scanning methodologies
    - Enable comparisons to studies that focus on cohorts with expected abnormal T$_2$ values

  - o Inclusion and exclusion criteria were provided and imaging methods and statistics were outlined
  - o Results were provided:
    - Percentiles by gender and BMI
    - Adjusted T$_2$ values in males and females
    - T$_2$ and BMI in normal, overweight and obese subjects
    - The association between BMI and cartilage T$_2$ – figure showed adjusted means with 95% confidence intervals
    - The association between age and cartilage T$_2$ – figure showed adjusted means with 95% confidence intervals

  - o Discussion:
    - Substantial variation found among subject and between cartilage compartments
    - While an association between cartilage T$_2$ and both age and gender was established, the association with BMI was the most pronounced (e.g., the higher BMI resulted in higher image noise)
    - The age and BMI relationship was not studied
    - Patients that were obese were more susceptible to developing cartilage defects and damage

- Age had very little impact on T_2 measurements; it was only significant in the patella and was borderline in the medial femur
- There was not much difference in results between males and females
- Interactions between muscle and cartilage were not addressed in this study
- T_2 results were available only for the right knee
- Ultimately, this study has data that are useful for only a specific technique – T_2; data are not available for T1ρ

- Joseph GB, McCulloch CE, Nevitt MC, Gersing AS, Schwaiger BJ, Kretzschmar M, et al. **Medial femur T_2 Z-scores predict the probability of knee structural worsening over 4-8 years: Data from the osteoarthritis initiative**. *Journal of Magnetic Resonance Imaging*. 2017; 46(4):1128-1136. doi: 10.1002/jmri.25662.
  o Subject selection was detailed and methods were explained
  o Results regarding the association between baseline cartilage T_2 Z-score and the probability of progression of OA were provided; the higher the Z-score, the higher probability of cartilage degeneration in the patient's future
  o Discussion: knowing a T_2 Z-score may be beneficial for clinicians to identify patients at risk for OA progression; these patients would benefit most from modifiable lifestyle changes that slow OA progression
  o Factors that affect T_2 quantification:
    - Reference database/Z-score calculation feasible with the OAI data since the following factors were standardized:
      - MRI scanner
      - Field strength
      - RF coil
      - MRI pulse sequence
      - T_2 fitting method

  o Comment re: interest in data for joint space narrowing
  o Baseline to discuss data interpretation and how to deal with it; a good reference database exists for T_2, but not for newer sequences and T1ρ
  o Suggestion to define Z-scores instead of absolute values
  o For standardization, a Z-score that is site-dependent rather than scanner dependent was suggested, since the absolute values between scanners show high variation
  o Caution voiced regarding having an imaging protocol/Profile be scanner dependent
  o Suggestion to use OA phantom
    - Caution to avoid overcorrecting data is needed
    - Both OAI and MAPSS sequences were used in the Arthritis Foundation (AF) phantom study
      - Systematic differences were identified; correlation and differences were seen between the two
      - The phantom used in the AF study was not an OAI phantom, but another calibration phantom

    - There was concern re: the life span of phantoms
    - Material components were solutions (not gel-based) and should be easy to reproduce
    - While solutions are typically quite stable; better reproducibility when using agar

  o **It was advised that the MSK Profile and protocol be site and scanner independent**
  o Z-scores are not as dependent on absolute values; data needed for comparison between sites

- o Z-scores will be better than absolute values in regard to reducing variability and dependence from different scanners
- o This discussion will inform the work on Section 3.9: Data Interpretation of the MSK Profile and will be continued during an upcoming MSK BC call

Next Call: Tuesday, March 26, 2019 at 10 AM CT

RSNA Staff attempt to identify and capture all committee members participating on WebEx calls. However, **if multiple callers join simultaneously or call in without logging on to the WebEx, identification is not possible.** Call participants are welcome to contact RSNA staff at QIBA@RSNA.org if their attendance is not reflected on the call summaries.