Public Comment Form for QIBA Documents

Notes:

- 1. **Initials** identify the commenter to facilitate clarification of the issue and/or communication of the resolution.
- 2. Priority
 - L: Low. Typo or other minor correction that an editor can manage; requires no group discussion.
 - M: Medium issue or clarification. Requires discussion, but should not lead to long debate.
 - **H**: High. Important issue where there is a major issue to be resolved; requires discussion/debate.
- 3. **Line** # shows exactly where in the original document the issue occurs, and is necessary for sorting.
- 4. **Section** # shows in which section the issue occurs (e.g., 4.1.2)
- 5. **Issue**: Describe your issue; include enough to indicate what you see as a problem.
- 6. **Proposal**: Propose a resolution to your issue, e.g., suggested new wording or description of a way to address the issue; leave blank if no resolution can be provided.

Document Filename: QIBA FDG-PET/CT as an Imaging Biomarker Measuring Response to Cancer Therapy v1.02

Public Comment Review Period: 17Jan2013 – 15Feb2013

Leave Blank	Your Initials	Priority L M H	Line # (Please indicate either Line # or Section #)	Section #	Issue	Proposal	Leave Blank
1	esp	L	68	1	Missing word – 'to'	Intended 'to' account	
2	LP	L	68	1.	missing "to" in sentence	change to: "categories are intended to account"	
3	esp	L	104	1	Туро	Change profile to initial cap 'Profile'	
4	esp	L	123	2	Туро	Change profile to initial cap 'Profile'	
5	esp	L	124	2	Туро	Change profile to initial cap 'Profile'	
6	esp	L	126	2	Туро	Remove period '.' after tumors and before [
7	esp	L	166	2	Define term	PSF – Point Spread Function	
8	esp	L	167	2	Define term	TOF – Time of Flight	
9	esp	L	176	3	Grammar	Change first word 'the' to 'of'	
10	LP	L	175- 176	3.	missing "of" in sentence	change to: "SUVx refers to one of the several"	
11	esp	L	176	3	Punctuation/Grammar	Remove comma and insert 'or' between SUVmax and SUVpeak	
12	esp	M	193	3	Clarification of mathematical formula	Insert brackets [] around entire fraction and add 'x 100' in order to get percentage	
13	esp	L	202	3.1	Туро	Change profile to initial cap 'Profile'	
14	esp	L	208	3.1.1	Term change	Change 'patients' to 'subjects'	
15	esp	L	253	3.1.2	Incorrect intra-document reference	Change to Section '3.2.1' instead of '2.1'	

l

Leave Blank	Your Initials	Priority L M H	Line # (Please indicate either Line # or Section #)	Section #	Issue	Proposal	Leave Blank
			462			multiple line items in tabular format in this Section	
35	LP	L	484	3.2.1.4	typo	change address to "addresses"	
36	LP	M	524	3.3.1	PET voxel size – not all current scanners can do 3-4mm voxels without reducing the FOV. The GE DST without Dimension console upgrade can only recon to 128x128 matrix = 4.7mm voxels.	change 3-4mm to being IDEAL and make target <5mm. UNLESS the idea is to exclude older generation scanners from clinical trials	
37	SB	M	Table	3.3.2	it says quantitative analysis should only be performed on unprocessed images – what about studies where the PET and CT need registered i.e. patient movement?	Clarify if these images should be excluded from analysis	
38	LP	M	552	3.3.3	no mention of storage of RAW data – this has proved invaluable in cases where recons have not been done correctly. Not difficult to store on modern systems	provide recommendations or say the clinical protocol should indicate if raw data should be stored locally	
39	esp	L	632	3.6.3	Туро	Change profile to initial cap 'Profile'	
40	NPL (JK)	Н	657 (Tabl e)	3.6.3.1.	the objective of the constancy test is to check for instrumental drift, and thus the deviation or bias from a traceable activity is irrelevant. Indeed, one does not even need to know the activity accurately. All one needs to measure is the ionisation current. What is important is that the reading is constant over time (after appropriate decay corrections). The "bias" from the expected value is then monitored via the "Accuracy" parameter, which is set to 2.5%.	the constancy limits should be MUCH tighter than 2.5%, or even better that the limits are decided form a statistical analysis of historical measurements, via a control (or Shewart) chart, with appropriately defined action limits and control limits etc. For the chambers we use at NPL, the standard deviation is more like 0.1%, going back over decades. My guess is that for clinical instruments the limits would be more like 0.5%	
41	LP	Н	657 (Tabl e)	3.6.3.1.	for the accuracy test in the UK, sites do an annual F-18 intercomparison with the primary standard at NPL so the calibrator factor is traceable	An annual F-18 intercomparison with NIST/NPL should be allowed in place of monthly measurements with a traceable source	
42	LP	M	661	3.6.3.1.	is it necessary to have calibration of stadiometers to this level if not using height to adjust SUV	suggest if not using for SUV, calibration at installation is sufficient	
43	LP	L	697	3.6.4	should include kBq/ml	change to "0.1 to 0.2uCi/ml (3.7-7.4kBq/ml)"	
44	LP	M	710	3.6.4	the phantom tests are not easy to follow	include a summary table with all the test names, frequency and a reference to the section with the description	
45	LP	Н	710	3.6.4	in the UK no-one owns the ACR phantom	could the Jasczak phantom which is widely available be used as an alternative for the	

Leave Blank	Your Initials	Priority L M H	Line # (Please indicate either Line # or Section #)	Section #	Issue	Proposal	Leave Blank
						45resolution measurements?	
46	esp	L	722	3.6.4.1	Grammar	Change 'provide' to 'provided'	
47	LP	L	722	3.6.4.1	d missing on "provide"	change to "provided"	
48	esp	L	727	3.6.4.1	Possible misused word	'Uniformly' to 'uniformity'	
49	esp	L	745	3.6.4.4	Grammar	Change 'stacks DICOM of images' to 'stacks of DICOM images' or "of stacked DICOM images"	
50	LP	L	745	3.6.4.4	re word sentence "of stacks DICOM of images"	change to "of stacked DICOM images"	
51	esp	L	787	3.6.5.3	Туро	'portahepatis' should be 'porta hepatis'	
52	SB	M	791	3.6.5.3	add "avoiding the wall of the aorta or areas of calcification"	to read "tracking the long axis of the aorta avoiding the wall of the aorta or areas of calcification."	
53	esp	L-M	808	3.6.6	Grammar – sentence structure unclear intent	what statistics to evaluate and how these performance metrics should be used in the analysis.	
54	LP	M	813	4	include UK NCRI qualification	add UK-NCRI	
55	LP	M	842	4.1	CT scanner calibration – if sites are already scanning a uniform Ge-68 cylinder daily to check the PET calibration could the CT be checked using this phantom (HU will obviously be different, but uniformity and output can be checked) and the water equivalent weekly		
56	LP	Н	842 & 891	4.1 & 4.2	PET calibration should be checked daily with a phantom and ideally tracked in the DICOM header	daily scan of Ge-68 cylinder should be performed	
57	esp	L-M	846- 849	4.2	Informative text is not located with the correlative content in tabular format	Consider relocating informative text regarding SW versioning to Section 4.5	
58	LP	L	857	4.2	incorrect DICOM tag	change "acquisition time" to "series time"	
59	esp	L	886	4.2	typo	Insert hyphen to make 'meta-data'	
60	esp	L	891- 893	4.2	Multiple minor typo's including incorrect or lack of period in tabular section Specification		
61	LP	M	891	4.2	PET Scanner calibration: This test is not clear, it has the same name as the routine Qc tests in the previous table, there is no frequency or activity specified for the PET calibration. Is this referring to cross-calibration? If not, what is the justification for using a 60 min + acquisition for PET scanner calibration?	Clarification of what this test is for	
62	LP	Н	891	4.2	PET Scanner calibration: on GE and Siemens systems there are likely to be jumps bigger than		

Leave	Your	Priority	Line#	Section #	Issue	Proposal	Leave
Blank	Initials	L	(Please indicate				Blank
		M H	either Line # or				
			Section #)				
					this if the manufacturers protocol is followed.		
					(GE quarterly cross-calibration and after source		
					Ge-68 cylinder change on Siemens)		
63	LP	M	891	4.2	SUV should be displayed on the scanner		
			004		workstation to 2d.p		
	LP	M	891	4.2	Decay correction methodology: Wish to have a		
64					DICOM field to indicate if data is derived or		
					original. Series date/time should not be altered		
	LP	M	891	4.2	on derived series Bed position Temporal Differences: Should		
	LI	IVI	091	4.2	include time per bed (0018, 1242)		
65					ActualFrameDuration. Desirable to include slice		
					overlap		
	AS	M	891	4.2	PET-CT Alignment: are mobile PET/CT	Mobile PET-CT scanners often can not be as	
66					scanners expected to be covered in this profile,	well aligned as stationary ones – may want to	
					and expected to be within +/- 2mm alignment?	consider a looser specification.	
	AS	M	891	4.2	PET Radiation Dose: Does a DICOM	If it does, give clear reference to the	
67					Radiopharmaceutical Administration Radiation	specification.	
					Dose Structured Report actually exist?		
	AS	M	891	4.2	PET Voxel Size: Is range truly 3-4 mm in x- and	Shall be able to reconstruct PET voxels with	
68					y-directions, or is this meant to be BETTER	a size of 4 mm or better in all three	
00					THAN 3 to 4 mm. In other words, would a	dimensions	
					reconstruction pixel size of 2.5 mm work?		
69	AS	M	891	4.2	Documentation of Exam Specification: Does	Make modalities clear in this description.	
	T.D.	3.6	000	4.2	this specification apply to both PET and CT?		
70	LP	M	900	4.3	Should have DICOM field to indicate if TOF and		
	LP	M	900	4.3	resolution recovery are on or off		
	LP	M	900	4.3	Reconstruction parameters: Should be in DICOM header (0054, 1103)		
					ReconstructionMethod and (0018, 1210)		
71					convolutionKernel. Desirable to have iterations		
					and subsets		
					and subsets		
72	esp	L	911	4.4	Missing word	Insert 'a' as 'a' separate file	
73	esp	L	915	4.4	Missing format / punctuation	Insert close parenthesis as end of sentence.	
74	LP	L	924	4.4.1	ROI output stats: SUV is unitless	delete g/ml	
	esp	M	924+	4.4.1	ROI Output Statistics row: modify a	Insert 'have the capability' so that it reads	
75					specification to allow user flexibility without	"Shall have the capability to output results	
					minimizing manufacturer requirement	with at least two decimal places."	
76	AS	M		4.4.3	DICOM Compliance: not clear what	Perhaps list equipment that image data will be	

Leave Blank	Your Initials	Priority L M H	Line # (Please indicate either	Section #	Issue	Proposal	Leave Blank
		п	Line # or Section #)				
					"transferable" means. Can this be made more clear?	transferred to: PACS, HIS, RIS, etc.	
77	esp	L	951	Referen	Missing period at end of reference	Add period '.' at end of reference	
78	esp	L	954	Referen	Missing period at end of reference	Add period '.' at end of reference	
79	esp	М-Н	1072	App. A	Need to insert list of members	TBD by chairpersons / RSNA staff	
80	esp	L	1081	App. B	Punctuation	Add comma after expected As expected,	
81	esp	L	1096	App. B	Typo?	Abbreviation of within coefficient of variation should be wCOV based on earlier reference to COV as abbreviation	
82	esp	L	1145	App. C	Punctuation	Add period '.' at end of sentence	
83	esp	L	1155	App. C	Туро	Change initial word, 'no' to 'on'	
84	esp	L	1156	App. C	Missing word	used 'to' refer to	
85	PM	Н	1168	Appendi x C	SUV is dimensionless, there should be a density term in the definition of SUV		
86	esp	М-Н	1204- 1211	App. C	The document indicates that guidelines for response criteria threshold by SUV change is beyond scope, then indicates these threshold in this Section which is inconsistent	Either insert disclaimer language in this Section or delete these specific threshold statements for PMR, CMR, PMD, SMD	
87	esp	L	1215	App. C	QA acronym is not defined	QA - Quality Assurance is a proactive	
88	esp	L	1218	App. C	QC acronym is not defined	QC - Quality Control describes specific tests.	
89	AS	M		Various	Vendors will need standards in order to implement specifications in gray boxes. Examples are interfacing to blood glucose, weight, etc. measurement machines, and those that require DICOM fields that don't exist, yet.	Progress on standards adoption as it relates to this QIBA profile will need to be dynamic and tracked. Perhaps put a link on the website where this Profile will be stored?	
90	AS	M	1451-	Apdx F Regarding DRO	Better description of how exactly partial volume effects are incorporated into the phantom to make it easier for external groups to self-validate.	Provide more details such that internal institution tests can be developed and run.	
91	AS	M	1451-	Apdx F Regarding DRO	Not enough detail in Fig. 2's Table.	Useful if table extended with acceptable ranges for all values (the columns in the table).	
		-					
		-					
		<u> </u>					1

Add lines as needed.

Please leave the first and last columns blank. The committee will use the first column to number comments and the last column to record resolution.

Thank you for your comments!