

# **Pharma Imaging Network for Therapeutics and Diagnostics (PINTAD)**

## **MEETING SUMMARY**

<b>MEETING SUBJECT:</b>	<b>PINTAD 2014 Telecon</b>
<b>DATE / TIME:</b>	<b>26SEP14 / 11:00 AM EST</b>
<b>PREPARED BY:</b>	<b>Annette Schmid</b>
<b>LOCATION:</b>	<b>Teleconference</b>

### DISCUSSION POINTS:

<b>1</b>	Quick feedback on the new PINTAD website. Invitation to the group to provide feedback on the design and logo. Feedback was positive- it was decided to keep the logo as is.
<b>2</b>	<p>Annette Schmid facilitated follow-up discussion on: PINTAD position on the Reporting of Incidental Findings in Clinical trials</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Increased role and responsibility for pharma/ biotech?</li> <li>▪ Practical and legal considerations for radiologists at site and centrally</li> <li>▪ Key aspects to consider were: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Patient safety</li> <li>• Improving the public perception of the ethics of clinical trial</li> <li>• Meeting the public's expectation of improved care when participating in a clinical trial</li> <li>• Meeting the public's expectation of greater access and control of their health data/ information</li> <li>• A potentially improved and more comprehensive collection of adverse events-resulting in better understanding of the particular drug safety</li> </ul> </li> </ul> <p style="margin-left: 40px;">Key stake holders:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Site treatment teams</li> <li>• Trial sponsors (pharma/ biotech/ cooperatives)</li> <li>• Central labs</li> </ul> <p>Group voiced a wide range of opinions, specifically on the topics below:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Routine requirement of incidental finding reporting by independent reviewers would change the nature of the independent read</li> <li>• Still common time lag between image acquisition and central read may make the reporting less helpful</li> <li>• Questions around the practicality of the reporting of the feedback to the site were raised <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Technology</li> <li>○ Time frame</li> <li>○ Definitions on what should be considered incidental findings by central reader</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Good Samaritan principle should be applied, incidental findings could be reported as long as the time frame appropriate</li> <li>• Law suit potential for central and site readers if something is overlooked.</li> </ul> <p>Group decided to continue discussion at next meeting. Group would collect agreement with some of the key statements made at this meeting in an opinion poll, to be reviewed at next meeting.</p>
<b>3</b>	<p><b>Next meeting</b> Friday, 26 OCT14 11:00 am ET</p>