# QIBA PET Myocardial Blood Flow (MBF) Biomarker Committee (BC)

Monday, March 8, 2021 at 9 am CT Call Summary

In attendance RSNA Staff
Robert deKemp, PhD (Co-Chair) Nancy Obuchowski, PhD Joe Koudelik

Marcelo Di Carli, MD (Co-Chair) Anne M. Smith, PhD Julie Lisiecki Jonathan B. Moody, PhD (Co-Chair) Gudrun Zahlmann, PhD

·

Moderator: Dr. deKemp

Michael Boss, PhD

Discussion

- Dr. deKemp provided an overview of the test-retest literature search which he saved to the Dropbox folder in an Excel document
- Dr. Obuchowski discussed the test-retest data and claim development and noted that not much data are available and Within Subject Coefficient of Variation (WCV) metrics are needed; conducting a metanalysis would be helpful
- Some articles with reproducibility coefficients (RPC) were highlighted
- Most of the studies do not show significant bias between test and retest
- The WCV changes over time Dr. Obuchowski to further review the papers to check for a common metric (same value)
- Dr. Di Carli recently shared RAMPART data with Dr. deKemp and Moody (approximately 500 records), though only 300 of these were randomized, and 100 were part of a placebo arm, which included one scan at baseline and a scan at one year
  - The placebo arm with 100 patients is the largest test-retest dataset where a core lab measured flows, within the context of a clinical trial
  - o Siemens scanners were used, but they were not PET/CT and provided 2D data, not 3D
- Dr. Moody is following up on data use agreements between Brigham and Women's and Invia
  - More data are needed with more contemporary scanners, though Dr. Di Carli noted that flow numbers would be the same, regardless of scanner vintage; he suggested focusing on the ammonia data
  - o Tracer delivery has also changed as it was not originally designed for newer 3D scanners

#### Other comments

- Dr. Boss reminded the group that QIBA wants widespread adoption of Profiles based on what is commonly found in the field and recommended use of data to inform the claims in order to field-test the Profile in the future
- Goal is to raise the performance bar without creating user pushback, i.e., need to avoid creating an overly stringent Profile
- Dr. Obuchowski reminded the group to test assumptions underlying the claim using feasibility testing and the Within Subject Coefficient of Variation (WCV) and bias data, focusing primarily on a longitudinal claim, as bias is currently unknown

### **Action item:**

Dr. Moody to check on data sharing agreement between INVIA and Brigham and Women's Hospital

Next Call: March 22, 2021 at 9 am CT (2<sup>nd</sup> and 4<sup>th</sup> Mondays) at 9 am CT

#### **Process Committee**

• All Profile Editors are encouraged to join the QIBA Process Committee to learn about QIBA writing tips and processes and network with other Profile Editors to exchange best practices

## **Contact information for QIBA Process Committee Leaders:**

• <u>Kevin O'Donnell, MASc</u> (Chair) | <u>Michael Boss, PhD</u> (Co-Chair)

## **QIBA Wiki Resources:**

• Dashboard updates | Profiles | QIBA Profile template | How to Write a QIBA Profile | Claim Guidance

## Inventory of QIBA tools:

• QIBA LinkedIn page (please join / follow) | QIBA News | QIBA Community (discussion board)

Other: QIBA Webpage | QIBA Wiki | QIBA Biomarker Committees | QIBA Organization Chart | Dropbox

**EndNote**: To obtain access to the RSNA EndNote citations, please send an email request to: <a href="mailto:sstanfa@rsna.org">sstanfa@rsna.org</a>.