1C Summary: Reader study to characterize uncertainty in volume and other readerbased sizing of nodules in CT imagery collected on scanners from several vendors. The imaging protocol is to include an ACRIN 6678 branch and an image quality-based, device-independent branch.

- 1. Analyze the accuracy and precision of sizing measures for all design factors including:
- 5-6 site / device (Ind. Variables Tested)
- 2 imaging protocol factors (Ind. Variables Tested); ACRIN6678, imaging quality-based, deviceindependent branch
- Nodule characteristics (shape, size, intensity): Ind. Variables Tested Controlled
- Reader (Ind. Variables Tested Controlled)

The 6 phantom nodules (-10HU, 3 spherical and 3 spiculated in size of 5, 10, 20mm) will be read by radiologists.

2. Determine the minimum detectable level of change that can be achieved when measuring nodules in phantom datasets.

This will be an inference from the aim 1.

Power calculation Study

Since we've learned an intra and inter variation in a single site/device and one protocol from 1A, we can use an estimation of the coefficient of determination (R2) from the regression model on the relative bias (i.e. (measured size –true size)/true size*100). The R2 was approximately 0.30 from regressing the nodule characteristics and readers on the relative bias (Appendix 1), which indicated 30% of the variation in the relative bias can be explained by the nodule characteristics and reader.

A method of multiple-regression can be considered for testing the significant difference in site/device and protocol effects in controlling nodule characteristics and reader in the outcome variable of the relative bias.

Summary Statements

A sample size of 62 achieves 81% power to detect an R-Squared of 0.10 attributed to 2 independent variables of site/device and protocol effects using an F-Test with a significance level (alpha) of 0.05. The variables tested are adjusted for an additional 2 independent variables of nodule characteristics and readers with an R-Squared of 0.30.

This is an initial thought for setting the number of images. In 1C plan, we will have 6 phantoms*2 protocols*5-6 sites= 60~72 images. In this case, the study power will be close to 80%-85% to detect an R-Squared of 0.10, meaning that the protocol difference and the nodule characteristics will additionally explain the 10% variation of relative bias under controlling the reader and nodule characteristics.

Table 1. Numeric Results

				Ind.	Variables	Ind.	Variables
					Tested	С	ontrolled
Power	Ν	Alpha	Beta	Cnt	R2	Cnt	R2
0.80708	62	0.05000	0.19292	2	0.10000	2	0.30000
0.80395	39	0.05000	0.19605	2	0.15000	2	0.30000
0.80721	28	0.05000	0.19279	2	0.20000	2	0.30000
0.80806	17	0.05000	0.19194	2	0.30000	2	0.30000

0.81877	12	0.05000	0.18123	2	0.40000	2	0.30000
0.85157 0.85592	69 44	0.05000	0.14843 0.14408	2 2	0.10000 0.15000	2 2	0.30000
0.85330	31	0.05000	0.14670	2	0.20000	2	0.30000
0.86538	19	0.05000	0.13462	2	0.30000	2	0.30000
0.87066	13	0.05000	0.12934	2	0.40000	2	0.30000
0.90360	80	0.05000	0.09640	2	0.10000	2	0.30000
0.90216	50	0.05000	0.09784	2	0.15000	2	0.30000
0.90898	36	0.05000	0.09102	2	0.20000	2	0.30000
0.90690	21	0.05000	0.09310	2	0.30000	2	0.30000
0.90861	14	0.05000	0.09139	2	0.40000	2	0.30000

Report Definitions

Power is the probability of rejecting a false null hypothesis.

N is the number of observations on which the multiple regression is computed.

Alpha is the probability of rejecting a true null hypothesis. It should be small.

Beta is the probability of accepting a false null hypothesis. It should be small.

Cnt refers to the number of independent variables in that category.

R2 is the amount that is added to the overall R-Squared value by these variables.

Ind. Variables Tested are those variables whose regression coefficients are tested against zero.

Ind. Variables Controlled are those variables whose influence is removed from experimental error.

Appendix1

. reg pcdiff _Ireader_2 _Ir	slice_5mm eader_3 _Irea	ovoid lob der_4 _Ir	ulated spineter spine	culated reader_6	intensityHU10 if vol==1	00 size_mm	order_id session
Source	SS	df	MS		Number of obs	= 480	
Model	65751.0911	13 50	57.77624		F(13, 466) Prob > F	= 14.47 = 0.0000	
Residual	162865.312	466 34	9.496378		R-squared	= 0.2876 = 0.2677	
Total	228616.403	479 47	7.278503		Root MSE	= 18.695	
pcdiff	Coef.	Std. Err	. t	P> t	[95% Conf.	Interval]	
slice_5mm	-3.597743	1.706596	-2.11	0.036	-6.951319	2441661	
ovoid	-4.119627	4.673706	-0.88	0.379	-13.30378	5.06452	
lobulated	5.444625	2.698365	2.02	0.044	.1421549	10.7471	
spiculated	-2.013896	2.698365	-0.75	0.456	-7.316366	3.288574	
intensit~100	2.858539	1.706596	1.67	0.095	4950381	6.212115	
size_mm	.3041635	.2698365	1.13	0.260	2260835	.8344106	
order_id	3.467392	1.706596	2.03	0.043	.1138157	6.820969	
session	2525518	1.706596	-0.15	0.882	-3.606128	3.101025	
_Ireader_2	5.977652	2.955911	2.02	0.044	.169087	11.78622	
_Ireader_3	-4.765562	2.955911	-1.61	0.108	-10.57413	1.043003	
_Ireader_4	-16.9857	2.955911	-5.75	0.000	-22.79427	-11.17714	
_Ireader_5	-18.60195	2.955911	-6.29	0.000	-24.41051	-12.79338	
_Ireader_6	-25.67342	2.955911	-8.69	0.000	-31.48198	-19.86485	
_cons	-1.061574	6.033728	-0.18	0.860	-12.91826	10.79511	

Appendix 2: Graphic illustration of sample size and study power **Chart Section**



References

Cohen, Jacob. 1988. Statistical Power Analysis for the Behavioral Sciences, Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Hillsdale, New Jersey.