

1 QIBA-UPICT Proffered Protocol:

- 2 FDG-PET/CT as an Imaging Biomarker Measuring Response to Cancer Therapy, v1.0 (06.07.2013)
- 3 4

5 Executive Summary

6

7 The FDG-PET/CT subgroup of the Uniform Protocol in Clinical Trial (UPICT) Working Group (now part of 8 QIBA initiative), consisting of imaging physicians and medical physicists worldwide with expertise in 9 early drug development from academic research organizations, government and industry, together with 10 imaging specialists has met regularly through in-person meetings and weekly conference calls over the 11 last 4 years to develop these evidence-based consensus guidelines for the use of FDG-PET/CT in 12 oncology clinical trials. A critical component of the development process was to extract 'verbatim' 13 information from acknowledged key scientific publications on FDG-PET in clinical trials (references) into 14 the appropriate section of the UPICT template; consolidate the information and from the consolidated 15 material, develop consensus statements (where appropriate), identify gaps in scientific knowledge and 16 suggest areas where future investigation may be warranted. The process of conversion from 17 consolidated to consensus was accomplished by the UPICT group in conjunction with input from the 18 SNM FDG-PET Global Harmonization Summit held in Salt Lake City in 2010. 19 20 This UPICT Protocol is intended to guide the performance of whole-body FDG-PET/CT within the context 21 of single- and multi-center clinical trials of oncologic therapies by providing *acceptable (minimum)*, 22 target, and ideal standards for all phases of the imaging examination as defined by the UPICT Template 23 V1.0 with the aim of minimizing intra- and inter-subject, intra- and inter-platform, inter-examination, 24 and inter-institutional variability of primary and/or derived data that might be attributable to factors 25 other than the index intervention under investigation. The specific potential utilities for the FDG-PET/CT 26 study(ies) as performed in accordance with this Protocol within any particular clinical trial could be to 27 utilize qualitative, semi-quantitative, and/or quantitative data for single time point assessments (e.g., 28 diagnosis, staging, eligibility assessment, investigation of predictive and/or prognostic biomarker(s)) 29 and/or for multi-time point comparative assessments (e.g., response assessment, investigation of 30 predictive and/or prognostic biomarker(s)). More generally, such standardization of FDG-PET/CT within 31 the conduct of clinical trials should 1) support internal decision-making in drug, biologic, and device 32 development, 2) provide data to support registration and market-label indications, and 3) support the 33 qualification of FDG-PET as an imaging biomarker (including as a surrogate for clinical endpoints) by 34 supporting meta-analyses of multiple clinical trials. 35 36 This document includes specifications for the performance of CT for the purposes of attenuation 37 correction and/or localization, but does not address the performance of diagnostic CT within the context 38 of FDG-PET/CT; although the integration of diagnostic CT in conjunction with FDG-PET/CT for oncology is 39 acknowledged as potentially useful and appropriate. When the integration of diagnostic CT is desired as 40 part of the imaging protocol within the clinical trial, specifications for the CT portion of the imaging 41 protocol may be derived from other UPICT protocol(s). 42 43 While focused primarily on the use of FDG-PET/CT in the conduct of oncologic clinical trials, this protocol 44 also may have utility for guiding the performance of high quality imaging studies in clinical practice. 45

- 10
- 46
- 47

48	1	Contex	t of the Imaging Protocol within the Clinical Trial
49			
50		1.1	Utilities and Endpoints of the Imaging Protocol
51			
52			The specific utilities for the FDG-PET/CT imaging include:
53 54			 diagnosis and staging of tumors^{1,2 3 4}
55			 prognostic stratification / biomarker^{2,5 4}
56			 treatment planning or triage⁴
57			 edge detection of tumors in radiotherapy planning¹
58			 lesion localization and characterization^{1 4 3}
58 59			 evaluate and quantify tumor response / predictive stratification / biomarker^{1,2,5-7 8}
59 60			 correlation between imaging and tissue biomarkers and/or pathway activity ⁸
61			
62		1.2.	Timing of Imaging within the Clinical Trial Calendar
63		1.2.	
64			The study protocol should specifically define an acceptable time interval that should
65			separate the performance of FDG-PET/CT image acquisition from both (1) the index
66			intervention and (2) other interventions (e.g. chemotherapy, radiotherapy or prior
67			treatment). If response assessment will be based on serial FDG-PET/CT imaging studies,
68			the time interval between the baseline study and the initiation of treatment should also
69			be specified as well as the time intervals between subsequent FDG-PET studies and
70			cycles of treatment. Additionally, the study protocol should specifically define an
71			acceptable timing variance for performance of FDG-PET/CT around each time point at
72			which imaging is specified (i.e., the acceptable window of time during which the imaging
73			may be obtained "on schedule." The timing interval and window are entirely dependent
74			upon 1) the utility for the FDG-PET/CT imaging within the clinical trial, 2) the clinical
75			question that is being investigated, and 3) the specific intervention under investigation.
76			There is some difference of opinion based on the reference source and the specific
77			index intervention. Suggested parameters for timing of FDG-PET/CT within oncologic
78			trials include:
79			
80			• When results of FDG-PET/CT are a study entry criterion, the baseline (eligibility)
81			scan(s) ideally should be performed within 21 days before initiation of the
82			therapeutic intervention. It should be noted that tumors with low FDG uptake (also
83			see Sections 9 and 10) may not be suitable for follow-up studies of treatment
84			response with PET. ⁹
85			• For FDG-avid and evaluable tumors, the minimum interval between the last dose of
86			chemotherapy or biologic therapy and FDG-PET ideally should be 10 days ¹ , with an
87			acceptable interval of up to 14 days ^{2,6} ;
88			• As an alternative if FDG-PET/CT is being used during an ongoing treatment schedule
89			(perhaps as an early predictor of response), the test should be performed at an
90 01			interval within the treatment schedule that is determined by factors including, but
91 02			not limited to, the type of treatment, specific cancer diagnosis, specific treatment
92 02			target, and details of the treatment schedule itself. For example, if the FDG-PET/CT
93			will be performed between cycles that have no "break," the scan might be

94		performed as close to the start of the next cycle as possible. ¹ However, if the FDG-
95		PET/CT will be performed within a treatment plan that incorporates periodic
96		"breaks" between sets of treatment cycles, the scan might be performed shortly
97		after the completion of the preceding cycle rather than after the "break" and
98		therefore prior to the next cycle.
99		• In trials of or including radiation treatment, an interval of up to 4 months may be
100		required ² , although many investigators recommend a minimum delay after radiation
101		therapy of 6-8 weeks or longer before performing the post-treatment FDG-PET
102		study. ⁶ Studies evaluating completeness of response should be performed later,
103		however investigational studies used to modify therapy or predict outcome may be
104		performed during therapy.
105 106		• When FDG-PET/CT is used for post-treatment response assessment in lymphoma, imaging should not be performed before at least 3 weeks after chemotherapy and
100		preferably $8 - 12$ weeks after completion of radiotherapy per the consensus
107		statement of the Imaging Subcommittee of the IHP in Lymphoma ^{10,1} For intra-
109		therapy evaluation please see bullet #3 above.
110		 An issue that must be addressed in the study-specific clinical trial protocol is the
111		specific windows about each time point that would constitute an appropriate
112		variance for that specific clinical trial
113		
114	1.3.	Management of Pre-enrollment Imaging
115		
116		The imaging protocol must contain documentation as to how pre-enrollment imaging
117		should be managed; specifically 1) whether imaging obtained prior to enrollment be
118		used as baseline imaging, and 2) if so, under what specific conditions. It is suggested
119		that the specific conditions should take into account technical factors related to the
120		imaging platforms (PET and CT) as well as the biology of the disease and the specific
121		interventions used in the trial. In general, scans performed as standard clinical care on
122		PET/CT scanners that have not been previously qualified for the clinical trial and/or not
123		in conformance with the imaging protocol would not be acceptable for the clinical trial.
124 125		One reference suggests that PET/CT scanning performed within eight weeks prior to initiation of drug therapy could be used as the baseline study ⁷ . While another source
125		states that if the pre-enrollment PET/CT was performed on an imaging platform not
120		approved for use in the trial or otherwise does not meet trial requirements, the scan
128		should be repeated, if feasible within the trial budget; however studies that are
129		performed on approved scanners and otherwise conforming to all trial specification will
130		be accepted as baseline studies and will be subjected to the same QA as studies
131		performed after registration. ³⁷
132		
133	1.4.	Management of Protocol Imaging Performed Off-schedule
134		
135		Acceptable: The clinical trial protocol should explicitly state the management of FDG-
136		PET/CT (and all other imaging tests) performed on qualified platforms and in accordance
137		with the specifications of the imaging test (see Sections 2.2, and 3 - 7) but outside of the
138		specified time window(s) of scheduled imaging (see Sections 1.2 and 1.3). The inclusion
139		of data from these off-schedule time points might have significant impact on the data
140		analysis for the clinical trial. Therefore, a priori the study design should state how such

141 off-schedule data points will be managed. Potential options include, but are not limited 142 to, 1) using all of these data in addition to the imaging data obtained on-schedule, 2) 143 using only some of these off-schedule data (e.g., FDG-PET/CT obtained as confirmatory 144 to other non-imaging evidence of disease status) in addition to the imaging obtained on-145 schedule, and 3) ignoring all imaging data obtained off-schedule. Unless specifically 146 allowed by the clinical trial protocol, off-schedule imaging should not be allowed to 147 substitute for on-schedule imaging. The clinical protocol, the informed consent document, and the clinical trial budget should address the management of off-schedule 148 149 imaging that was obtained for clinical purposes in temporal proximity to the necessary 150 on-schedule research imaging. 151 152 The clinical trial protocol should also specifically address how off-schedule scans will be 153 managed in the analysis of the clinical trial overall (e.g., will the sample size be inflated 154 to allow for post hoc exclusion of subjects who drop out secondary to findings noted on 155 off-schedule imaging studies). 156 Management of Protocol Imaging Performed Off-specification 157 1.5. 158 159 Criteria should be included in the protocol that define acceptable, target, and ideal FDG-160 PET/CT imaging specifications and parameters. Imaging studies judged to be sub-161 optimal, if performed for "standard of care" could be repeated at the discretion of the site if the site deems the scan clinically unacceptable 3 . If the scan is judged 162 unacceptable for research purposes, the study may be repeated as dictated by the 163 protocol and informed consent. The protocol should then state how the cost of such 164 165 repeated studies should be managed within the trial budget ⁷ 166 167 1.6. Management of Off-protocol Imaging 168 169 Acceptable: This UPICT protocol only addresses the performance of FDG-PET/CT in the 170 context of a clinical trial. However, since imaging studies other than FDG-PET/CT might 171 influence the conduct of the clinical trial including, but not limited to, the timing and 172 performance of the FDG-PET/CT study(ies), the clinical trial protocol should explicitly 173 state how all imaging tests, whether contemplated and/or obtained as part of the 174 clinical trial or clinical care, should be managed with regard to the conduct of the trial. 175 For the management of FDG-PET/CT studies performed off-schedule and/or outside of 176 specifications please see Sections 1.2 – 1.5. 177 178 1.7. Subject Selection Criteria Related to Imaging 179 180 Acceptable: 181 Fasting Blood Glucose: If quantitative FDG-PET/CT is to be used towards either primary, 182 secondary, or exploratory aims, the study should include specific directions as to the 183 management of subjects with abnormal fasting blood glucose measurements, whether 184 known to be diabetic or not. While there is a paucity of scientific data to suggest the 185 appropriate cutoff of blood glucose measurements that should be excluded from clinical 186 trials that use FDG-PET/CT scan data, it is important to define how such subjects and the 187 data from their imaging studies are managed to ensure comparability of imaging data

188	within	and among clinical trials. Specifically when quantitative FDG-PET/CT is being
189	used as	the study's primary endpoint, the acceptable blood glucose range should be
190	specifie	ed, as well as consideration and explanation as to the inclusion or exclusion of
191	subject	s with abnormal fasting blood glucose.
192		
193	Lesion	Conspicuity: It should be noted that tumors with low FDG uptake at baseline
194	(also se	e Sections 9 and 10) may not be suitable for follow-up studies of treatment
195	respon	se with FDG-PET/CT (e.g., most FDG-avid tumor activity should be greater than
196	1.5 tim	es hepatic mean +2 SD, see Section 10.2.1.1.1). Minimal lesion size and
197	multipl	icity may also be necessary as baseline inclusion criteria and if so those
198	thresho	olds should be stated in the clinical trial protocol.
199		
200	1.7.1.	Relative Contraindications and Remediations
201		
202		Inability to comply with or tolerate the performance of FDG-PET/CT imaging
203		may be a relative exclusion criterion for subjects in a clinical trial that depends
204		upon FDG-PET/CT for a primary or secondary endpoint. Examples of such
205		relative contraindications include inability to remain motionless for the duration
206		of the scan time or to lie flat for any number of reasons (e.g., severe congestive
207		heart failure). However, such relative exclusion criteria are not unique to FDG-
208		PET/CT. A plasma glucose level above the threshold as defined in Section 4.2.2
209		may necessitate the rescheduling of the FDG-PET/CT test to another day when
210		the plasma glucose level is less than the defined threshold. For this reason,
211		subjects at risk for elevated plasma glucose levels should be scheduled early
212		during the timing interval as specified in Section 1.2 so that if the test must be
213		rescheduled the test date will still fall within the acceptable timing interval (See
214		Section 1.2) so as to avoid a protocol deviation. In addition, it is suggested that
215		for subjects who are known diabetics that three serial morning fasting blood
216		glucose determinations (using home test kits) with values of less than 200 mg/dl
217		$(\approx 11.1 \text{ mmol/L})$ be obtained prior to scheduling the FDG-PET/CT test in order to
218		assure that the test results may be valid within the context of the trial (see
219		Sections 1.7.2, 3 and 4.2.2). Relative contraindications become absolute (i.e.,
220		Imaging Exclusion Criteria) when they cannot be remediated. When the FDG-
221		PET/CT imaging endpoint is a trial endpoint, the subject would then be excluded
222		from the trial.
223		
224	1.7.2.	Absolute Contraindications and Alternatives
225		
226		The protocol should specifically define a threshold plasma glucose level that
227		should represent an absolute exclusion criterion for participation in any clinical
228		trial that depends on FDG-PET/CT imaging for any primary or a quantitative
229		secondary endpoint if the plasma glucose level cannot be maintained below
230		that threshold level using the diabetic management procedures as described in
231		Section 4.2.2. Threshold plasma glucose levels for inclusion as suggested by
232		referenced standards documents and publicly listed clinical trials include:
233		
234		 A plasma glucose level: ≤126 mg/dl (≈7.0 mmol/L)¹

235		 Blood glucose levels: ≤150 mg/dl (≈8.3 mmol/L)⁷
236		 Blood glucose levels: ≤200 mg/dl (≈11.1 mmol/L)^{2,3}
237		• Subjects known to be diabetic who have three serial fasting morning blood
238		glucose levels of >200 mg/dl (despite adequate medical management)
239		prior to the baseline or initial FDG-PET/CT study should be excluded from a
240		clinical trial in which quantitative FDG-PET/CT is used for a primary
241		endpoint. ¹¹ When FDG-PET/CT is used towards secondary and/or
242		exploratory endpoints the trial should specifically state whether subjects
243		with fasting blood glucose levels >200 mg/dl (≈11.1 mmol/L) will be
244		included or excluded; and if included how the data from such subjects will
245		be managed. Furthermore, there are specific clinical trial purposes (e.g.,
246		pD determination) for which fasting blood glucose levels >200 mg/dl (≈11.1
247		mmol/L) are acceptable. Finally, there is a scientific gap in knowledge
248		regarding the relationship between fasting blood glucose level and the
249		effect on quantitative and qualitative FDG-PET/CT. It is recommended that
250		investigators utilize pooled data from studies performed under rigorous
251		protocols (such as the UPICT Oncologic FDG-PET/CT protocol) to investigate
252		this relationship – including data from subjects with fasting blood glucose
253		levels >200 mg/dl (\approx 11.1 mmol/L). ¹¹
254		
255		Many clinical trials exclude subjects who are pregnant (or suspect they are
256		pregnant) or breastfeeding when FDG-PET/CT is being used as a primary or
257		secondary endpoint. However, such potential subjects may already be excluded
258		on the basis of the index intervention under investigation without regard to the
259		use of FDG-PET/CT.
260		
261		Additional suggested exclusion criteria include weight exceeding table limits
262		(300 - 450 lb or 136 – 205 kg for most current PET/CT scanners) and subjects
263		with a history of life-threatening allergic / anaphylactoid reactions to any
264		contrast media if contrast is being used in the study. ³
265		
266		Relative contraindications become absolute (i.e. Imaging Exclusion Criteria)
267		when they can no longer be remediated. When the FDG-PET/CT imaging
268		endpoint is a trial endpoint, the subject would then be excluded from the trial.
269		
270	. – –	
271	1.7.3.	Imaging-specific Inclusion Criteria
272		
273		One source states that for clinical trials with longitudinal FDG-PET
274		measurements as a primary endpoint might require a minimum tumor FDG-
275		avidity based on the SUV (e.g., tumor SUV of > 1.5 x hepatic mean + 2 SD of
276		hepatic mean using a 3 cm ROI to determine the mean) at baseline in order to
277		remain on or to be eligible for participation on the study and have subsequent $\frac{712}{12}$
278		follow-up FDG-PET/CT scans ^{7,12} . There may also be lesion "size" threshold
279		(RECIST, WHO, volume) and/or lesion multiplicity (stage) threshold for eligibility
280		(See also sections 9 and 10).
281		

282 283	2	Site Se	election,	Qualification and Training (See also Section 12 relative to QC)
283		2.1	Dorse	onnel Qualifications
285		2.1	16130	
285			Accent	able: Each site shall have technical, physics, radiochemistry, and physician
280			-	nel trained in the use of FDG-PET/CT in the conduct of oncologic clinical trials
288			•	trial activation and subject accrual (or for Target Performance prior to site
289			•	ation). In lieu of an on-site physicist, a consulting physicist or vendor-qualified
289			•	support personnel is acceptable.
290 291			Service	support personnells acceptable.
291			2.1.1	Technical
293			2.1.1	
294				Appropriate education, training, and certification of technologists is required to
294				perform PET/CT. Representatives from the Society of Nuclear Medicine
295				Technologist Section (SNMTS) and the American Society of Radiologic
290				Technologists (ASRT) met in 2002 and published specific recommendations ¹³
298				rechnologists (ASNT) met in 2002 and published specific recommendations
299			2.1.2.	Physics
300			2.1.2.	
301				The SNM considers certification and continuing education in the appropriate
302				sub- field(s) to demonstrate that an individual is competent to practice one or
303				more of the subfield(s) of medical physics and to be a qualified medical
303				physicist. The SNM recommends that the individual be certified in the
305 306				appropriate subfield(s) by the American Board of Radiology (ABR) or the American Board of Science in Nuclear Medicine (ABSNM). ¹³
307				American Board of Science in Nuclear Medicine (Absinity).
308			2.1.3.	Physician
309			2.1.5.	FilySiciali
310				Imaging experts interpreting DET/CT scans should have appropriate training in
311				Imaging experts interpreting PET/CT scans should have appropriate training in both PET and CT. A working group of representatives from the American College
312				of Radiology, the Society of Nuclear Medicine (SNM), and the Society of
313 314				Computed Body Tomography and Magnetic Resonance agree only appropriately trained, qualified physicians should interpret PET/CT. ¹⁴ This working group has
				also recommended the number of continuing medical education credits earned
315				-
316				and the number of cases interpreted that would demonstrate adequate
317				training. ¹³
318			214	Other (a.g. radiachemistry radiabiologist pharmasist ata)
319			2.1.4.	Other (e.g., radiochemistry, radiobiologist, pharmacist, etc.)
320				Acceptables. For anaplagic FDC DET/CT the qualifications of the personnal
321				Acceptable: For oncologic FDG-PET/CT the qualifications of the personnel
322				involved in the preparation of the FDG should be appropriate to comply with
323				the FDA part 212 specifications or the international equivalent, as appropriate
324				to the regulatory jurisdiction within which the FDG will be administered.
325		2.2	• .	
326		2.2	Imag	ing Equipment
327				

Each site needs to have contemporary PET/CT system(s).¹¹ Multiple references suggest that integrated PET/CT scanners are preferable to be used for imaging based on increased accuracy for lesion localization and characterization than that obtained from the results obtained from PET and CT separately and interpreted sided by side or following software based fusion of the PET and CT datasets.¹ PET scanners that utilize Nal detectors are excluded.^{6 15}

335 An important aspect of quantitative multi-center PET imaging studies and therefore 336 integral to the qualification of imaging platforms is the cross-calibration of scanner 337 performance across various imaging sites. Several societies, organizations and clinical 338 trials networks, such as the NCI, ACRIN, EORTC, EANM and SNMMI, etc. have developed 339 multi-center clinical trials imaging guidelines and have set up or are setting up PET/CT 340 system validation and site accreditation programs to ensure that data collected using 341 these systems are comparable, i.e. can be exchanged. These site accreditation 342 programs use different phantoms for this purpose, among the performance 343 characteristics that are tested are: (1) the verification of a correct (cross-) calibration of the PET/CT system (against a dose calibrator) ^{1,2,15,16}, (2) scanner normalization and 344 345 uniformity¹⁵ and (3) the assessment of 2D or 3D SUV recovery coefficients (thereby essentially assessing contrast recovery and/or partial volume effects as a function of 346 sphere size or rod diameter).^{1,2,16} Despite the differences in the implementation of 347 scanner validations, all site accreditation programs aim to assess image quality on some 348 349 or all of these main image characteristics. Future work should focus on further aligning 350 the activities of these societies, either by harmonizing the scanner validation 351 platforms/phantoms and development of a equivalent scanner multi-center QC 352 program. The latter should be feasible considering the good agreement between the 353 societies regarding the image characteristics to be verified. At present there is a strong 354 interest from all groups in establishing a common FDG PET standard.

356Site qualification by a standardized method (including, but not limited to,357documentation of a rigorous quality control program incorporating the use of a uniform358phantom to verify scanner normalization and calibration) is the minimum acceptable for359clinical trials¹⁵ and use of a standardized multi-compartmental phantom (to additionally360evaluate detectability, resolution and contrast recovery) at all sites for this purpose is361the target. ¹¹ For a detailed discussion with materials and methods see Section 12.1.1

363Initial and ongoing periodic QC for CT as used for attenuation correction and localization364is included within the scope of this document (see 12.1.1 for detail). However, QC for365diagnostic CT performed in conjunction with oncologic FDG-PET/CT is not included366within the scope of this document. Documentation for diagnostic CT may be obtained367from other UPICT documents.368

The sites also need to have all the ancillary equipment for conduct of the trial including, but not limited to, appropriately calibrated glucose measuring device, dose calibrators, stadiometer to measure height, and scales to weigh subjects. See Section 12.1.1 for quality control.

372 373

369

370

371

355

362

334

374

375 2.3 Infrastructure

375		2.3 Intrastructure
376		
377		Acceptable: All sites participating in the conduct of an oncologic clinical trial utilizing
378		FDG-PET/CT must have oversight by an Institutional Review Board, Ethics Committee, or
379		equivalent group that oversees and is permitted to review and approve experimental
380		studies involving human subjects; a Radiation Safety Committee or equivalent body; and
381		an entity designated to oversee the privacy of personal healthcare information (e.g.,
382		HIPAA Board or equivalent; n.b. in many United States institutions the IRB serves as the
383		Privacy Board for research matters). The participating site must also have the
384		prerequisite infrastructure to perform the specified acquisition, archival, de-
385		identification, and transfer of imaging data as required by the clinical trial protocol in a
386		matter compliant with the protocol and all local, regional, and national regulatory
387		requirements. Sufficient infrastructure must be demonstrated and documented to
388		perform and report the quality control procedures specified within the clinical trial
389		protocol with expectations enumerated in the clinical trial within the appropriate
390		documentation.
391		
392		
393	3	Subject Scheduling
394		
395		Prior to scheduling potential and/or already accrued subjects for FDG-PET/CT with its inherent
396		(albeit minimal) risks, confirmation of appropriateness for imaging (e.g., history, physical
397		examination, staging, biopsy for diagnosis, etc.) should be performed and documented.
398		
		Scheduling diabetic subjects may require special attention (please see Section 4.2.2 for
399		additional details) and therefore this should be specifically queried at the time of scheduling. At
400		the time of scheduling, the study team should determine that inclusion of the subject does not
401		violate any of the study-specific inclusion and exclusion criteria pertinent to the FDG-PET/CT
402		study. (SNM GHS) For considerations related to the scheduling of subjects who are known to be
403		diabetic please also see Sections 1.7.2 and 4.2.2.
404		
405		 Additional scheduling recommendations for diabetic subjects are suggested by two
406		references. ^{1,2} These include the following:
407		• For type I diabetes:
408		 Ideal to achieve euglycemia prior to PET study
409		 Schedule study for late morning by eating normal breakfast at 7 am and
410		taking normal amount of insulin; then fast for at least 4 hours till exam
411		• For type II diabetes:
412		 Schedule study for late morning
413		 Comply with at least 4 hour fast till exam
414		 Continue oral medication (hypoglycemic) as usual
415		One reference suggests the following for diabetic management:
416		• Diabetic subjects should be scanned early in the morning before the first meal, and
417		doses of insulin and/or hypoglycemic medication should be titrated appropriately in
418		consultation with the subject's referring physician. ¹⁷
419		consultation with the subject stelerning physician.
413		

 For known diabetic subjects with anticipated fasting blood glucose (FBG) measurements for the day of the examination between 126 mg/dl (=7.0 mmol/L) and 200mg/dl (=11.1 mmol/L), the following scheduling recommendations apply: ideal / Target: Type I and Type II diabetic subjects should be scanned early in the morning before the first meal, and doses of insulin and/or hypoglycemic medication should be withheld if glucose levels enain in the acceptable range. This should be established from morning blood glucose levels prior to the study. Acceptable: Type I and Type II diabetic subjects, who cannot reliably attain acceptable glucose levels early in the morning, should be scheduled for late morning, and should eat a normal breakfast at 7 am and take their normal morning diabetic drugs; then fast for at least 4 hours till exam. This strategy is acceptable only for: Non-quantitative PET/CT, or Subjects whose baseline study was performed with a FBG <200 mg/dl (=11.1 mmol/L), but who have become uncontrolled hypergytomics secondary to treatment effect, disease progression, or are being evaluated for exploratory endpoints In each case, the goal is to achieve a fasting blood glucose with the prescribed range (e.g., s126 (=7.0 mmol/L), s150 (=8.3 mmol/L), or s200 mg/dl (=11.1 mmol/L) dependent on the clinical status of the subject, mechanism of therapy, and the utility of the FDG-PET/CT test in the clinical trial) ³¹ Timing Relative to Index Intervention Activity Acceptable: Please see Section 1.2 Activities, tests, and interventions that might increase the chances for false positive and/or false negative FDG-PET/CT tudies should be avoided prior to scans. The allowable interval between the potentially confounding event and the imaging test will be dependent to the nature of the confounder. For example, a percutaneous or excisional biopsy of a suspicious mass may	420 421 422 423	Before scheduling an FDG-PET study, diabetic subjects should test their ability to maintain reasonable plasma glucose levels after fasting, while avoiding insulin close to the time that FDG would be administered.
 ideal / Target: Type I and Type II diabetic subjects should be scanned early in the morning before the first meal, and doses of insulin and/or hypoglycemic medication should be withheld if glucose levels remain in the acceptable range. This should be established from morning blood glucose levels prior to the study. Acceptable: Type I and Type II diabetic subjects, who cannot reliably attain acceptable glucose levels early in the morning, should be scheduled for late morning, and should eat a normal breakfast at 7 am and take their normal morning diabetic drugs; then fast for at least 4 hours till exam. This strategy is acceptable only for: Non-quantitative PET/CT, or Endpoints that are not for the primary aim, or Subjects whose baseline study was performed with a FBG <200 mg/dl (=11.1 mmol/L) but who have become uncontrolled hyperglycemics secondary to treatment effect, disease progression, or are being evaluated for exploratory endpoints In each case, the goal is to achieve a fasting blood glucose with the prescribed range (e.g., \$126 (=7.0 mmol/L), \$150 (=8.3 mmol/L), or \$200 mg/dl (=11.1 mmol/L) dependent on the clinical status of the subject, mechanism of therapy, and the utility of the FDG-PET/CT test in the clinical trial) ¹¹ Timing Relative to londex Intervention Activity Acceptable: PIGe-PET/CT studies should be avoided prior to casns. The allowable interval between the potentially confounding event and the imaging test will be dependent on the nature of the confounder. For example, a percutaneous or excisional biopsy of a suspicious mass may cause focally increased FDG-PET activity or might lead to the appearance of a non-malignant mass (e.g., herantoma) on the CT portion of the study. A percutaneous adlation procedure of a known malignant focus may cause focally increased FDG-PET activity and/or the change in lesion volume might be dudifferent tor	424	
428 morning before the first meal, and doses of insulin and/or hypoglycemic medication 429 should be withheld if glucose levels remain in the acceptable range. This should be 431 • Acceptable: Type I and Type II diabetic subjects, who cannot reliably attain 432 acceptable: Type I and Type II diabetic subjects, who cannot reliably attain 433 morning, and should eat a normal breakfast at 7 am and take their normal morning 434 diabetic drugs; then fast for at least 4 hours till exam. This strategy is acceptable 435 only for: 436 • 437 • 438 • 439 morning, and should eat normal breakfast at 7 am and take their normal morning 441 morning before the first weak and the primary aim, or 438 • Subjects whose baseline study was performed with a FBG <200 mg/dl (=11.1	426	mmol/L), the following scheduling recommendations apply:
 should be withheld if glucose levels remain in the acceptable range. This should be established from morning blood glucose levels prior to the study. Acceptable: Type I and Type II diabetic subjects, who cannot reliably attain acceptable glucose levels early in the morning, should be scheduled for late morning, and should eat a normal breakfast at 7 am and take their normal morning diabetic drugs; then fast for at least 4 hours till exam. This strategy is acceptable only for: Non-quantitative PET/CT, or Subjects whose baseline study was performed with a FBG <200 mg/dl (≈11.1 mmol/L), but who have become uncontrolled hyperglycemics secondary to treatment effect, disease progression, or are being evaluated for exploratory endpoints In each case, the goal is to achieve a fasting blood glucose with the prescribed range (e.g., <126 (≈7.0 mmol/L), ≤150 (≈8.3 mmol/L), or ≤200 mg/dl (≈11.1 mmol/L) dependent on the clinical status of the subject, mechanism of therapy, and the utility of the FDG-PET/CT test in the clinical trial) ¹¹ Timing Relative to confounding Activities (<i>to minimize "impact"</i>) Activities, tests, and intervention Activity Acceptable: Please see Section 1.2 Activities, tests, and interventions that might increase the chances for false positive and/or false negative FDG-PET/CT studies should be avoided prior to scans. The allowable interval between the potentially confounding event and the imaging test will be dependent on the nature of the confounding. For example, a percutaneous or excisional biopsy of a suspicious mass may cause focally increased FDG-PET activity and/or an immediate post-ablation increase in the apparance of a non-malignant mass (e.g., hematoma) on the CT might lead to the appearance of a non-malignant mass (e.g., hematoma) on the CT might lead to the appearance of a non-malig	427	 Ideal / Target: Type I and Type II diabetic subjects should be scanned early in the
 established from morning blood glucose levels prior to the study. Acceptable: Type I and Type II diabetic subjects, who cannot reliably attain acceptable glucose levels early in the morning, should be scheduled for late morning, and should eat a normal breakfast at 7 am and take their normal morning diabetic drugs; then fast for at least 4 hours till exam. This strategy is acceptable only for: Non-quantitative PET/CT, or Endpoints that are not for the primary aim, or Subjects whose baseline study was performed with a FBG <200 mg/dl (=11.1 mmol/L), but who have become uncontrolled hyperglycemics secondary to treatment effect, disease progression, or are being evaluated for exploratory endpoints In each case, the goal is to achieve a fasting blood glucose with the prescribed range (e.g., s126 (<7.0 mmO/L)). ≤150 (<8.3 mmo/L), or ≤200 mg/dl (<11.1 mmo/L) dependent on the clinical status of the subject, mechanism of therapy, and the utility of the FDG-PET/CT test in the clinical trial) ¹¹ dependent on the clinical status of the subject, mechanism of therapy, and the utility of the FDG-PET/CT studies should be avoided prior to scans. The allowable interval between the potentially confounding event and the imaging test will be dependent on the nature of the confounding event and the imaging test will be dependent on the nature of the confounding event and the imaging test will be dependent on the nature of the confounding area soled prior to scans. The allowable interval between the potentially confounding event and the imaging test will be dependent on the nature of the confounding event and the imaging test will be dependent on the nature of the confounding areased FDG-PET activity or might lead to the appearance of a non-malignant maxes (e.g., hematoma) on the CT might lead	428	morning before the first meal, and doses of insulin and/or hypoglycemic medication
 established from morning blood glucose levels prior to the study. Acceptable: Type I and Type II diabetic subjects, who cannot reliably attain acceptable glucose levels early in the morning, should be scheduled for late morning, and should eat a normal breakfast at 7 am and take their normal morning diabetic drugs; then fast for at least 4 hours till exam. This strategy is acceptable only for: Non-quantitative PET/CT, or Endpoints that are not for the primary aim, or Endpoints that are not for the primary aim, or Subjects whose baseline study was performed with a FBG <200 mg/dl (=11.1 mmol/L), but who have become uncontrolled hyperglycemics secondary to treatment effect, disease progression, or are being evaluated for e.g., s126 (=7.0 mmol/L), s150 (=8.3 mmol/L), or ≤200 mg/dl (=11.1 mmol/L) dependent on the clinical status of the subject, mechanism of therapy, and the utility of the FDG-PET/CT test in the clinical trial) ¹¹ dependent on the clinical status of the subject, mechanism of therapy, and the utility of the FDG-PET/CT studies should be avoided prior to scans. The allowable interval between the potentially confounding event and the imaging test will be dependent on the nature of the confounding event and the imaging test will be dependent on the nature of the confounding event and the imaging test will be dependent on the nature of the confounding event and the imaging test will be dependent on the nature of the confounding event and the imaging test will be dependent on the nature of the confounding event and the imaging test will be dependent on the nature of the confounding event and the imaging test will be dependent on the nature of the confounding event and the imaging test will be dependent on the nature of the confounding an emectamedus or excisional bio	429	should be withheld if glucose levels remain in the acceptable range. This should be
 Acceptable: Type I and Type II diabetic subjects, who cannot reliably attain acceptable glucose levels early in the morning, should be scheduled for late morning, and should eat a normal breakfast at 7 am and take their normal morning diabetic drugs; then fast for at least 4 hours till exam. This strategy is acceptable only for: Non-quantitative PET/CT, or Endpoints that are not for the primary aim, or Subjects whose baseline study was performed with a FBG <200 mg/dl (≈11.1 mmol/L), but who have become uncontrolled hyperglycemics secondary to treatment effect, disease progression, or are being evaluated for exploratory endpoints In each case, the goal is to achieve a fasting blood glucose with the prescribed range (e.g., sl26 (=7.0 mmol/L), sl150 (=8.3 mmol/L), or s200 mg/dl (≈11.1 mmol/L) dependent on the clinical status of the subject, mechanism of therapy, and the utility of the FDG-PET/CT test in the clinical trial) ¹¹ Timing Relative to Index Intervention Activity Acceptable: Please see Section 1.2 Activities, tests, and interventions that might increase the chances for false positive and/or false negative FDG-PET/CT studies should be avoided prior to scans. The allowable interval between the potentially confounding event and the imaging test will be dependent on the nature of the confounding attensed (e.g., hematoma) on the CT might lead to the appearance of a non-malignant mas (e.g., hematoma) on the CT might lead to the appearance of a non-malignant mas (e.g., hematoma) on the CT might lead to the appearance of a non-malignant mas (e.g., hematoma) on the CT May ause focally increased FDG-PET activity and/or an immediate post-ablation increase in the apparent volume of the colaliton arget lesion. The time of onset and the duration of the increased FDG-PET activity and/or an immediate post-ablation increase in the apparent volume of the colation arget lesion. The time of onset and the dur	430	
432 acceptable glucose levels early in the morning, should be scheduled for late 433 morning, and should eat a normal breakfast at 7 am and take their normal morning 434 diabetic drugs; then fast for at least 4 hours till exam. This strategy is acceptable 435 only for: 436 • Non-quantitative PET/CT, or 437 • Endpoints that are not for the primary aim, or 438 • Subjects whose baseline study was performed with a FBG <200 mg/dl (≈11.1	431	
433morning, and should eat a normal breakfast at 7 am and take their normal morning diabetic drugs; then fast for at least 4 hours till exam. This strategy is acceptable only for:436• Non-quantitative PET/CT, or437• Endpoints that are not for the primary aim, or438• Subjects whose baseline study was performed with a FBG <200 mg/dl (=11.1 mmol/L), but who have become uncontrolled hyperglycemics secondary to treatment effect, disease progression, or are being evaluated for exploratory endpoints441• In each case, the goal is to achieve a fasting blood glucose with the prescribed range (e.g., <126 (=7.0 mmol/L), <150 (=8.3 mmol/L), or <200 mg/dl (=11.1 mmol/L) dependent on the clinical status of the subject, mechanism of therapy, and the utility of the FDG-PET/CT test in the clinical trial) ¹¹ 446-4473.1448Acceptable: Please see Section 1.2449-4503.2451-452Activities, tests, and interventions that might increase the chances for false positive and/or false negative FDG-PET/CT studies should be avoided prior to scans. The allowable interval between the potentially confounding event and the imaging test will be dependent on the nature of the confounder. For example, a percutaneous or excisional biopsy of a suspicious mass may cause focally increased FDG-PET activity or might lead to the appearance of a non-malignant mass (e.g., hematoma) on the CT portion of the study. A percutaneous ablation procedure of a known malignant focus may cause focally increased FDG-PET activity and/or ran immediate post-ablation increase in the apparent volume of the ablation target lesion. The time of onest and the duration of the increased FDG-PET activi		
434diabetic drugs; then fast for at least 4 hours till exam. This strategy is acceptable only for:435only for:436• Non-quantitative PET/CT, or437• Endpoints that are not for the primary aim, or438• Subjects whose baseline study was performed with a FBG <200 mg/dl (≈11.1 mmol/L), but who have become uncontrolled hyperglycemics secondary to treatment effect, disease progression, or are being evaluated for exploratory endpoints440treatment effect, disease progression, or are being evaluated for exploratory endpoints442oIn each case, the goal is to achieve a fasting blood glucose with the prescribed range (e.g., s126 (≈7.0 mmol/L), s150 (≈8.3 mmol/L), or s200 mg/dl (≈11.1 mmol/L)444dependent on the clinical status of the subject, mechanism of therapy, and the utility of the FDG-PET/CT test in the clinical trial) ¹¹ 446447448Acceptable: Please see Section 1.24494484503.2451Timing Relative to confounding Activities (to minimize "impact")452Activities, tests, and interventions that might increase the chances for false positive and/or false negative FDG-PET/CT studies should be avoided prior to scans. The allowable interval between the potentially confounding event and the imaging test will be dependent on the nature of the confounder. For example, a percutaneous or excisional biopsy of a suspicious mass may cause focally increased FDG-PET activity or might lead to the appearance of a non-malignant mass (e.g., hematoma) on the CT might lead to the appearance of FDG-PET activity and/or a immediate post-ablation increase in the apparent volume of the ablation target lesion. The time of o		
 ass and/or false negative FDG-PET/CT studies should be avoided prior to scans. The allowable interval between the potentially confouding verta and the imaging test will be dependent on the apperance of a non-malignant mass (e.g., hematoma) on the CT portion of the study. A percutaneous ablation proceed FDG-PET activity or might lead to the apperance of a non-malignant mass (e.g., hematoma) on the CT portion of the study. A percutaneous ablation proceed FDG-PET activity and/or the change in lesion volume might be different for these two different confounding factors. 		-
 Non-quantitative PET/CT, or Endpoints that are not for the primary aim, or Subjects whose baseline study was performed with a FBG <200 mg/dl (=11.1 mmol/L), but who have become uncontrolled hyperglycemics secondary to treatment effect, disease progression, or are being evaluated for exploratory endpoints In each case, the goal is to achieve a fasting blood glucose with the prescribed range (e.g., ≤126 (=7.0 mmol/L), ≤150 (=8.3 mmol/L), or ≤200 mg/dl (=11.1 mmol/L) dependent on the clinical status of the subject, mechanism of therapy, and the utility of the FDG-PET/CT test in the clinical trial) ¹¹ Timing Relative to Index Intervention Activity Acceptable: Please see Section 1.2 Timing Relative to confounding Activities (to minimize "impact") Activities, tests, and interventions that might increase the chances for false positive and/or false negative FDG-PET/CT studies should be avoided prior to scans. The allowable interval between the potentially confounding event and the imaging test will be dependent on the nature of the confounder. For example, a percutaneous or excisional biopsy of a suspicious mass may cause focally increased FDG-PET activity or might lead to the appearance of a non-malignant mass (e.g., hematoma) on the CT portion of the study. A percutaneous ablation procedure of a known malignant focus may cause focally increased FDG-PET activity and/or an immediate post-ablation increase in the apparent volume of the ablation target lesion. The time of onset and the duration of the increased FDG-PET activity and/or the change in lesion volume might be different for these two different confounding factors. If iodinated contrast is to be used for the CT portion of the PET/CT study, conflict with other tests and treatments should be avoided congruous with community standards of 		
 Endpoints that are not for the primary aim, or Subjects whose baseline study was performed with a FBG <200 mg/dl (=11.1 mmol/L), but who have become uncontrolled hyperglycemics secondary to treatment effect, disease progression, or are being evaluated for exploratory endpoints In each case, the goal is to achieve a fasting blood glucose with the prescribed range (e.g., s126 (=7.0 mmol/L), s150 (=8.3 mmol/L), or s200 mg/dl (=11.1 mmol/L) dependent on the clinical status of the subject, mechanism of therapy, and the utility of the FDG-PET/CT test in the clinical trial) ¹¹ Timing Relative to Index Intervention Activity Acceptable: Please see Section 1.2 Timing Relative to confounding Activities (<i>to minimize "impact"</i>) Activities, tests, and interventions that might increase the chances for false positive and/or false negative FDG-PET/CT studies should be avoided prior to scans. The allowable interval between the potentially confounding event and the imaging test will be dependent on the nature of the confounder. For example, a percutaneous or excisional biopsy of a suspicious mass may cause focally increased FDG-PET activity or might lead to the appearance of a non-malignant mass (e.g., hematoma) on the CT portion of the study. A percutaneous ablation procedure of a known malignant focus may cause focally increase in the apparent volume of the ablation target lesion. The time of onset and the duration of the increased FDG-PET activity and/or the change in lesion volume might be different for these two different confounding factors. 		·
 Subjects whose baseline study was performed with a FBG <200 mg/dl (=11.1 mmol/L), but who have become uncontrolled hyperglycemics secondary to treatment effect, disease progression, or are being evaluated for exploratory endpoints In each case, the goal is to achieve a fasting blood glucose with the prescribed range (e.g., <126 (≈7.0 mmol/L), <150 (≈8.3 mmol/L), or <200 mg/dl (≈11.1 mmol/L) dependent on the clinical status of the subject, mechanism of therapy, and the utility of the FDG-PET/CT test in the clinical trial) ¹¹ Timing Relative to Index Intervention Activity Acceptable: Please see Section 1.2 Timing Relative to confounding Activities (<i>to minimize "impact"</i>) Activities, tests, and interventions that might increase the chances for false positive and/or false negative FDG-PET/CT studies should be avoided prior to scans. The allowable interval between the potentially confounding event and the imaging test will be dependent on the nature of the confounder. For example, a percutaneous or excisional biopsy of a suspicious mass may cause focally increased FDG-PET activity or might lead to the appearance of a non-malignant mass (e.g., hematoma) on the CT portion of the study. A percutaneous ablation procedure of a known malignant focus may cause focally increased FDG-PET activity and/or raise negative FDG-PET activity and/or the change in lesion volume might be different for these two different confounding factors. 		•
439mmol/L), but who have become uncontrolled hyperglycemics secondary to440treatment effect, disease progression, or are being evaluated for441exploratory endpoints442oIn each case, the goal is to achieve a fasting blood glucose with the prescribed range443(e.g., \$126 (=7.0 mmol/L), \$150 (=8.3 mmol/L), or \$200 mg/dl (=11.1 mmol/L)444dependent on the clinical status of the subject, mechanism of therapy, and the445utility of the FDG-PET/CT test in the clinical trial) ¹¹ 446		
440treatment effect, disease progression, or are being evaluated for exploratory endpoints441exploratory endpoints442oIn each case, the goal is to achieve a fasting blood glucose with the prescribed range (e.g., <126 (~7.0 mmol/L), <150 (~8.3 mmol/L), or <200 mg/dl (~11.1 mmol/L)		
441exploratory endpoints442• In each case, the goal is to achieve a fasting blood glucose with the prescribed range (e.g., <126 (≈7.0 mmol/L), <150 (≈8.3 mmol/L), or <200 mg/dl (≈11.1 mmol/L) dependent on the clinical status of the subject, mechanism of therapy, and the utility of the FDG-PET/CT test in the clinical trial) ¹¹ 4464473.1Timing Relative to Index Intervention Activity Acceptable: Please see Section 1.24494504503.2451451452Activities, tests, and interventions that might increase the chances for false positive and/or false negative FDG-PET/CT studies should be avoided prior to scans. The allowable interval between the potentially confounding event and the imaging test will be dependent on the nature of the confounder. For example, a percutaneous or excisional biopsy of a suspicious mass may cause focally increased FDG-PET activity or might lead to the appearance of a non-malignant mass (e.g., hematoma) on the CT portion of the study. A percutaneous ablation procedure of a known malignant focus may cause focally increased FDG-PET activity and/or an immediate post-ablation increase in the apparent volume of the ablation target lesion. The time of onset and the duration of the increased FDG-PET activity and/or the change in lesion volume might be def461duration of these two different confounding factors.463If iodinated contrast is to be used for the CT portion of the PET/CT study, conflict with other tests and treatments should be avoided congruous with community standards of		
 In each case, the goal is to achieve a fasting blood glucose with the prescribed range (e.g., ≤126 (≈7.0 mmol/L), ≤150 (≈8.3 mmol/L), or ≤200 mg/dl (≈11.1 mmol/L) dependent on the clinical status of the subject, mechanism of therapy, and the utility of the FDG-PET/CT test in the clinical trial) ¹¹ Timing Relative to Index Intervention Activity Acceptable: Please see Section 1.2 Timing Relative to confounding Activities (<i>to minimize "impact"</i>) Activities, tests, and interventions that might increase the chances for false positive and/or false negative FDG-PET/CT studies should be avoided prior to scans. The allowable interval between the potentially confounding event and the imaging test will be dependent on the nature of the confounder. For example, a percutaneous or excisional biopsy of a suspicious mass may cause focally increased FDG-PET activity and/or an immediate post-ablation increase in the apparent volume of the ablation target lesion. The time of onset and the duration of the increased FDG-PET activity and/or the change in lesion volume might be different for these two different confounding factors. different for these two different confounding factors. fi iodinated contrast is to be used for the CT portion of the PET/CT study, conflict with other tests and treatments should be avoided congruous with community standards of 		
 443 (e.g., ≤126 (≈7.0 mmol/L), ≤150 (≈8.3 mmol/L), or ≤200 mg/dl (≈11.1 mmol/L) 444 dependent on the clinical status of the subject, mechanism of therapy, and the 445 utility of the FDG-PET/CT test in the clinical trial)¹¹ 446 447 3.1 Timing Relative to Index Intervention Activity 448 Acceptable: Please see Section 1.2 449 450 3.2 Timing Relative to confounding Activities (<i>to minimize "impact"</i>) 451 452 Activities, tests, and interventions that might increase the chances for false positive and/or false negative FDG-PET/CT studies should be avoided prior to scans. The 454 allowable interval between the potentially confounding event and the imaging test will 455 be dependent on the nature of the confounder. For example, a percutaneous or 456 excisional biopsy of a suspicious mass may cause focally increased FDG-PET activity or 457 might lead to the appearance of a non-malignant mass (e.g., hematoma) on the CT 458 portion of the study. A percutaneous ablation procedure of a known malignant focus 459 may cause focally increased FDG-PET activity and/or an immediate post-ablation 460 increase in the apparent volume of the ablation target lesion. The time of onset and the 461 duration of the increased FDG-PET activity and/or the change in lesion volume might be 462 different for these two different confounding factors. 463 		
444dependent on the clinical status of the subject, mechanism of therapy, and the445utility of the FDG-PET/CT test in the clinical trial) 11446		
 445 utility of the FDG-PET/CT test in the clinical trial) ¹¹ 446 447 3.1 Timing Relative to Index Intervention Activity 448 Acceptable: Please see Section 1.2 449 450 3.2 Timing Relative to confounding Activities (<i>to minimize "impact"</i>) 451 452 Activities, tests, and interventions that might increase the chances for false positive and/or false negative FDG-PET/CT studies should be avoided prior to scans. The allowable interval between the potentially confounding event and the imaging test will be dependent on the nature of the confounder. For example, a percutaneous or excisional biopsy of a suspicious mass may cause focally increased FDG-PET activity or might lead to the appearance of a non-malignant mass (e.g., hematoma) on the CT portion of the study. A percutaneous ablation procedure of a known malignant focus may cause focally increased FDG-PET activity and/or an immediate post-ablation increase in the apparent volume of the ablation target lesion. The time of onset and the duration of the increased FDG-PET activity and/or the change in lesion volume might be definerent for these two different confounding factors. 463 464 465 465 		
 446 447 3.1 Timing Relative to Index Intervention Activity 448 Acceptable: Please see Section 1.2 449 450 3.2 Timing Relative to confounding Activities (to minimize "impact") 451 452 Activities, tests, and interventions that might increase the chances for false positive and/or false negative FDG-PET/CT studies should be avoided prior to scans. The allowable interval between the potentially confounding event and the imaging test will be dependent on the nature of the confounder. For example, a percutaneous or excisional biopsy of a suspicious mass may cause focally increased FDG-PET activity or might lead to the appearance of a non-malignant mass (e.g., hematoma) on the CT portion of the study. A percutaneous ablation procedure of a known malignant focus may cause focally increase fDG-PET activity and/or an immediate post-ablation increase in the apparent volume of the ablation target lesion. The time of onset and the duration of the increased FDG-PET activity and/or the change in lesion volume might be deferent for these two different confounding factors. 463 464 464 465 465 		
4473.1Timing Relative to Index Intervention Activity448Acceptable: Please see Section 1.24494504503.2Timing Relative to confounding Activities (to minimize "impact")451451452Activities, tests, and interventions that might increase the chances for false positive453and/or false negative FDG-PET/CT studies should be avoided prior to scans. The454allowable interval between the potentially confounding event and the imaging test will455be dependent on the nature of the confounder. For example, a percutaneous or456excisional biopsy of a suspicious mass may cause focally increased FDG-PET activity or457might lead to the appearance of a non-malignant mass (e.g., hematoma) on the CT458portion of the study. A percutaneous ablation procedure of a known malignant focus459may cause focally increased FDG-PET activity and/or an immediate post-ablation460increase in the apparent volume of the ablation target lesion. The time of onset and the461duration of the increased FDG-PET activity and/or the change in lesion volume might be462different for these two different confounding factors.463If iodinated contrast is to be used for the CT portion of the PET/CT study, conflict with465other tests and treatments should be avoided congruous with community standards of		
448Acceptable: Please see Section 1.24494503.2451452Activities, tests, and interventions that might increase the chances for false positive453and/or false negative FDG-PET/CT studies should be avoided prior to scans. The454allowable interval between the potentially confounding event and the imaging test will455be dependent on the nature of the confounder. For example, a percutaneous or456excisional biopsy of a suspicious mass may cause focally increased FDG-PET activity or457might lead to the appearance of a non-malignant mass (e.g., hematoma) on the CT458portion of the study. A percutaneous ablation procedure of a known malignant focus459may cause focally increased FDG-PET activity and/or an immediate post-ablation460increase in the apparent volume of the ablation target lesion. The time of onset and the461duration of the increased FDG-PET activity and/or the change in lesion volume might be462different for these two different confounding factors.463If iodinated contrast is to be used for the CT portion of the PET/CT study, conflict with465other tests and treatments should be avoided congruous with community standards of		3.1 Timing Relative to Index Intervention Activity
4494503.2Timing Relative to confounding Activities (to minimize "impact")451452452Activities, tests, and interventions that might increase the chances for false positive453and/or false negative FDG-PET/CT studies should be avoided prior to scans. The454allowable interval between the potentially confounding event and the imaging test will455be dependent on the nature of the confounder. For example, a percutaneous or456excisional biopsy of a suspicious mass may cause focally increased FDG-PET activity or457might lead to the appearance of a non-malignant mass (e.g., hematoma) on the CT458portion of the study. A percutaneous ablation procedure of a known malignant focus459may cause focally increased FDG-PET activity and/or an immediate post-ablation460increase in the apparent volume of the ablation target lesion. The time of onset and the461duration of the increased FDG-PET activity and/or the change in lesion volume might be462different for these two different confounding factors.463If iodinated contrast is to be used for the CT portion of the PET/CT study, conflict with465other tests and treatments should be avoided congruous with community standards of		
4503.2Timing Relative to confounding Activities (to minimize "impact")451452Activities, tests, and interventions that might increase the chances for false positive and/or false negative FDG-PET/CT studies should be avoided prior to scans. The allowable interval between the potentially confounding event and the imaging test will be dependent on the nature of the confounder. For example, a percutaneous or excisional biopsy of a suspicious mass may cause focally increased FDG-PET activity or might lead to the appearance of a non-malignant mass (e.g., hematoma) on the CT portion of the study. A percutaneous ablation procedure of a known malignant focus may cause focally increased FDG-PET activity and/or an immediate post-ablation increase in the apparent volume of the ablation target lesion. The time of onset and the duration of the increased FDG-PET activity and/or the change in lesion volume might be different for these two different confounding factors.463If iodinated contrast is to be used for the CT portion of the PET/CT study, conflict with other tests and treatments should be avoided congruous with community standards of		
451452Activities, tests, and interventions that might increase the chances for false positive453and/or false negative FDG-PET/CT studies should be avoided prior to scans. The454allowable interval between the potentially confounding event and the imaging test will455be dependent on the nature of the confounder. For example, a percutaneous or456excisional biopsy of a suspicious mass may cause focally increased FDG-PET activity or457might lead to the appearance of a non-malignant mass (e.g., hematoma) on the CT458portion of the study. A percutaneous ablation procedure of a known malignant focus459may cause focally increased FDG-PET activity and/or an immediate post-ablation460increase in the apparent volume of the ablation target lesion. The time of onset and the461duration of the increased FDG-PET activity and/or the change in lesion volume might be462different for these two different confounding factors.463464If iodinated contrast is to be used for the CT portion of the PET/CT study, conflict with465other tests and treatments should be avoided congruous with community standards of		3.2 Timing Relative to confounding Activities (to minimize "impact")
452Activities, tests, and interventions that might increase the chances for false positive453and/or false negative FDG-PET/CT studies should be avoided prior to scans. The454allowable interval between the potentially confounding event and the imaging test will455be dependent on the nature of the confounder. For example, a percutaneous or456excisional biopsy of a suspicious mass may cause focally increased FDG-PET activity or457might lead to the appearance of a non-malignant mass (e.g., hematoma) on the CT458portion of the study. A percutaneous ablation procedure of a known malignant focus459may cause focally increased FDG-PET activity and/or an immediate post-ablation460increase in the apparent volume of the ablation target lesion. The time of onset and the461duration of the increased FDG-PET activity and/or the change in lesion volume might be463different for these two different confounding factors.464If iodinated contrast is to be used for the CT portion of the PET/CT study, conflict with465other tests and treatments should be avoided congruous with community standards of		
453and/or false negative FDG-PET/CT studies should be avoided prior to scans. The454allowable interval between the potentially confounding event and the imaging test will455be dependent on the nature of the confounder. For example, a percutaneous or456excisional biopsy of a suspicious mass may cause focally increased FDG-PET activity or457might lead to the appearance of a non-malignant mass (e.g., hematoma) on the CT458portion of the study. A percutaneous ablation procedure of a known malignant focus459may cause focally increased FDG-PET activity and/or an immediate post-ablation460increase in the apparent volume of the ablation target lesion. The time of onset and the461duration of the increased FDG-PET activity and/or the change in lesion volume might be462different for these two different confounding factors.463464464If iodinated contrast is to be used for the CT portion of the PET/CT study, conflict with465other tests and treatments should be avoided congruous with community standards of		Activities tests and interventions that might increase the chances for false positive
454allowable interval between the potentially confounding event and the imaging test will455be dependent on the nature of the confounder. For example, a percutaneous or456excisional biopsy of a suspicious mass may cause focally increased FDG-PET activity or457might lead to the appearance of a non-malignant mass (e.g., hematoma) on the CT458portion of the study. A percutaneous ablation procedure of a known malignant focus459may cause focally increased FDG-PET activity and/or an immediate post-ablation460increase in the apparent volume of the ablation target lesion. The time of onset and the461duration of the increased FDG-PET activity and/or the change in lesion volume might be462different for these two different confounding factors.463464464If iodinated contrast is to be used for the CT portion of the PET/CT study, conflict with465other tests and treatments should be avoided congruous with community standards of		
455be dependent on the nature of the confounder. For example, a percutaneous or456excisional biopsy of a suspicious mass may cause focally increased FDG-PET activity or457might lead to the appearance of a non-malignant mass (e.g., hematoma) on the CT458portion of the study. A percutaneous ablation procedure of a known malignant focus459may cause focally increased FDG-PET activity and/or an immediate post-ablation460increase in the apparent volume of the ablation target lesion. The time of onset and the461duration of the increased FDG-PET activity and/or the change in lesion volume might be462different for these two different confounding factors.463464465If iodinated contrast is to be used for the CT portion of the PET/CT study, conflict with		
 excisional biopsy of a suspicious mass may cause focally increased FDG-PET activity or might lead to the appearance of a non-malignant mass (e.g., hematoma) on the CT portion of the study. A percutaneous ablation procedure of a known malignant focus may cause focally increased FDG-PET activity and/or an immediate post-ablation increase in the apparent volume of the ablation target lesion. The time of onset and the duration of the increased FDG-PET activity and/or the change in lesion volume might be different for these two different confounding factors. If iodinated contrast is to be used for the CT portion of the PET/CT study, conflict with other tests and treatments should be avoided congruous with community standards of 		
 457 might lead to the appearance of a non-malignant mass (e.g., hematoma) on the CT 458 portion of the study. A percutaneous ablation procedure of a known malignant focus 459 may cause focally increased FDG-PET activity and/or an immediate post-ablation 460 increase in the apparent volume of the ablation target lesion. The time of onset and the 461 duration of the increased FDG-PET activity and/or the change in lesion volume might be 462 different for these two different confounding factors. 463 464 If iodinated contrast is to be used for the CT portion of the PET/CT study, conflict with 465 other tests and treatments should be avoided congruous with community standards of 		
 458 portion of the study. A percutaneous ablation procedure of a known malignant focus 459 may cause focally increased FDG-PET activity and/or an immediate post-ablation 460 increase in the apparent volume of the ablation target lesion. The time of onset and the 461 duration of the increased FDG-PET activity and/or the change in lesion volume might be 462 different for these two different confounding factors. 463 464 If iodinated contrast is to be used for the CT portion of the PET/CT study, conflict with 465 other tests and treatments should be avoided congruous with community standards of 		
 459 may cause focally increased FDG-PET activity and/or an immediate post-ablation 460 increase in the apparent volume of the ablation target lesion. The time of onset and the 461 duration of the increased FDG-PET activity and/or the change in lesion volume might be 462 different for these two different confounding factors. 463 464 If iodinated contrast is to be used for the CT portion of the PET/CT study, conflict with 465 other tests and treatments should be avoided congruous with community standards of 		
 460 increase in the apparent volume of the ablation target lesion. The time of onset and the 461 duration of the increased FDG-PET activity and/or the change in lesion volume might be 462 different for these two different confounding factors. 463 464 If iodinated contrast is to be used for the CT portion of the PET/CT study, conflict with 465 other tests and treatments should be avoided congruous with community standards of 		
 461 duration of the increased FDG-PET activity and/or the change in lesion volume might be 462 different for these two different confounding factors. 463 464 If iodinated contrast is to be used for the CT portion of the PET/CT study, conflict with 465 other tests and treatments should be avoided congruous with community standards of 		
 different for these two different confounding factors. different for the confounding factors. different factors. different factors. different factors. different factors. diffe		
 463 464 If iodinated contrast is to be used for the CT portion of the PET/CT study, conflict with 465 other tests and treatments should be avoided congruous with community standards of 		
464If iodinated contrast is to be used for the CT portion of the PET/CT study, conflict with465other tests and treatments should be avoided congruous with community standards of		anterent for these two unterent comounding factors.
465 other tests and treatments should be avoided congruous with community standards of		If indinated contrast is to be used for the CT portion of the PFT/CT study, conflict with
- ,		

467			
468		3.3	Scheduling Ancillary Testing
469			
470			Avoid scheduling tests that might confound the qualitative or quantitative results of the
471			FDG-PET/CT study within the time period prior to the scan. For example, a glucose
472			tolerance test should not be scheduled during the 24 hours prior to the performance of
473			FDG-PET/CT. Similarly, other tests that might involve increasing plasma glucose, insulin,
474			or corticosteroid levels should also be avoided. Exercise cardiac stress testing should be
475			avoided during the twenty-four (24) hours prior to the performance of FDG-PET/CT.
476			Similarly, other tests that might involve vigorous exercise and thereby increase muscle
477			metabolic function should also be avoided.
478			
479	4	Subject	Preparation
480			
481		4.1	Prior to Arrival
482			
483			The main purpose of subject preparation is to reduce background tracer uptake in
484			normal tissue (kidneys, bladder, skeletal muscle, myocardium, brown fat) while
485			maintaining and optimizing tracer uptake in the target structures (tumor tissue). ¹⁸
486			Below is a generally applicable protocol to address (1) Dietary, (2) Fluid Intake, and (3)
487			Other activities that may impact the FDG-PET/CT procedure or results.
488			
489			(1) Dietary (for the management of previously known or unknown diabetic subjects
490			please see section 4.2.2):
491			
492			• According to two sources, subjects should fast for an absolute minimum (acceptable
493			level) of 4 hours prior to start of FDG-PET study, ¹⁷ although the target pre-test fasting
494			period is recommend as a 6 hour minimum ^{1,2} . This can be achieved as follows:
495			\circ Subjects scheduled to undergo the PET study in the morning should not eat
496			after midnight and preferably have a light meal during the evening prior to the
497			PET study.
498			 Subjects scheduled for an afternoon PET study may have a light breakfast before
499			8 am.
500			 Medication can be taken as prescribed (see Section 4.2.2 for diabetic
501			management)
502			• Two sources have stated that a low carbohydrate diet should be followed for 24
503			hours before the study, culminating with fasting for the final six hours. $^{6 \; 17}$
504			• Enteral nutrition is at least six (6) hours prior to the anticipated time of FDG
505			administration. ¹¹
506			• One study has suggested that a high-fat, low-carbohydrate meal is preferred for the
507			last meal prior to commencing the period of fasting; ^{19 20} Although there are
508			insufficient data to recommend these strategies as routine at this time ¹¹
509			
510			(2) Fluid Intake:
511			Adequate hydration (before and after FDG administration) is important (both to ensure
512			a sufficiently low FDG concentration in urine (less artifacts) and for radiation safety

513 514 515 516 517 518	reasons). Whichever hydration strategy is used (how much and when to administer), the protocol should be uniform among sites during a trial. Specific hydration recommendations include: oral intake of at least 710-1665 ml of water while fasting ⁶ , consumption of two to three 8-12 oz water (710-1065 ml) while fasting ¹⁷ , and 1 liter during 2 hours prior to FDG administration ^{1,2} .
518 519 520 521 522 523 524 525 526 526 527	If IV contrast is to be injected as part of the study, subjects should be asked to drink more fluid (total of 1 liter) during the two hours prior to the study. The fluid administered should not contain glucose or caffeine. It is acceptable for subjects to receive non-glucose containing IV solutions such as normal or dilute saline. Lactated Ringer's solution is not acceptable and should be discontinued. This hydration strategy should be modulated as clinically appropriate in subjects with certain medical conditions including, but not limited to congestive heart failure, renal failure and fluid retention for example. ¹¹
528 529 530	Parenteral nutrition and intravenous fluids containing glucose should be discontinued at least 4 (acceptable) - 6 (target) hours before the PET examination ^{1 11 17} . The infusion used to administer intravenous pre-procedural hydration must not contain any glucose.
531 532 533 534	(3) Other Activities: To minimize uptake of radiotracer into muscle, the subject should avoid strenuous exercise, or cold exposure before the PET exam for a minimum acceptable period of at
535 536 537	least 6 hours but preferably for a target time period of 24 hours prior to the PET exam 6
538 539	Other activities that might be avoided are contained in sections 3 and 3.2.
540 541	Performing FDG-PET scanning in the context of recent (within 24 hour) steroid administration may affect the subject's glucose control and hence SUV
542 543	quantitation. Consequently, if intravenous contrast enhanced CT is required by the protocol in addition to the PET/CT exam, then special consideration is needed for
544 545	subjects with iodinated contrast allergy who will require steroid premedication for the contrast enhanced CT. In this situation it is preferable that the contrast enhanced CT
546	scan (with appropriate steroid administration) is performed at least one to two days
547 548	following the 'non-contrast' PET/CT exam. If steroid premedication is given prior to PET/CT exam, then the quantitative assessment obtained from the PET exam may be
549	adversely affected. In cases where premedication is needed for the contrast enhanced
550	CT, the local imaging facility's premedication strategy should be followed and used
551	consistently for the subject across all time points
552	
553	
554	
555	4.2 Upon Arrival
556	
557	4.2.1 Confirmation of subject compliance with instructions
558	

559	Upon arrival 1) confirmation of subject compliance with pre-procedure instructions and
560	2) the occurrence of potentially confounding events should be documented on the
561	appropriate case report forms. The documentation should include some or all of the
562	following:
563	• timing, character, and amount of the most recent previous oral and/or intravenous
564	intake of fluid and nutrients
565	• timing and dosages of relevant non-prescription and prescription medications taken
566	prior to the PET/CT scan (e.g., the last cycle of chemotherapy or non-cytotoxic
567	pharmacotherapy, administration of growth factors, cytokines, steroids, beta
568	blockers, etc.)
569	• extent of physical activity and most recent exposure to cold temperature for the
570	preceding 24 hours
571	• timing and description of medical procedures performed prior to the PET/CT scan
572	(e.g., radiation therapy, biopsy, surgery)
573	• timing and description of relevant medical tests performed prior to the PET/CT scan
574	(e.g., invasive tests and/or tests that involve the administration of exogenous
575	substances and/or tests that involve vigorous physical activities)
576	 timing of iodinated contrast reaction prophylaxis if appropriate
577	• confirmation that the subject has completed the trial Informed Consent Document.
578	
579	The FDG-PET/CT procedure should be explained to the subject and exam-specific
580	consent should be obtained if that is the standard of care for the site or the standard
581	established for the specific clinical trial. There should be documentation of subject-
582	specific risk factors including, but not limited to, previous contrast reactions (if iodinated
583	contrast is to be used) and the presence of implanted electronic devices (e.g.
584	pacemakers, neural stimulators, cochlear implants). ¹⁷
585	
	4.2.2 Ancillary Testing To Be Performed Upon Arrival
587	
588	Subject height and body weight must be measured precisely with standardized
589	measurement devices and with the subject in a gown or light clothing and
590	recorded as the minimum acceptable standard. ^{1,2,6,17} The target standard would
591	add that for serial studies in the same subject, weight should be measured
592	directly prior to each PET study since body weight often changes during the
593	course of the study. ^{1,11}
594	
595	Blood glucose monitoring, measurement and documentation and the
596	appropriate management/disposition of hyperglycemic/ diabetic subjects are
597	addressed by all references and should be included as a minimum acceptable
598	standard of performance.
599	
600	It is important to measure and document subject blood glucose level shortly
601	prior to and target within the 2 hours prior to (ideally within 1 hour for all
602	subjects and target within 1 hour for insulin-requiring diabetic subjects) FDG
603	administration (all, SNM GHS).

605of recent insulin therapy. This may have the effect of excluding dia606subjects, including those who are undiagnosed at the time of the s607Target: fasting blood glucose level < 150 mg/dL (≈8.3 mmol/L).608Acceptable: Subjects with blood glucose measurements between 1609(≈7.0 mmol/L) and 200mg/dL (≈1.1 mmol/L) can be imaged.1 17 2 6610are varying actions suggested by the different references.611There is no consensus from these references for diabetic or no612diabetic subject management in the glucose range of 126 - 200613(≈7.0 - 11.1 mmol/L). The imaging protocol for each individual614trial should indicate the glucose cut-off thresholds and the exa615management for diabetic and non-diabetic subjects with plasn616levels between 126 - 200 mg/dl (≈7.0 - 11.1 mmol/L), especiall617quantitative data from the FDG-PET/CT examination will be use618towards a primary or secondary endpoint and/or will be comp619serial manner over the course of the protocol.620Subjects with blood glucose level > 200 mg/dL (≈11.1 mmol/L)621rescheduled. Adjustments to diet, medications, and exercise m622necessary, so that the fasting blood glucose concentration can	can. 26 mg/dL , there n- 0 mg/dL clinical ct na glucose y if the ed
 607 Target: fasting blood glucose level < 150 mg/dL (≈8.3 mmol/L). 608 Acceptable: Subjects with blood glucose measurements between 1 (≈7.0 mmol/L) and 200mg/dL (≈11.1 mmol/L) can be imaged.^{117 2 6} 610 are varying actions suggested by the different references. 611 There is no consensus from these references for diabetic or no diabetic subject management in the glucose range of 126 - 200 (≈7.0 - 11.1 mmol/L). The imaging protocol for each individual trial should indicate the glucose cut-off thresholds and the exa management for diabetic and non-diabetic subjects with plasm levels between 126 - 200 mg/dl (≈7.0 - 11.1 mmol/L), especiall quantitative data from the FDG-PET/CT examination will be uss towards a primary or secondary endpoint and/or will be comp serial manner over the course of the protocol. Subjects with blood glucose level > 200 mg/dL (≈11.1 mmol/L) rescheduled. Adjustments to diet, medications, and exercise m 	26 mg/dL , there n- 0 mg/dL clinical ct na glucose y if the ed
 Acceptable: Subjects with blood glucose measurements between 1 (≈7.0 mmol/L) and 200mg/dL (≈11.1 mmol/L) can be imaged.^{117 2 6} are varying actions suggested by the different references. There is no consensus from these references for diabetic or no diabetic subject management in the glucose range of 126 - 200 (≈7.0 - 11.1 mmol/L). The imaging protocol for each individual trial should indicate the glucose cut-off thresholds and the exa management for diabetic and non-diabetic subjects with plasm levels between 126 - 200 mg/dl (≈7.0 - 11.1 mmol/L), especiall quantitative data from the FDG-PET/CT examination will be use towards a primary or secondary endpoint and/or will be comp serial manner over the course of the protocol. Subjects with blood glucose level > 200 mg/dL (≈11.1 mmol/L) rescheduled. Adjustments to diet, medications, and exercise m 	, there n- clinical ct na glucose y if the ed
 609 (≈7.0 mmol/L) and 200mg/dL (≈11.1 mmol/L) can be imaged.^{1 17 2 6} 610 are varying actions suggested by the different references. 611 • There is no consensus from these references for diabetic or no diabetic subject management in the glucose range of 126 - 200 (≈7.0 - 11.1 mmol/L). The imaging protocol for each individual trial should indicate the glucose cut-off thresholds and the exa management for diabetic and non-diabetic subjects with plasm levels between 126 - 200 mg/dl (≈7.0 - 11.1 mmol/L), especiall quantitative data from the FDG-PET/CT examination will be use towards a primary or secondary endpoint and/or will be comp serial manner over the course of the protocol. 620 • Subjects with blood glucose level > 200 mg/dL (≈11.1 mmol/L) rescheduled. Adjustments to diet, medications, and exercise managements to diet, medications, and exercise managements to diet, medications, and exercise managements to diet. 	, there n- clinical ct na glucose y if the ed
610are varying actions suggested by the different references.611• There is no consensus from these references for diabetic or no diabetic subject management in the glucose range of 126 - 200 (≈7.0 - 11.1 mmol/L). The imaging protocol for each individual trial should indicate the glucose cut-off thresholds and the exa management for diabetic and non-diabetic subjects with plasm levels between 126 - 200 mg/dl (≈7.0 - 11.1 mmol/L), especiall quantitative data from the FDG-PET/CT examination will be use towards a primary or secondary endpoint and/or will be comp serial manner over the course of the protocol.620• Subjects with blood glucose level > 200 mg/dL (≈11.1 mmol/L) rescheduled. Adjustments to diet, medications, and exercise m	n-) mg/dL clinical ct na glucose y if the ed
610are varying actions suggested by the different references.611• There is no consensus from these references for diabetic or no diabetic subject management in the glucose range of 126 - 200 (≈7.0 - 11.1 mmol/L). The imaging protocol for each individual trial should indicate the glucose cut-off thresholds and the exa management for diabetic and non-diabetic subjects with plasm levels between 126 - 200 mg/dl (≈7.0 - 11.1 mmol/L), especiall quantitative data from the FDG-PET/CT examination will be use towards a primary or secondary endpoint and/or will be comp serial manner over the course of the protocol.620• Subjects with blood glucose level > 200 mg/dL (≈11.1 mmol/L) rescheduled. Adjustments to diet, medications, and exercise m	n-) mg/dL clinical ct na glucose y if the ed
 There is no consensus from these references for diabetic or no diabetic subject management in the glucose range of 126 - 200 (≈7.0 - 11.1 mmol/L). The imaging protocol for each individual trial should indicate the glucose cut-off thresholds and the exa management for diabetic and non-diabetic subjects with plasm levels between 126 - 200 mg/dl (≈7.0 - 11.1 mmol/L), especiall quantitative data from the FDG-PET/CT examination will be use towards a primary or secondary endpoint and/or will be compserial manner over the course of the protocol. Subjects with blood glucose level > 200 mg/dL (≈11.1 mmol/L) rescheduled. Adjustments to diet, medications, and exercise managements to diet, medications, and exercise managements to diet, medications, and exercise managements to diet.) mg/dL clinical ct na glucose y if the ed
612diabetic subject management in the glucose range of 126 - 200613(≈7.0 - 11.1 mmol/L). The imaging protocol for each individual614trial should indicate the glucose cut-off thresholds and the exa615management for diabetic and non-diabetic subjects with plasm616levels between 126 - 200 mg/dl (≈7.0 - 11.1 mmol/L), especiall617quantitative data from the FDG-PET/CT examination will be use618towards a primary or secondary endpoint and/or will be comp619serial manner over the course of the protocol.620Subjects with blood glucose level > 200 mg/dL (≈11.1 mmol/L)621rescheduled. Adjustments to diet, medications, and exercise m) mg/dL clinical ct na glucose y if the ed
 613 (≈7.0 - 11.1 mmol/L). The imaging protocol for each individual 614 trial should indicate the glucose cut-off thresholds and the exa 615 management for diabetic and non-diabetic subjects with plasm 616 levels between 126 - 200 mg/dl (≈7.0 - 11.1 mmol/L), especiall 617 quantitative data from the FDG-PET/CT examination will be use 618 towards a primary or secondary endpoint and/or will be comp. 619 serial manner over the course of the protocol. 620 • Subjects with blood glucose level > 200 mg/dL (≈11.1 mmol/L) 621 • Subjects manner to diet, medications, and exercise manner over the course of the protocol. 	clinical ct na glucose y if the ed
614trial should indicate the glucose cut-off thresholds and the exa615management for diabetic and non-diabetic subjects with plasm616levels between 126 - 200 mg/dl (≈7.0 - 11.1 mmol/L), especiall617quantitative data from the FDG-PET/CT examination will be use618towards a primary or secondary endpoint and/or will be comp619serial manner over the course of the protocol.620• Subjects with blood glucose level > 200 mg/dL (≈11.1 mmol/L)621rescheduled. Adjustments to diet, medications, and exercise m	ct na glucose y if the ed
615management for diabetic and non-diabetic subjects with plasm616levels between 126 - 200 mg/dl (≈7.0 - 11.1 mmol/L), especiall617quantitative data from the FDG-PET/CT examination will be use618towards a primary or secondary endpoint and/or will be comp619serial manner over the course of the protocol.620• Subjects with blood glucose level > 200 mg/dL (≈11.1 mmol/L)621rescheduled. Adjustments to diet, medications, and exercise m	na glucose y if the ed
616levels between 126 - 200 mg/dl (≈7.0 - 11.1 mmol/L), especialli quantitative data from the FDG-PET/CT examination will be use towards a primary or secondary endpoint and/or will be comp- serial manner over the course of the protocol.619Subjects with blood glucose level > 200 mg/dL (≈11.1 mmol/L) rescheduled. Adjustments to diet, medications, and exercise m	y if the ed
617quantitative data from the FDG-PET/CT examination will be use618towards a primary or secondary endpoint and/or will be comp619serial manner over the course of the protocol.620• Subjects with blood glucose level > 200 mg/dL (≈11.1 mmol/L)621rescheduled. Adjustments to diet, medications, and exercise m	ed
618towards a primary or secondary endpoint and/or will be comp619serial manner over the course of the protocol.620• Subjects with blood glucose level > 200 mg/dL (≈11.1 mmol/L)621rescheduled. Adjustments to diet, medications, and exercise m	
619serial manner over the course of the protocol.620• Subjects with blood glucose level > 200 mg/dL (≈11.1 mmol/L)621rescheduled. Adjustments to diet, medications, and exercise m	
620• Subjects with blood glucose level > 200 mg/dL (≈11.1 mmol/L)621rescheduled. Adjustments to diet, medications, and exercise m	
621 rescheduled. Adjustments to diet, medications, and exercise m	should be
•	
623 brought down to the acceptable range at the time of FDG inject	
624 excluded depending on the subject circumstances and the trial	
625 conducted. (EU, ACRIN)	0
626	
• Secondary to recognized problems with administration of insulin (lue to
628 alteration of FDG biodistribution and diminished accuracy of SUV	
629 determination-NCI), insulin must not be given to reduce pre-FDG-	
630 administration glucose levels, unless the interval between adminis	tration of
631 insulin and FDG is more that 4 hours. ^{1,6}	
632	
633 4.2.3 Preparation for Exam	
634	
635 In order to avoid artifactual distribution of the FDG, it is critical that su	bject
636 preparation after arrival and prior to imaging are standardized among	•
637 and subjects throughout the conduct of the clinical trial. ^{1,2,5,6,17}	
• The waiting and preparation rooms should be relaxing and warm (> 75° F or
639 22° C) during the entire uptake period (and for as long as reasonab	
640 practicable prior to injection, at least 15 minutes is suggested as	,
641 acceptable). Blankets should be provided if necessary. ¹¹	
	ntion to
In addition to a warm room, several studies have shown that one of	φιστιτο
 642 In addition to a warm room, several studies have shown that one of reduce brown fat uptake is beta blockade such as the administration 	•
	on of
643reduce brown fat uptake is beta blockade such as the administration644propranolol. ^{21,22} More recent studies have shown that for patients	on of 21 and
643reduce brown fat uptake is beta blockade such as the administration644propranolol.21,22645under, a lower dose of 0.33 mg/kg with a maximum of 20 mg administration	on of 21 and nistered
643reduce brown fat uptake is beta blockade such as the administration644propranolol.21,22645under, a lower dose of 0.33 mg/kg with a maximum of 20 mg administration646one hour before FDG injection has been effective.(add ref) For adure	on of 21 and nistered It
643reduce brown fat uptake is beta blockade such as the administration644propranolol.21,22645under, a lower dose of 0.33 mg/kg with a maximum of 20 mg administration646one hour before FDG injection has been effective.(add ref) For adure	on of 21 and nistered It sed.

650		example, the subject should be asked to refrain from speaking, chewing, or
651		reading during the uptake period. ¹¹ For brain imaging the subject should be
652		in a room that is dimly lit and quiet for FDG administration and subsequent
653		uptake period. ¹⁷
654		 The subject may use the rest room and should void immediately (5 – 10
655		minutes) prior to the FDG-PET/CT image acquisition phase of the
656		examination.
657		 Bladder catheterization is not routinely necessary; but if necessary the
658		catheter should be placed prior to injection of FDG. Bladder catheterization
659		may be important for the evaluation of pelvic tumors (e.g., cervix or
660		prostate cancer).
661		 Following the administration of FDG, the subject should drink 500 ml (or 8 –
662		12 oz, 237-354 ml per ACRIN) of water (or receive by intravenous
663		administration 250 - 500 ml of non-glucose containing fluid). Fluid intake
664		may need to be modified for those subjects on fluid restriction.
665		 For specific areas of anatomic interest (e.g., tumors located in the lower
666		abdomen, pelvis or kidney) intravenous diuretic agents may be used (e.g.,
667		20 - 40 mg of furosemide given nearly contemporaneously (within $10 - 15$
668		minutes) with the administration of FDG). Per the SNM harmonization
669		summit if bladder catheterization is performed IV diuretics should be
670		administered as described herein so as to ensure that the concentration of
671		activity in the renal collecting systems and bladder is relatively dilute.
672		 Sedation is not routinely required, but is not contraindicated provided that
673		the sedative used does not interfere with the uptake of FDG. If sedation
674		might be used, the subject should be instructed in advance that operation
675		of a motorized vehicle will be prohibited after the FDG-PET/CT test.
676		Sedation may have utility in specific clinical circumstances such as brain or
677		head and neck tumors, claustrophobic subjects, or children.
678		 The amount of fluid intake and use of all medications (e.g., diuretic,
679		sedative) must be documented on the appropriate case report form.
680		 Subjects undergoing a CT scan should empty their pockets and remove any
681		clothing containing metal and any metallic jewelry from the body parts to
682		be scanned, changing into a hospital gown if necessary. ¹⁷
683		be scallied, changing into a hospital gown in necessary.
684		
685 686	5	Imaging related Substance Proparation and Administration
	5	Imaging-related Substance Preparation and Administration
687 688		N/ and aral indinated contract is not discussed as part of this document as its utility is related to
688		IV and oral iodinated contrast is not discussed as part of this document as its utility is related to
689		the diagnostic CT examination.
690		
691		The FDG must meet USP specifications or meet other current specifications as defined by the
692		FDA or other appropriate regulatory agency for the pertinent regulatory jurisdiction where
693		testing is to be performed. The quality control should be consistent with Section 12.2. If IV
694		and/or oral iodinated contrast is to be used in the study, the density, quantity, and composition
695		(if pertinent) should be specified in the protocol.

696		
697	5.1	Substance Description and Purpose
698		
699		A brief statement regarding FDG as the imaging agent should be included in the clinical
700		trial protocol where appropriate; for example: FDG is a glucose analogue. Its use in
701		oncology is based on the fact that most types of tumors utilize more glucose than most
702		other types of normal tissue.
703		
704	5.2.	Dose Calculation and/or Schedule
705		
706		The 18 F - FDG dose is usually around 5mCi in Europe and between 10mCi (=370 MBq) 5
707		and 20 mCi (=740 MBq) ¹⁷ in the United States. Further FDG dose refinement and/or
708		dose reduction can be achieved by taking into account: (1) patient weight, for example
709		by applying a dose of 5 – 8 MBq/kg; (2) 2D versus 3D scanning mode; (3) acquisition
710		time per bed position and; (4) percentage bed overlap of subsequent bed positions. The
711		exact dose and the time at which dose is calibrated should be recorded. Residual dose
712		remaining in the tubing, syringe or automated administration system and any dose
713		spilled during injection should be recorded. ^{1,2,5,17}
714		
715		• In the case of using an automated system, the administered FDG activity should be
716		within 3% accuracy (this must be ensured by manufacturer and verified by the user);
717		i.e., the actual administered activity may not deviate more than 3% from that
718		indicated by the reading of that device or dose calibrator following instructions
719		given by the manufacturer of the automated administration system .
720		• Residual activity as determined by the above methods should be used to correct the
721		administered dose for any quantitative results reported.
722		
723		Any upper dose limits related to dead time/count rate limitations, as recommended by
724		the tomograph manufacturer should be taken into account. Moreover, (upper) dose
725		limits may apply because of national or local legislation . In case upper dose limits apply,
726		consistent image quality across sites should be accomplished by increasing scanning
727		time. For pediatric studies, other guidelines may apply, such as the EANM pediatric dose
728		card. ^{23,24}
729		
730	5.3.	Timing, Subject Activity Level, and Factors Relevant to Initiation of Image Data
731		Acquisition
732		
733		FDG uptake into both tumors and other body tissues is a dynamic process that peaks
734		and plateaus at various time points dependent upon multiple variables. ^{25,26} Therefore,
735		it is extremely important that (1) the time interval between FDG administration and the
736		start of emission scan acquisition is consistent and (2) when repeating a scan on the
737		same subject, it is essential to use the same interval after injection for scans performed
738		at different times.
739 740		The suggested concensus time (from all references) between EDC administration and
740 741		The suggested consensus time (from all references) between FDG administration and
741 742		scan acquisition is 60 minutes based on historical use of this test; assuming this is the target window, an acceptable window is often cited as +/- 5 minutes (55-65 minutes).
142		target window, an acceptable window is often cited as +/- 5 minutes (55-05 minutes).

743 744		Two references allow the acceptable window to be +/- 10 minutes (50-70 minutes), which is considered the absolute minimum of acceptability 6,17,27
744		which is considered the absolute minimum of acceptability. ^{6,17,27}
745		However, on the basis of the SNM harmonization summit while the "target" tracer
740		uptake time should be 60 minutes, there was consensus that the "acceptable" window
748		should be from 55 to 75 minutes so as to ensure that imaging does not begin
749		prematurely so as to allow adequate tumor uptake of FDG and to account for the
750		practicality of work flow which often does not accommodate imaging at exactly 60
751		minutes after FDG injection. ¹¹ The exact time of injection must be recorded; the time of
752		injection initiation should be used as the time to be recorded. Ideally, the injection and
753		flush should be completed within one minute with the rate of injection appropriate to
754		the quality of the vein accessed for FDG administration so as to avoid compromising the
755		integrity of the injection vein.
756		
757		More recent evidence might justify a target interval of greater than 60 minutes for a
758		particular trial. If a target time greater than 60 minutes is chosen for a specific trial, the
759		imaging protocol should justify the specific time chosen, as well as the acceptable
760		window about this target time. Furthermore, as routine clinical practice might not allow
761		the use of pre-recruitment scan for the study, the protocol should include a plan for
762		repeating the baseline scan if necessary to allow appropriate inter-time-point
763		comparisons. ^{7,11}
764		
765		
766		When repeating a scan on the same subject, especially in the context of therapy
767		response assessment, it is essential to apply the same time interval with target window
768		of +/- 10 minutes (with an acceptable window of +/- 15 minutes) provided that the scan
769		must not begin prior to 55 minutes after the injection of FDG. ¹¹ If a limited or targeted
770		scan is obtained at follow-up after a whole body scan was performed at baseline, one
771		should consider adjusting the timing of the follow up scan to be congruent with the
772		timing for the same anatomic region as achieved during the baseline study.
773		
774		If, for scientific reasons, an alternate time (between dose administration and scan
775		acquisition) is targeted for a specific protocol, then the rationale for this deviation
776		should be stated; inter-time point consistency must still be followed. ⁶
777		
778	5.4.	Administration Route
779 780		FDC should be administered introveneusly through a large here (N21 gauge) inducalling
781		FDG should be administered intravenously through a large bore (≥21 gauge) indwelling catheter placed anatomically remote (e.g., contralateral extremity to site of disease if at
782		all possible) to any site(s) of suspected pathology, preferably in an antecubital vein.
783		Intravenous ports should not be used, unless no other venous access is available. If a
784		port is used additional flush volume should be used. As reproducible and correct
785		administration of FDG is required for quantitation purposes, extravasation or
786		paravenous administration should be avoided. ^{1,2 6 17} If an infiltration is suspected, the
787		fact should be recorded and if the study is quantitative, i.e. SUVs will be measured, then
788		the infiltration site should be imaged and the approximate amount of infiltration should
789		be calculated. If the infiltration is greater than 5% of the administered dose and the

790			quantitative result from the FDG-PET/CT study is a primary or secondary endpoint, the
791			data point might be censored from review or the subject might not be included in
792			study. ¹¹ The injection site should be documented on the appropriate case report form. ¹⁷
793			
794			Presuming that the IV access site is properly functioning, the same route of
795			administration may be used for iodinated contrast as is used for FDG.
796			
797		5.5.	Rate, Delay and Related Parameters / Apparatus
798			
799			Either manual or automated injection systems may be used to administer the FDG.
800			,
801			• In the case of manual administration, a three-way valve system should be attached
802			to the previously placed intravenous cannula (See Section 5.4) so as to allow at least
803			a 10 cc normal (0.9% NaCl) saline flush following FDG injection. Residual activity
804			within the syringe, and as much of the administration system as is available
805			(including the needle cap) must be measured and the residual dose should be
806			documented (See Section 5.2). ^{1,17,28}
807			 In the case of an automated administration system, the manufacturer's instructions
808			should be followed. However, the automated system and administration
808			procedures must be ensured by the manufacturer and verified by the user to
809 810			perform within the characteristics specified in Section 5.2)
			perform within the characteristics specified in Section 5.2)
811		ГC	Derived Visualization (Monitoring if any NA
812		5.6.	Required Visualization / Monitoring, if any – NA
813		F 7	Quality Control
814		5.7.	Quality Control
815			See 12.2.
816	6	1.1.1.1.1	
817	6		ual Subject Imaging-related Quality Control
818		See 12	.3.
819	_		
820	7	Imagin	g Procedure
821			
822		7.1	Required Characteristics of Resulting Data
823		_	
824		7	.1.1 Data Content
825			
826			For most Oncology indications, anatomic coverage should include from the skull
827			base (external auditory meatus to the proximal to mid-thigh. This is considered
828			a 'whole body' scan. However, other ranges could be used as appropriate for
829			specific clinical trials. However, the clinical trial should then provide specific
830			instructions with justification. Usually the scanning direction should be
831			caudiocranial to minimize effect from increasing bladder activity during the scan.
832			Scanning direction should be protocol specified. It is critical that for a given
833			subject, scanning direction on baseline scans be duplicated at follow-up time
834			points. ^{6,11}
835			

836	Any potential sources of artifact (e.g., urine collection bags, surgical drainage
837	bags, IV lines and related devices) should be managed or positioned so as to
838	eliminate or minimize degradation of the image and image-related data.
839	
840	Extended anatomic coverage (e.g. brain or extremities) may be performed for
841	tumors that show higher probability of metastasis or direct extension above the
842	skull base or below the mid-thigh. If extended anatomic coverage is performed,
843	this could be performed as a continuation of the skull base to mid-thigh exam or
844	be performed as a two-step protocol. Two-step exam may be preferable,
845	especially in the case of head and neck tumors. If a two-step or an anatomy
846	extended examination is performed, attention to scan timing is critical to
847	provide time relevant comparison with earlier time points (see section 5.3).
848	
849	Either one of the following two different scanning strategies can be used for
850	FDG-PET/CT acquisition. For the first strategy, there is no intent to obtain a
851	diagnostic CT scan at the FDG-PET imaging session; for the second strategy, a
852	diagnostic CT is obtained. Whichever strategy is used, it is recommended that all
853	FDG-PET/CT scans for an individual subject (target for all subjects) be
854	performed using the same strategy for all sequential time points. The workflow
855	chosen should be described in the protocol and should be tailored
856	commensurate to the level of expectation of the obtained data (e.g. qualitative
857	or quantitative SUV analysis).
858	
859	Strategy 1: For FDG-PET/CT in which the CT is used for attenuation correction
860	and localization only (no diagnostic CT intent):
861	• CT Scout (topogram), followed by
862	• CT for anatomic localization and attenuation correction, followed by
863	Emission scan acquisition
864	
865	Strategy 2: For FDG-PET/CT in which a diagnostic CT is performed in conjunction
866	with FDG-PET, one of two strategies shall be used. Either (2a) follow Strategy 1
867	and then, with no or minimal patient motion after the PET Emission scan
868	acquisition, perform an additional IV contrast-enhanced diagnostic CT or (2b)
869	perform a contrast-enhanced diagnostic CT before following the workflow
870	described in Strategy 1.
871	
872	For both strategies, there are several common issues specific to the CT exam
873	that may have an impact on quantitative FDG-PET output, which need attention
874	and protocol specification. These include (1) contrast material administration,
875	(2) respiratory motion compensation instructions and (3) CT scanning technique
876	(kVp, mAs and pitch). Here is a summary of the acceptable level of
877	behavior/procedure for each of these three issues.
878	
879	All these issues should be addressed in the clinical trial protocol, (with target of
880	consistency across all time points for each given subject and ideally with
881	consistency across all sites and all subjects (both inter-subject, and intra- and
882	inter-facility). The actual details of imaging for each subject at each time point

883 sh	ould always be recorded. Any particular clinical trial should NOT allow some
884 sit	es to implement one strategy and other sites to implement the alternative.
885	
886 Fc	r strategy 1 where the CT is used for attenuation correction and localization
887 or	nly (no diagnostic CT intent), the following behavior levels apply:
888	
889 •	Contrast Material
890	The presence of a positive contrast agent (IV or oral), by affecting the CT
891	attenuation map, can result in a small variability of quantitative SUV
892	evaluation. If this was the only consideration, then ideal would be to
893	prohibit CT contrast administration. However, in some clinical situations
894	(dependent upon tumor type, tumor behavior or level of anatomic interest),
895	the benefit of oral CT contrast may outweigh the small errors induced in
896	SUV measurement that may include increased SUV variability.
897	Consequently, ideal and target approaches are grouped as below. Each
898	protocol should specify the desired approach for the given study. Most
899	importantly, for each subject, the same approach should be followed for all
900	imaging time points.
901	
902	a. Acceptable
903	No IV contrast; dilute positive oral contrast is acceptable
904	
905	a. Target/Ideal
906	No positive contrast agent (IV or oral) for FDG-PET/CT studies with a
907	predominant intent of quantitation at both baseline and follow-up
908	
909	No IV contrast agent; negative or dilute positive oral contrast is allowed
910	for FDG-PET/CT studies with primary quantitative intent with additional
911	need for oral contrast to increase confidence of true positive disease
912	detection and/or additional qualitative assessment.
913	
914 •	Respiratory Motion Compensation
915	Respiratory motion causes SUV errors by two mechanisms: motion blurring
916	and attenuation correction mismatches between CT transmission map and
917	emission data.
918	
919	a. Acceptable
920	Verbal instruction to the subject for shallow breathing during CT and
921	PET.
922	
923	b. Target
924	Verbal instructions to subject for similar shallow breathing during both
925	the PET and CT acquisitions; respiratory gating if called for in a given
926	protocol specification
927	
928	c. Ideal

929 930 931 932 933 934 935	Verbal instructions to subject for similar shallow breathing during both the PET and CT acquisitions; respiratory gating if called for given protocol specification; possibly with advanced methodologies for respiratory synchronization if offered by manufacturer and appropriate to the study. Respiratory gating on PET may require several CT attenuation maps for optimal quantitation.
936 •	CT Technique
937	
938	a. Acceptable
939	Recording of actual kVp and exposure (CTDI, DLP) for each subject at
940	each time point. CT dose exposure should be appropriately reduced in
941	smaller patients and children.
942	
943	b. Target
944	Consistency in use of kVp and low exposure (CTDI, DLP) for all time
945	points for a given subject in addition to the Acceptable conditions
946	stated below. CT dose exposure should be appropriately reduced in
947	smaller patients and children.
948	
949	c. Ideal
950	Use of manufacturer recommended kVp and exposure CT Dose Index
951	(CTDI) or Dose Length Product (DLP) settings for low dose exam in
952	addition to the Target and Acceptable conditions stated below. CT dose
953	exposure should be appropriately reduced in smaller patients and
954	children.
955	
956	Regarding CT radiation exposure, rules of "As Low as Reasonably
957	Achievable" (ALARA) should be followed. For a given protocol, the
958	purpose of performing the CT scan (attenuation correction only or
959	attenuation correction and anatomic localization) should be
960	determined. The CT technique (mAs, pitch, collimation, kVp, and slice
961	thickness) used should result in as low as reasonably achievable
962	exposure needed to achieve the intended goal of imaging working with
963	the scanner manufacturer to achieve this objective. The technique used
964	for an imaging session should be repeated for that subject for all
965	subsequent time points assuming it was properly performed on the first
966	study.
967	
968	Strategy 2: For FDG-PET/CT in which a diagnostic CT is performed in
969	conjunction with FDG-PET, since there may be variability introduced
970	into the SUV calculations by the presence of even dilute intravascular
971	iodinated contrast. Consequently, each clinical trial should choose
972	either the Acceptable or the Target/Ideal strategy as described below
973	for use at all sites, for all time points, and for all subjects. Any particular
974	clinical trials should NOT allow some sites to implement one strategy
975	and other sites to implement the alternative.

976			
977			
978		a.	Acceptable
979			Perform a contrast enhanced (IV and dilute or negative oral contrast)
980			diagnostic CT before step 1 of Strategy 1, then with no or minimal
981			patient motion between the diagnostic CT and the PET/CT complete
982			steps 1-3 (including a separate tidal-breathing AC / localization CT) of
983			Strategy 1 ensuring that the diagnostic CT acquisition is performed
984			consistently for a given subject across all time points. The IV contrast
985			would then be in equilibrium phase during the emission scan acquisition
986			and the AC / localization CT scan. (note – since there are no data as to
987			the magnitude of variance in SUV calculation between the IDEAL /
988			Target strategy and the Acceptable strategy, perhaps QIBA should
989			investigate if the Acceptable strategy is indeed truly acceptable for
990			quantitative FDG-PET/CT in the conduct of a clinical trial.)
991			
992		b.	Target / Ideal
993			Follow Strategy 1 (steps 1-3 above) and then with no or minimal patient
994			motion between the diagnostic CT and the PET/CT perform an
995			additional IV contrast-enhanced diagnostic CT after the emission PET
996			scan acquisition. Ensure that the diagnostic CT acquisition is performed
997			consistently for a given subject across all time points. Note that for this
998			case, use negative or dilute positive oral contrast for the non-
999			attenuation CT scan.
1000			In some instances, such as head and neck cancer, a separate dedicated
1001			PET and CT acquisition may be appropriate with the arms in a different
1002			position (down) than would be used for the remainder of the whole
1003			body study (see also Section 7.2.1 "Subject Positioning").
1004			,
1005		C.	Unacceptable
1006		0.	Performance of a single diagnostic quality CT study prior to or after the
1007			emission scan for all purposes (i.e., anatomic localization, attenuation
1008			correction, and diagnostic CT information) is considered unacceptable
1009			for clinical trial use.
1010			The major negatives for this strategy are due to misregistration and
1011			incorrect attenuation correction application (especially around the level
1012			of the diaphragm) due to differential diaphragmatic position between
1013			optimal diagnostic CT (typically full breath hold inspiration) and
1014			emission (tidal breathing) FDG-PET scan acquisitions. ²⁹ This is believed
1015			to strongly outweigh the benefit of radiation dose reduction achieved
1015			by eliminating the low-dose CT for anatomic localization / attenuation
1010			correction map. A dose reduction can be achieved in cases in which a
1017			diagnostic IV contrast CT is required, by limiting the CT with contrast to
1018			the most relevant regions of the body, which may be a smaller extent
1015			than the area imaged on PET.
1020			
1021	7.1.2	Data Str	ucture
1022	/		

1023		
1024		Acceptable / Target: The matrix size, slice thickness, and reconstruction zoom
1025		should yield a target reconstructed voxel size of 3 – 4 mm in all three
1026		dimensions (i.e., not achieved through post-processing), although not
1027		necessarily isotropic. – for QC see section 12.1.1
1028		
1029		Ideal: Reconstructed voxel size (i.e., not achieved through post-processing)
1030		should be as small as possible without introducing artifacts and also so as to be
1031		consistent across all trial sites; with current technology 2 – 3 mm in all three
1032		dimensions is achievable.
1033		
1034	7.1.3	Data Quality
1035		
1036		Image quality (as defined by SUV calibration, SUV Recovery Coefficient, and
1037		SNR) should be such that when applying the same acquisition and
1038		reconstruction protocol as used in subject scanning to the protocol specified
1039		phantom(s) the output should meet the QC standards as stated in Section
1040		12.1.1.
1041		
1042		Treatment response assessment and classification (based on criteria) require
1043		several quantitative and qualitative assessments. For details see Sections 9 and
1044		10. In summary, however, the analysis and interpretation steps depend on
1045		several aspects including, but not limited to, assessment of lesion eligibility,
1046		percentage change in activity of specified lesions at each time point relative to
1047		baseline, and the appearance of new lesions that meet eligibility criteria.
1048		
1049		For the first two aspects (lesion eligibility and measuring percentage change)
1050		standardization of quantitative image quality, e.g., by means of harmonizing
1051		recovery coefficients measured in specific dedicated phantoms, will result in
1052		more uniform lesion selection and response assessments across institutes.
1053		Consequently, harmonizing quantitative performance of PET/CT systems
1054		coupled with defining some minimum and/or optimum performance metrics
1055		should be a strong consideration in the design of a multicenter trial.
1056		
1057		For the assessment of progression related to the appearance of one or more
1058		new lesion(s), it is important to set a <u>minimal threshold</u> for image quality with
1059		respect to lesion detectability. As such, scanners need to have a minimal image
1060		quality performance/lesion detectability/SNR in order to be suitable to be used
1061		in trials. It therefore is conceivable that two different sets of reconstruction
1062		algorithms and settings may be necessary to use in the trial; one for lesion
1063		detection and the other for lesion quantitation.
1064		·
1065		Both lesion detectability and quantitation must be carefully considered during
1066		study design so as to properly define minimum quality standards to be applied
1067		across all sites and scanner platforms (see Section 12.1.1).
1068		
1069	7.2 lı	maging Data Acquisition
		'

1070	
1071	All QC procedures should be followed and documented prior to the initiation of
1072	acquisition.
1073	
1074	For serial scans of the same subject, every attempt should be made to use the same
1075	scanner, and the same scanner model throughout the trial. ²⁷
1076	
1077	However, in some cases a different scanner that has been previously qualified and is the
1078	same platform as the scanner used at baseline can be used for a subject's follow-up scan
1079	in the instance of equipment malfunction. ⁷
1080	
1081	The ideal level of performance is that all serial scans on a subject should be performed
1082	on the same scanner with the same software version; acceptable / target performance
1083	is that all serial scans on a subject should be performed on equivalent scanners (i.e., the
1084	same model) but also with the same software version). ¹¹
1085	
1086	Additionally, all scan acquisitions for a given subject should include identical
1087	transmission and emission scanning techniques and emission scan duration per bed
1087	position ²⁷ There is no consensus provided on emission scan time range. The number of
1089	bed positions and the acquisition time per bed position will be scanner specific. Typical
1089	parameters are 6 bed positions and an acquisition of $2-5$ min per bed position. The
1090	
	minimum acceptable time per bed position should be between 2 and 4 minutes for a 3D
1092	acquisition with 2D acquisitions typically requiring at least 1.5 - 2x longer depending on
1093	the administered FDG dose; although the absolute impact on image quality by scan time
1094	per bed position is currently undefined it is dependent on several pertinent factors
1095	including, but not limited to, administered dose, body weight and habitus, bed overlap,
1096	and specific model / version of the imaging platform used. In general, increased scan
1097	time per bed position will improve the SNR and thus it may be important to increase
1098	scan time when quantitative metrics are used towards a primary endpoint.
1099	
1100	As new technology becomes available, it is important that acquisition parameters are
1101	implemented to ensure at least equivalent, if not superior, measurable image quality
1102	and output metrics.
1103	
1104	Whole body acquisitions can be in either 2- or 3- dimensional mode with attenuation
1105	correction, but a consistent method should be chosen for all serial scanning of an
1106	individual subject throughout the trial.
1107	
1108	A relationship has been described between applied FDG dose, acquisition time per bed
1109	position, percentage bed overlap and scanning mode (2D, 3D) in order to harmonize
1110	image quality (and avoid bias in quantification). ^{1,2} Using this relationship these
1111	parameters are directly linked, e.g. a higher FDG dose can be offset by shorter
1112	acquisition times per bed position etc.
1113	
1114	Acceptable: All serial scans on any individual subject must be performed on the same
1115	previously qualified scanner for each time point if quantitative results are to be used for
1116	primary or secondary trial endpoints. If a site has more than one scanner of the same
-	

- 1117 model with the same software version and those scanners have both been previously 1118 qualified and both scanners also have been previously demonstrated to be equivalent 1119 by periodic quality assurance testing, the serial scans could be performed on any of 1120 these equivalent scanners. If a subject has already been injected with the FDG dose and 1121 the previously used scanner is not available, a different previously gualified scanner may 1122 be used; but this should be noted on the case report form. This may result in restriction 1123 of data use to qualitative data only. If there has been a software version upgrade and 1124 pre- and post-upgrade quality assurance testing demonstrates equivalency, this is 1125 tantamount to using the same scanner. If there is difference in scanner performance 1126 after the software upgrade, this should be noted on the applicable case report forms. 1127 This may result in restriction of data use to qualitative data only. All serial scans on the 1128 same subject should use identical transmission and emission scanning techniques for all 1129 time points. 1130
- 1131While there may be variance based on type of scanner, scanning algorithm, model, and1132software version, the following guidelines are meant to assist each site in achieving the1133desired data quality as specified in Sections 5.2, 7.1.3, and 12.1.1. Therefore, the1134determination of the exact scanning acquisition parameters should be guided by the1135following considerations and activities.

1136

1145

1151

1155

- 1137 For a dose of 5 MBq/kg or higher (370 MBg or more for a 75 kg patient) the minimal 1138 time per bed position using the manufacturers' recommended bed overlap 1139 specifications. The time per bed position should be at least 2 mins for 3D systems 1140 showing \geq 50% bed overlap and at least 4 min for 3D systems showing <50%. Time per 1141 bed position may be modified inversely proportional to alteration in injected dose per 1142 body weight within the limits of the scanner performance as determined by the 1143 manufacturer or an appropriately qualified independent standard-setting organization 1144 or peer-reviewed publication.
- 1146For 2D systems these times per bed should be at least 1.5 times longer for the same1147injected dose based on body weight. Time per bed position may be modified inversely1148proportional to alteration in injected dose per body weight within the limits of the1149scanner performance as determined by the manufacturer or an appropriately qualified1150independent standard-setting organization or peer-reviewed publication.
- 1152In general, increased scan time per bed position will improve the SNR and thus it may be1153important to increase scan time when quantitative metrics are used towards a primary1154endpoint.
- 1156 Whatever scan acquisition parameters are determined on the basis of the 1157 recommendations (Acceptable, Target, and Ideal) in this document, efforts should be 1158 made to maintain consistency throughout the course of the clinical trial allowing for 1159 optional adjustments based on body weight. Specifically, when scan acquisition 1160 parameters are determined by quality assessment and control procedures performed 1161 for site qualification, those parameters should be implemented for all subjects and all 1162 time points, with subject-specific adjustments only as specified and allowed by the 1163 imaging protocol embedded within the clinical trial documents. This may require

1164	periodic measurement of quality assessment and control parameters and potential
1165	subsequent adjustments to scan acquisition parameters after upgrades and major
1166	service. All such quality assessment and control procedures should be documented and
1167	any resultant adjustments to scan acquisition parameters should also be documented.
1168	
1169	Target: Image noise levels are measured using an anthropomorphic phantom (e.g.
1170	NEMA, ACR, SNM, EANM) with a uniform area to assess image 'noise' by means of the
1171	coefficient of variation (COV), which is expressed as a percentage and is defined as COV
1172	= (SD / Mean) x 100, for the voxel values within a specified volume of interest (VOI).
1172	- (5D / Mean x 100, 101 the voxel values within a specified volume of interest (vol).
	The phenotopy should be filled such that the activity concentration in the uniform area is
1174	The phantom should be filled such that the activity concentration in the uniform area is
1175	(approximately 0.1 to 0.2 uC/ml), similar to the expected average normal tissue
1176	concentration at the time of imaging in an average weight (70-80 kg) subject in
1177	combination with the intended FDG dosage. The phantom should be scanned using the
1178	minimal time per bed specified in the trial protocol or using the routinely applied time
1179	per bed in the local clinical setting. Moreover, image reconstruction methods and
1180	settings should equal those specified in the trial protocol or equal those routinely
1181	applied in the local clinical setting.
1182	
1183	A volume of interest (VOI) should be positioned entirely within the phantom's uniform
1184	area and as much as possible centrally located within the phantom. The VOI should be a
1185	cubic or rectangular volume, with the length of each side as close as possible to, but no
1186	less than 3 cm. A sphere measuring no less than 3 cm. in diameter may also be used as
1187	the VOI on systems that have the capability to accommodate this strategy. The COV of
1187	the voxel values thus determined should be recorded and should also be below 15%.
1188	
1189	Idealy Using the methods described immediately above, the phantom should be
	Ideal: Using the methods described immediately above, the phantom should be
1191	scanned at the proposed time per bed position and reconstructed using the acceptable
1192	reconstruction methods and settings (e.g. minimal and/or harmonized resolution
1193	criteria). The COV within the VOI should be calculated and should yield a COV of 10% or
1194	better. If the ideal COV is not achieved, the time per bed position could be increased so
1195	as to achieve the desired COV.
1196	
1197	7.2.1 Subject Positioning
1198	
1199	During PET-CT, subjects should be positioned in the center of the field of view
1200	(FOV), preferably with the subjects' arms to be positioned overhead (to
1201	minimize beam hardening and FOV truncation artifacts). Alternatively, the arms
1202	can be positioned along the side for head and neck imaging (for two-step
1203	procedure – see section 7.1.1). Subjects may be unable to maintain arms above
1203	head for the examination, in which case protocol specific handling needs to be
1204	defined. Arm positioning in a particular subject should be consistent as possible
1205	
1206	across all time points.
	If DET CT data are used for rediction planning, the eventination should be
1208	If PET-CT data are used for radiation planning, the examination should be
1209	carried out in the radiation position using the same dedicated radio-opaque
1210	positioning devices as used in the radiotherapy department. Support devices,
	26

1211	under the back and/or the legs, may be used to enable the subject to	
1212	comfortably maintain his/her position throughout the exam. ²⁷	
1213		
1214	7.2.2 Instructions to Subject During Acquisition	
1215		
1216	The diagnostic CT is usually performed in maximal inspiration breath-hold which	
1217	could result in image artifacts due to mis-registration of the lung-liver interface	
1218	between emission and CT images if the diagnostic CT is being used for	
1219	attenuation correction (i.e., there is only one CT scan performed for both	
1220	diagnosis and attenuation correction which is not the UPICT recommended	
1221	method per section 7.1.1). Therefore, the CT acquisition for attenuation	
1222	correction should be done with shallow breathing without regard to the CT	
1223	technology used (acceptable / target / ideal).	
1224		
1225		
1226	7.2.4 Model-Specific Parameters	
1227	The vendor model-specific and software version-specific parameters that would	
1228	reproducibly produce image data meeting the requirements as stated in Section	
1229	7.1 while also complying with the radiation dosimetry as specified in Section 12	
1230	and 13 is not known at this time. Optimally, the vendors will, over time,	
1231	produce such operating instructions for some if not all of their platforms. For	
1232	the present, this document specifies certain performance criteria and image	
1233	quality specifications that must be met as described elsewhere in this section.	
1234		
1235		
1236	7.3 Imaging Data Reconstruction	
1237		
1238	- PET emission data must be corrected for geometrical response and detector efficiency	
1239	(normalization), system dead time, random coincidences, scatter and attenuation. ^{1,2,27}	
1240	- Data acquired in the 3D mode can be reconstructed directly using a 3D reconstruction	
1241	algorithm or re-binned into 2D data and subsequently be reconstructed with a 2D	
1242	reconstruction algorithm.	
1243	- Iterative reconstruction algorithms are current standard for PET (rather than filtered	
1244	back projection), and should be used to reconstruct all PET images.	
1245	- Reconstructions should be performed with and without attenuation correction.	
1246	-Scanners must be properly normalized and calibrated to ensure uniformity and	
1247	accuracy of SUV measurements within the limits of the spatial resolution	
1248	- Standardization of reconstruction performance is necessary to obtain comparable	
1249	resolution and SUV recoveries across the same subject and inter-subject across sites.	
1250	This has not yet been achieved, but is actively being addressed by the major PET	
1250	manufacturers.	
1252	חמותומכנת בוס.	
1252	7.3.1 Model-Specific Parameters	
1253		
	Accontable: The current accontable practice is to provide general	
1255 1256	Acceptable: The current acceptable practice is to provide general reconstruction guidelines and allow individual sites to choose the specific	
	reconstruction guidelines and allow individual sites to choose the specific	
1257	parameters used for their particular scanner model/version, based in part on	
	27	

1258			current clinical practice. If this approach is used, the parameters should be
1259			reviewed for appropriateness and consistency and the resulting image quality
1260			should be assessed with phantom imaging performed as part of the PET/CT
1261			scanner qualification.
1262			•
1263			Target/Ideal: If warranted by the particular trial endpoints (and specifically if an
1264			endpoint is based on absolute quantitative PET measures), acquisition and
1265			reconstruction parameters for each specific scanner model/version should be
1266			tailored to achieve comparable performance (i.e., harmonization across
1267			platforms and sites) in terms of spatial resolution or SUV contrast recovery and
1268			noise.
1269			
1270		7.3.2	Archival Requirements for Reconstructed Imaging Data
1271		_	See 11.4.
1272			
1273			
1274		7.3.2	Quality Control
1275			See 12.4.
1276			
1277	8	Image Post-pr	ocessing
1278			
1279		8.1 Inpu	ut Data to Be Used
1280			
1281			data can be either Reconstructed Data, or Post-Processed Image Data as defined
1282 1283		below	
1285		8.1.1.	Definitions
1284		0.1.1.	Demittons
1286			Raw Data: This is an ambiguous term as it can refer to scanner raw data (i.e.,
1287			sinograms or list-mode) or image raw data. This term should not be used.
1288			Raw Projection Data: This term refers to the data as acquired by the scanner
1289			before reconstruction (i.e., sinograms or list-mode). When this term is used, the
1290			user should specify the exact type of Raw Projection Data.
1291			
1292			Reconstructed Image Data: This is the image data exactly as produced by the
1293			reconstruction process on the PET or PET/CT scanner. i.e., a stack of DICOM
1294			slices/files constituting a PET image volume with no processing other than that
1295			occurring during image reconstruction. This is always a stack of DICOM
1296			slices/files constituting a PET image volume that can be analyzed on one or
1297			more of the following: PET scanner console, PET image display workstation,
1298			PACS system, etc.
1299			
1300			Post-Processed Image Data: An image that has been transformed after
1301			reconstruction in some manner, including but not limited to: smoothing,
1302			sharpening, image zoom, rotation/translation, resampling, interpolation, slice
1303			averaging, MIP, etc This is typically a stack of DICOM slices/files constituting a

1304		PET image volume that can still be analyzed on one or more of the following:
1305		PET scanner console, PET image display workstation, PACS system, etc.
1306		
1307		Secondary Image: This is an ambiguous term as it can refer to either Post-
1308		Processed Image Data or a DICOM secondary capture image (akin to a
1309		photograph). This term should not be used. Instead please see Post-Processed
1310		Image Data above.
1311		
1312	8.2	Methods to Be Used
1313		
1314		After data collection and image reconstruction as detailed in Section 7, Reconstructed
1315		-
		Image Data (PET images) are generated that meet the image characteristics defined the
1316		by the trial.
1317		
1318		For both visualization/interpretation and quantification, no unintended additional image
1319		processing (interpolation, re-binning, reorientation, zooming etc) should be applied to
1320		the originally reconstructed PET data.
1321		
		8.2.1. Definitions
1322		8.2.1. Definitions
1323		
1324		Image Processing: Transformations applied to an entire image or a region of an
1325		image. These transformations include, but are not limited to: smoothing,
1326		resolution recovery, image zoom, rotation/translation, re-sampling,
1327		interpolation, slice averaging, de-identification, etc The output of this process
1328		is itself an image, often intended for visual or quantitative analysis.
		is used an image, often interfact for visual of quantitative analysis.
1329		
1330		8.2.2. Processing affecting quantification
1331		
1332		Acceptable: Image Post-Processing methods and parameters that are used
1333		should be recorded and applied to all images in a consistent manner following
1334		methods specified in the clinical trial. For example all images might be
1335		smoothed to the same overall resolution and/or reconstructed with the same
1336		voxel size (or in a defined range of voxel sizes). Quantitation should be applied
1337		consistently across all time points and all subjects within a given site.
1338		
1339		The originally reconstructed PET data set should always be preserved. In case
1340		processed PET datasets are required, they should be saved as separate
1341		secondary datasets.
1342		,
1343		Target: No Image Post-Processing is used for quantitation and all analyses are
1344		applied to the Reconstructed Image Data. Post-Processed Data may be used for
1345		visualization and to facilitate identifying the ROI / VOI. However, the underlying
1346		Reconstructed Image Data should be used for all quantitative purposes. The ROI
1347		/ VOI derived from the Post-Processing should be transferred to the
1348		Reconstructed Image Data for quantitation. Quantitation should be applied
1349		consistently across all time points and all subjects within a given site.
1350		
1000		20

1351			Ideal: No Image Processing is used for quantitation. Instead the analysis
1352			software for ROIs and VOIs always applies the analysis to the Reconstructed
1353			Image Data, regardless of the appearance of the image on the display station
1354			(which may be Post-processed). This is also a component of the QIBA FDG-PET
1355			Profile. The Ideal level of performance is equivalent to the Target level of
1356			performance, but in addition to being applied consistently across all time points
1357			and all subjects within a given site the consistency is also across all subjects, all
1358			time points, and all sites within a given trial.
1359			
1360		8.2.3.	Processing affecting visualization
1361			
1362			Addition image processing may be performed for specific applications or use
1363			cases. For visualization most of the image viewing software or platforms will
1364			'automatically' apply some kind of image interpolation (on screen) and image
1365			zoom to enhance visual image quality, i.e., almost all viewing and data analysis
1366			SW application will perform online image interpolation while displaying PET
1367			images on screen. Additional image processing may be applied upon user input,
1368			such as zooming, re-binning, reorientation, adjustment of slice thickness or
1369			summing of slices and image filtering. When automatic interpolation is applied,
1370			it would be desirable that the user has accessibility to replicated zoomed image
1371			data at its original matrix size.
1372			
1373			Acceptable/Target/Ideal: For visual inspection/interpretation of PET/CT data
1374			the by the viewing software or platform default online interpolation and
1375			zooming may be used. In addition, so-called maximum intensity projections
1376			(MIP) may be generated as they may facilitate localization and detection of
1377			lesions. Additional processing, such as zooming, re-binning, reorientation and
1378			filtering may be applied upon user request <u>only</u> . User should be able to
1379			manipulate color scale settings (window/level and color table). It should always
1380			be possible to revert to the default orientation, zoom and binsize (preferably a
1381			'revert to default' button is available).
1382			
1383		8.2.4.	Image de-identification (See also Section 11.2)
1384			5
1385			Acceptable: If images are de-identified to remove PHI, no information that
1386			affects quantitation should be removed.
1387			
1388			Target/Ideal: Only the minimal required PHI should be removed; i.e., all
1389			information that is not required to be removed should be retained.
1390			······································
1391	8.3	Reau	uired Characteristics of Resulting Data
1392			
1393		Accept	able: After visual post-processing is completed, the original data subjected to the
1394		•	rocessing must be retained in its original state. The transformation between the
1395			rocessed and original data must be described so as to allow subsequent
1396			uction by a third party. Any annotations and/or mark-ups performed on the
		•	

1397			post-processed dataset must be transformed to a copy of the original dataset (but still		
1398			leaving one copy of the original dataset without alteration).		
1399					
1400			After PHI is removed, all information that affects quantitation should remain intact and		
1401			unchanged.		
1402					
1403		8.4	Platform-specific Instructions		
1404					
1405			Currently there are no specific instructions that have been compiled for various		
1406			platforms. Post-processing should be performed in accordance with vendor		
1407			recommendations for the given model and/or specific user manuals.		
1408					
1409					
1410		8.5	Archival Requirements		
1411			See 0.		
1412					
1413		8.6	Quality Control		
1414			See 12.5.		
1415					
1416	9	Image	Analysis		
1417		-			
1418		For qu	antitation to be most robustly applied, images must meet the image acquisition		
1419		guideli	ines as stated within the UPICT Protocol, including, but not limited to, similar tracer		
1420		uptake	e times (see Section 5.3), same scanner and reconstruction algorithm (see Section 7) and		
1421		similar injected dose (see Section 5.2). Additionally, the same software and workstation model			
1422		and ve	ersion should be used for a given subject across all time points (and for central analysis for		
1423		all site	s and all subjects and all time points) for the analyses described in this section. Stability		
1424		and acceptability guidelines have been articulated in the PERCIST 1.0 guidelines (Wahl et al., J			
1425		Nucl Med. 2009 May;50 Suppl 1:122S-50S).			
1426					
1427		Image	analysis and interpretation also presumes that the image datasets to be used are		
1428		reconstructed and attenuation corrected as per 7.3 of this UPICT Protocol.			
1429					
1430		9.1	Input Data to Be Used and Covariates Necessary for Analysis		
1431					
1432			Image quantitation is typically performed by determining a Standardized Uptake Value		
1433			(SUV) in tumor and, ideally, in a reference normal organ. The SUV measure to be		
1434			utilized needs to be specified for each protocol and needs to be used consistently at all		
1435			sites and across all subjects and all time points for all lesion measurements.		
1436					
1437			9.1.1 The SUV Statistic		
1438					
1439			Nomenclature relevant to the SUV statistic shall be defined to address the (1)		
1440			subject relevant versus (2) statistical sampling relevant issues. Regardless the		
1441			SUV statistic(s) used, it is recommended that the SUV value is recorded at least		
1442			to the tenths place (e.g. 4.7) whether used as an absolute value or as a change		
1443			metric. As an exploratory metric, it is suggested that some measure (e.g., SD) of		

1444	heterogeneity within measured multi-voxel VOIs be expressed along with the
1445	SUV metric (e.g., 4.7 ± 0.2). However, it should be recognized that the utility of
1446	reporting this variance in is unknown at this time and is likely highly dependent
1447	on the standardization of the imaging and reconstruction processes.
1448	
1449	9.1.1.1. Subject indices (bw, lbm, bsa, other)
1450	
1451	The subject relevant issue is whether to use body weight (bw), lean body mass
1452	(lbm) or body surface area (bsa).
1453	 SUL = SUVIbm = reference to lean body mass
1454	 SUV = SUVbw = reference to body weight
1455	 SUVbsa = reference to body surface area (rarely used)
1456	
1457	From the SNM GHS*, there was consensus that SUV normalized to lean body
1458	mass (SUL) is an appealing concept for correcting the radiotracer distribution
1459	based on differences in body habitus in order to obtain absolute values and
1460	changes. It was acknowledged that the requirement of SUL may be limiting at
1461	this time due to either vendor platform software limitations, and limitations in
1462	the formula for characterizing the obese patient population. Target/acceptable
1463	is SUV reporting with inclusion of measurement and reporting of subject height
1464	and weight (see separate section 4.2.2.) and reporting to allow for other
1465	normalizations.
1466	
1467	If lean-body-mass (LBM) normalization is used for SUV calculation, the
1468	consensus recommendation is to use the formulae developed by James, ³⁰ which
1469	is:
1470	
1471	LBM(male) = (1.10 x Weight) - 128 x (Weight / Height)^2
1472	LBM(female) = (1.07 x Weight) - 148 x (Weight / Height)^2
1473	
1474	Where the units for weight are kg, and the units for height are cm.
1475	
1476	An alternative form for males is sometimes used, which can be traced back to
1477	an article by Morgan and Bray ³¹ in which the formula presented by James is
1478	likely misquoted, using 120 instead of 128 as a coefficient. This form was
1479	mentioned, but not used, in an article by Sugawara et al, ³² as a method for LBM
1480	normalization of SUV calculations, with subsequent adoption by some
1481	practitioners. However the pharmacology community does not use the
1482	alternative version. 33
1483	
1484	The above formulae are recognized as inaccurate for patients with extremely
1485	high body mass index (BMI) values (Han 2007), and alternative methods have
1486	been proposed ³⁴ that are for these cases (e.g. $BMI > 35 \text{ kg/m}^2$ or men > 300 lbs
1487	and women > 250 lbs). In addition there are continuing efforts to come up with
1488	improved methods for estimating LBM, including direct measurement on a per-
1489	patient basis using CT. ³⁵ However, as noted in the QIBA FDG-PET/CT profile
1490	(Appendix H), the different methods provide estimates of LBM typically have

1491 1492		unknown levels of bias and variance. Thus consistency and standardization are currently considered as important as potential improvements in accuracy.
1493		
1494 1495	9.1.2.	Statistical sampling – including report-out values
1495	9.1.2.	
1497		9.1.2.1. single voxel
1498		9.1.2.2. multiple voxel
1499 1500		Each of the SUV statistics defined above may be measured by one of
1500		three statistical sampling methods. That is the SUL, SUV, and SUVbsa
1502		may each be measured using a single voxel measure (max) or multi-
1503		voxel measures (mean or peak). There are known issues with the use of
1504 1505		the SUVmax in the presence of low counts, which result in positive bias, ³⁶ specifically there is an upward bias of the single voxel SUV max at
1505		low count rates. In addition, multiple voxel methods have shown
1507		improved repeatability. ^{36,37 12} Despite these issues, the SUVmax has
1508		demonstrated utility as a prognostic and predictive indicator in both
1509		clinical use and research studies, even though it may not be as
1510 1511		reproducible from study to study as the SUV of larger regions. The following discussion (and the remainder of Sections 9 and 10) will use
1512		SUV as the generic example. However, the discussions are generally
1513		applicable to SUL and SUVbsa (when appropriate and necessary
1514		discussion differentiating among these statistics will be included in
1515 1516		various sections of this document).
1510		SUVmax = single voxel (most FDG-avid voxel in tumor ROI)
1518		SUVmean = mean SUV value for ROI with more than one voxel
1519		SUVpeak = subcategory of SUVmean where volume (SUVpeak-3D) or
1520		area (SUVpeak-2D) is defined specifically. In PERCIST, the SULpeak is a
1521 1522		3D ROI obtained from a 1 cc volume sphere (measuring approximately 1.2 cm in diameter) and defines the most metabolically active 1 cc
1523		volume in a tumor. An approximation of the SUL peak can be the value
1524		obtained by measuring the SUVpeak of an area which is 1.2 cm in
1525		diameter and which usually subtends only a single slice, but which might
1526 1527		also be defined on multiple (most usually three) slices (for further discussion on the methods to be used for defining the 2D volume and
1528		discussion on the methods to be used for defining the 3D volume and the 2D area please see Section 9.2) ACRIN defines the 2D SUVpeak as a
1529		circular ROI centered on the SUVmax with a 0.75-1.75 cm diameter (1.0
1530		cm is preferred). Some PET workstations do not have automated
1531		methods to define the SUV peak. There are alternate approaches for
1532		determining the region to be used for the SUVpeak metric. One involves
1533 1534		moving the VOI/ROI throughout the tumor and measuring multiple SUVpeaks (one for each VOI/ROI) until the highest intratumoral
1535		SUVpeak measurement is located. Another involves locating the
1536		SUVmax and then centering the SUVpeak VOI/ROI on the SUVmax pixel.
1537		However, this method may not result in measuring the most FDG-avid

1538	portion of the tumor. An automated search mechanism to find the most
1539	FDG-avid SUVpeak has been developed as a computer code in some
1540	systems. It is often, though not always, the case that SUVpeak is
1541	centered on the SUVmax pixel in a tumor. It would be ideal to achieve
1542	consistency in the peak method that is used. However, it is unclear at
1543	this time which method is optimal.
1544	
1545	All references indicate that SUVmax (maximum voxel value or most
1546	FDG-avid voxel) is required for each lesion that is reported as specified
1547	in the study protocol and/or considered clinically relevant.
1548	
	Multiple references also indicate that SUV/mean of the V/OL/DOL
1549	Multiple references also indicate that SUVmean of the VOI/ROI
1550	obtained be reported. ^{1,6,38} The SUVpeak equals the SUVmean only
1551	when the VOI is a sphere with a specified diameter, which is also
1552	indicated as a reportable statistic (EU, ACRIN) and the SUVpeak is the
1553	most intense region of the tumor. PERCIST requires the use of SULpeak.
1554	(PERCIST article, Wahl). The SUV mean may be operator and ROI
1555	placement dependent if defined manually. While it has been used in
1556	many studies, it is not required by PERCIST as is SUV max. More
1557	objective methods are preferred for segmenting the tumor to define
1558	SUV mean (see sec 9.2).
	50V mean (see set 9.2).
1559	
1560	Nearly all PET systems will allow determination and reporting of a single
1561	voxel SUVmax. However, several reproducibility studies have shown
1562	somewhat greater variance for single voxel measurements (SUVmax) on
1563	
	test/re-test than for somewhat larger regions of interest (SUVmean)
1564	(Ref: AJ de Langen, JNM 2012). Newer PET scanners offer PET
1565	reconstructions including matrix sizes of 256 x 256 and larger and slice
1566	thicknesses in the 1-2 mm range. These single voxels are much smaller
1567	than the single voxels used in earlier determinations of PET precision
1568	and are more subject to noise related variance. At low count levels
1569	these single voxel measurements are subject to systematic errors
1570	including possible overestimation of SUVmax as compared with truth. In
1571	addition, point spread function/resolution recovery methods have been
1572	implemented which may variably drive single voxel quantification.
1573	While these methods have been used to improve lesion detection, there
1574	are changes in quantitative values that may impact response
1575	assessment. At this time, It is preferred that studies with quantitative
1576	response assessment not use resolution recovery methods due to the
1577	unknown impact and lack of standardization. For this reason, while
1578	single voxel values can be reported and are typically highly correlated
1579	(though higher) with an SUVmean from larger VOI (such as the 1.2 cm
1580	diameter volume recommended in PERCIST, SUVpeak), caution must be
1581	given to modest changes in values in single voxel SUVmax from test to
1582	
	test, especially in newer PET scanners with short acquisitions, large
1583	matrix sizes, low injected tracer doses and thin slice thicknesses
1584	(resulting in small voxels). Most contemporary PET workstations allow
	24

1585	for determination of a VOI of a fixed volume larger than a single voxel.
1586	At present, variance of the SUV in a larger VOI is not reported, but it
1587	may be explored.
1588	
1589	The optimal method of assessing a biologically relevant tumor response
1590	may vary depending on the tumor type, therapy, and timing of scans vs.
1591	the therapy, and is not yet fully resolved. Furthermore, the underlying
1592	tasks of choosing and prioritizing the optimal statistical metric to use
1593	and the optimal methodology to define lesion VOI/ROI (section 9.2.a) is
1594	challenging given the lack of rigorous comparative studies to date on
1595	which to rely. It is clear that the differing metrics are strongly
1596	correlated with one another. Methods with a single voxel are
1597	statistically more variable than those with slightly larger numbers of
1598	voxels included; meaning that changes in single voxel SUV measure (i.e.,
1599	SUL, SUV, SUVbsa) between studies may have to be larger to be
1600	statistically different. Intuitively, the most accurate representation of a
1601	lesions cellular tumor burden should include a combination of tumor
1602	burden volume and the metabolic activity of that burden as proposed with the Tatal Legiser Chercheric $(T, C)^{39}$.
1603	with the Total Lesion Glycolysis (TLG). ³⁹ For very small tumors, the
1604	SUVpeak values may include some tissue that is non-tumor, lowering
1605	apparent tumor activity. It is also possible tumor volume from PET may
1606	be informative.
1607	Note that by combining strategies of body habitus normalization and
1608	ROI peak averaging using the PERCIST example of SULpeak, this is an
1609	SUV measurement using lbm as patient size normalization and mean
1610	value of specific size (1.2cm diameter sphere) VOI/ROI as statistical
1611	sampling method. Furthermore, SUVpeak can be provided which uses
1612	bw as subject distribution "unit" and mean value of specific size VOI/ROI
1613	as statistical sampling method.
1614	
1615	
1616	
1617	Acceptable: SUVmax (normalized by body weight or lean body mass) -
1618	single voxel (must specify and should be the same across all subjects
1619	and time points); x,y, and z dimensions of a single voxel should be
1620	known and recorded (e.g. within the DICOM header). Input parameters
1621	for calculating SUV should be recorded (section 9.1.ii.b).
1622	
1623	Target: SUVpeak in addition to SUVmax (must specify and should be the
1624	same across all subjects and time points). For discussion of how partial
1625	or fractional pixel / voxel data could and should be managed, see
1626	Section 9.2.2.
1627	na se a su dense su
1628	Ideal: In addition to recording the Target metrics, additional metrics for
1629	body habitus correction and/or voxel averaging should be included such
1630	as the SULpeak (SULpeak-3D more desirable than SULpeak-2D) and

1631	(SULmax- both in the most FDG-avid region of each particular target
1632	t	umor should be captured - size of single pixel should be known (;
1633		
1634	I	Exploratory: it is recommended but not required to supplement Ideal,
1635	-	Target, and Acceptable performance with an exploratory measures of
1636	-	Total Lesion Glycolytic (TLG) activity (Larson et al, Clin Positron Imaging.
1637		1999 May;2(3):159-171) and Metabolic Tumor Volume (MTV)
1638		
1639	9.1.3.	Covariate inputs (e.g. glucose uptake time, height, weight, FDG-dose)
1640		Please see Section 4.2.2 on obtaining and recording covariate inputs and
1641		Section 10.2.1 regarding glucose correction
1642		
1643		
1644	9.2 Methods to Be L	lsed
1645	9.2.1 Methodo	logy for defining ROI/VOI
1646		
1647	ROI (or \	OI) tool to be utilized to define either fixed symmetrical size object or
1648	lesion co	instraint condition and strategy to define edge detection needs to be
1649	prescrib	ed. Note that the methods for extracting metrics from ROI/VOIs are
1650	•	d above in section 9.1. To follow is a catalogue of potential strategies,
1651		JPICT Protocol does not stipulate any one as preferred. However, the
1652		gn should stipulate which of the strategies is to be used uniformly
1653		I subjects and time points during the course of the trial. These
1654		es can be summarized as below:
1655	StrateBre	
1656	Manual:	Requires the intervention of an expert reader to define anatomic
1657		netabolic ROI/VOIs. While this method does not represent ground truth
1658		e used as a standard for the apparent tumor boundaries, it is observer
1659	-	ent and may have substantial inter- and intra-reader variability. 3D
1660	-	approaches require defining ROIs on multiple planes to generate VOIs.
1661		, a 3D measurement such as SUVmax requires evaluating multiple 2D
1662		dentify the plane containing the maximum SUV within the tumor
1663		Shapes can either be irregular polygons or fixed geometric shapes such
1664		5, rectangles, etc
1665		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
1666	Semi-au	tomated: Requires some user intervention such as defining target
1667		r masking neighboring healthy structures with physiologic FDG-uptake
1668		computer algorithms to define tumor boundaries. A common
1669		h is to use either a pre-defined or user-defined relative threshold based
1670		naximum value (e.g. 70% of SUVmax). Another approach is to use an
1671		threshold (e.g. SUV liver mean + 2SD). More sophisticated approaches
1672		b been implemented such as using gradient-based segmentation.
1673	liave dist	o been implemented such as using gradient-based segmentation.
1674	Automat	ed: Requires no user intervention and is fully automated. However,
1675		ns must be validated against ROI/VOIs defined by expert readers.
	algorithi	ns must be validated against KOI/ VOIS defined by expert redders.
1676		

1677 1678 1679 1680 1681 1682 1683 1684 1685 1686 1687		By way of an example, the threshold for definition of an evaluable lesion for tumor volume articulated by PERCIST is mean liver SUL in a 3 cm. diameter sphere in the right lobe of the liver + 2 SD of liver noise. This threshold is defined at baseline so that lesions can be "hot enough" to have a measurable decline in F18 activity on subsequent studies with therapy. For relative threshold as the constraint definition, SNM GHS notes that tumor ROI's reflecting the metabolic volume of the tumors are desirable. For simplicity, volumes based on a 70% threshold of the peak tumor SUV should be produced. This(ese) are viewed as exploratory reports but recognize the tumor volume may provide data beyond that of the peak or max SUV in a tumor.
1688 1689		9.2.2 Geometric issues (e.g. handling partial pixel/voxel)
1690 1691 1692 1693		The SNM GHS suggested that appropriate use of partial pixel values to secure a 1.2cm diameter (≈1 cc volume) ROI was appropriate and desirable, since standard pixel sizes would not allow selection of a 1 cc volume precisely in most cases. ¹¹
1694 1695		Acceptable: Any regular 2D area for peak activity measurement (e.g., SUVpeak-
1696		2D) ROI would be defined as a circular ROI on a single axial slice with a diameter
1697		of 1.2 cm within the limits of the voxel size (with a minimum diameter of 3
1698		voxels without using partial voxels). It also acceptable to use a 1.2 cm circular
1699		ROI with interpolated voxel values.
1700		
1701		Target: Any regular 3D volume for peak activity measurement (e.g., SUVpeak-
1702		3D) VOI would be defined as an isotropic spherical VOI with a diameter of 1.2
1703		cm within the limits of the voxel size (with a minimum diameter of 3 voxels
1704		without using partial voxels).
1705		
1706		Ideal: Any regular 3D volume for peak activity measurement (e.g., SUVpeak-3D)
1707		VOI would be defined as an isotropic spherical VOI with a diameter of 1.2 cm
1708		(achieved using interpolated voxel values).
1709		
1710		Exploratory: For irregular VOI (TLG, MTV) no single method is specified as Ideal
1711		or Target. However, Acceptable performance of this Exploratory metric is
1712		defined as specifying which method is used and using the same method
1713		consistently across all time points for a all subjects and sites, and providing the
1714		data as stated in Section 9.1.
1715		
1716	9.3	Required Characteristics of Resulting Data
1717		9.3.1 Tumor assessment – See Sections 9.1, 9.2, and 10.
1718		9.3.2 Internal normalization / Comparator tissue(s)
1719		
1720		The stability of normal tissue SUV (e.g. liver, blood pool) in tests performed at differing
1721		times in the same patient is considered to be a reasonable and practical indicator of the
1722		use of similar techniques of performance of PET (see 12.3.2) when quantitative FDG-
1723		PET/CT is used as a primary or secondary endpoint. ⁴⁰ Such stability can suggest it

1724 1725 1726 1727 1728	appropriate to use the tumor SUV data for response assessment. Measurement of the normal liver mean was suggested using a 3 cm diameter spherical VOI that should be reported at each time point. An alternate method is use of blood pool activity (especially if the liver is adversely affected by metastatic disease) (as described separately -reference section 10.2.1.1.1.).
1729 1730	It is possible that a subject's liver SUV may shange during the source of the trial
1730	It is possible that a subject's liver SUV may change during the course of the trial (perhaps as a consequence of disease progression or the therapeutic intervention). The
1731	study protocol should specify how quantitative measurements in subjects with "out of
1732	range" liver (blood pool) SUL measurements will be managed. One potential
1733	mechanism would be to analyze the data both including and excluding subjects with
1734 1735	"out of range" liver (blood pool) SUL measurements.
1735	out of range liver (blood pool) sol measurements.
	Acceptable: CLIV of the liver and (or blood need cheuld be reported for all subjects and all
1737	Acceptable: SUV of the liver and/or blood pool should be reported for all subjects and all
1738	time points. Large deviations in SUVs between the baseline and follow-up time points should be investigated for technical errors (e.g. incorrect does or calibration issues)
1739	should be investigated for technical errors (e.g. incorrect dose or calibration issues).
1740 1741	Targety of the SUV of the liver and for blood need are not within 20% of the componenter
	Target: If the SUV of the liver and/or blood pool are not within 30% of the comparator
1742	(either baseline or immediate previous as dictated by the study protocol) study then the
1743	data receive additional level of review and scrutiny to determine if it should be included
1744	in the study PERCIST proposed the following: Normal liver SUL must be within 20%
1745	(and 0.3 SUL mean units) for baseline and follow-up study to be assessable. If liver is
1746	abnormal, blood pool SUL must be within 20% (and 0.3 SUL mean units) for baseline and
1747	follow-up study to be assessable.
1748	
1749	Ideal: Unknown
1750	Exploratory: The ratio of tumor SULpeak to liver (blood pool) SULmean could be
1751	reported as an exploratory metric to correct for global variations.
1752	
1753	Liver (or blood pool) SULmean and SD are important to report, but not a full substitute
1754	for quality control (see Section 9.6). Liver (or blood pool if liver is replaced with disease)
1755	ROI/VOIs are considered a reasonable method to assess noise, although acceptable
1756	noise level in PET has not yet been determined.
1757	
1758	Acceptable: Qualitative visual assessment should be performed to confirm the overall
1759	image quality and noise are acceptable.
1760	
1761	Target: SD of liver or blood pool recorded at baseline and all subsequent time points.
1762	
1763	Ideal: Normal tissue SD such as liver or blood pool would ideally be used to assess
1764	image noise and define quality control procedures
1765	
1766	9.5 Archival Requirements
1767	
1768	Any annotations and/or mark-ups performed during post-processing and/or analysis
1769	must be transformed to a copy of the original dataset (but still leaving one copy of the
1770	original dataset without alteration); also please see 0.
	28

1771		
1772		
1773	9.6 Quality Contr	ol
1774	See 12	6.
1775		
1776	9.6.1.	Statistical Quality of measurement(s) (e.g. noise)
1777		
1778		Quality control of the required inputs (imaging data acquisition and
1779		reconstruction and covariates) has been described elsewhere in this document
1780		and must be satisfied prior to analysis and interpretation. Additional QC metrics
1781		should include:
1782		
1783		9.6.1.1. Subjective assessment of image quality. For example, movement or
1784		mis-registration can lead to invalid AC, poor quality / unreliable
1785		quantitative data. Some images may be too poor in quality (e.g.,
1786		inadequate counts per field) to quantify. All necessary data available to
1787		determine if quality is acceptable or not; (e.g., both AC and non-AC
1788		images should be generated routinely and must be available). Specific
1789		sources of degradation in quality that should be assessed include, but
1790		are not limited to:
1791		
1792		 Artifacts secondary to implants in area of concern
1793		Patient motion
1794		• Extraneous activity (e.g., IV tubing or urine) in field.
1795		Extravasation of FDG
1796		
1797		The output of this subjective QC assessment must include the
1798		judgments to whether the study, despite artifacts, still has utility in
1799		analysis (e.g., quantitative, semi-quantitative, and/or qualitative).
1800		
1801		9.6.1.2. Objective Assessment
1802		
1803		Ideal: Use of a digital reference object is necessary to assess the
1804		performance characteristics (e.g., accuracy, precision, etc.) of the
1805		software tool, the user interface, and the "user" during the SUV
1806		determination workflow including, but not limited to, the determination
1807		of the most FDG-avid pixel / voxel and the creation of the standardized
1808		ROI / VOI.
1809		
1810		Acceptable / Target: Document the workstation and software models
1811		and versions used and ensure that for each subject the same
1812		workstation and software model and version is used across all time
1813		points; should hardware and/or software upgrades occur during the
1814		course of the trial, testing should verify the comparability of
1815		quantitative metrics used in the trial (with comparability defined by the
1816		specifications in the clinical trial documentation) also see Section 12.1.1.

1817 1818 1819 1820 1821 1822 1823 1824			in act throu appro and t ensu	ment that the selected parameters used for analysis were achieved tual practice. All workstations and software tools should have gone ugh validation by the manufacturer with approval by the opriate regulatory body(ies) or the validation should be publically transparently available. The trial should include specific QC tasks to re QC of the users with documentation at the time of site fication and periodically during the trial.
1825				
1826	10.	Image Interpret	tation	
1827				
1828		10.2 Methods to Be	Used	
1829				
1830		The poi	nts listed serv	e to take the input data and then:
1831		(a) <u>disc</u>	<u>criminate</u> - qua	alify as either target or non-target lesion
1832		(b) <u>con</u>	<u>npare</u> - to base	eline
1833		(c) <u>deri</u>	<u>ive</u> - use combi	nation of target / non-target / presence/absence of new disease to
1834				and potentially classify or categorize into discrete classifications-
1835				nent category (responder, stable, progressive disease)to obtain
1836				ould also include SUL data of each lesion) from which an
1837			-	on 10.3- Required Characteristics of Resulting Data) can be
1838				poration of QC check). There are overlap issues (to Baseline and On-
1839			-	ut there are also time-point specific issues which discriminate
1840		Baselin	e from On-stu	dy.
1841		10.0.1	р. I: т:	
1842		10.2.1.	Baseline Time	e Point Evaluation
1843			10 2 1 1	Qualification of Torget Logians
1844 1845			10.2.1.1.	Qualification of Target Lesions
1845 1846			While target	locione require the most EDC avidity. If the locion cannot be
1840 1847			-	lesions require the most FDG-avidity, If the lesion cannot be easured on PET due to, for example, artifacts from nearby intense
1848			•	as structures (like the bladder), then an alternative the next most
1849				asurable lesion can be quantified. Similarly, if the most FDG-avid
1850				region where the quality of quantitation is suspect perhaps due to
1851				enuation artifacts (e.g. at the diaphragm/liver interface, or in the
1852				ne circumstance that the head has moved) then (an) alternative
1853				be chosen, ideally nearly as intense in activity. The less easily
1854				esion would be a non-target lesion and would still be assessed for
1855				e in the case of possible PR or clear increase in activity in the case
1856			••	PERCIST does not require a lesion to be measurable by CT or
1857				asures when choosing (a) target lesion(s), if two lesions are of
1858				vidity (i.e., within 10-15% of one another), then the lesion which is
1859				neasurable anatomically might be preferable for analysis. Details
1860			are enumerat	
1861				
1862			10.2.1.1.1.	Minimum metabolic threshold
1863				

1864 1865

1866

1867

1868 1869

1870

1871 1872

1873

1874

1875 1876

1877

1878

1879

1880

1881

1882

1883

1884

1885 1886

1887

1888

1889

1890

1891

1892

1893

1894 1895

1896

1897

1898

1899

1900 1901

1902

1903 1904

1905

1906

1907

1908

1909

1910

If using a single lesion paradigm for change assessment, the most FDG-avid lesion should be selected. However, if this lesion cannot be reliably measured on PET due to, for example, artifacts from nearby intense F18 containing structures (like the bladder), then the next most FDG-avid lesion should be measured. Similarly, if the candidate target lesion is in a region where the quality of quantitation is suspect, perhaps due to motion or attenuation artifacts (e.g. at the diaphragm/liver interface, or in the neck under the circumstance that the head has moved), then (an) alternative lesion(s) can be chosen, ideally nearly as intense in activity.

If a multiple target lesion paradigm for change assessment is used, then the aforementioned considerations for target lesion selection should also be applied. In either case (single or multiple target lesion selection), the less easily measurable lesion(s) would be non-target lesion(s) and would still be assessed for disappearance in the case of possible PR or clear increase in activity in the case of PD. While PERCIST does not require a lesion to be measurable by CT or anatomic measures when choosing (a) target lesion(s), if two lesions are of similar FDG avidity (i.e., within 10-15% of one another), then the lesion which is more easily measurable anatomically might be preferable for analysis. PERCIST proposes 1.5 x liver mean SUL (3 cm diameter spherical ROI in the right lobe of normal liver) + 2 X SD of liver noise as the minimum target lesion threshold at baseline. If the liver is not in the field of view or is abnormal to a degree that normal liver cannot be assessed, then the alternate comparator is to use a minimum threshold level of 2 times SUL mean of blood pool in a 3D object defined as a 1-cm diameter ROI in descending thoracic aorta extended over 2-cms tracking the long axis of the aorta; or by making this measurement in multiple 2D 1-cm diameter ROIs extending sequentially over 2cm of the descending aorta. If the descending aorta is not evaluable a VOI of the same volume should be measured from elsewhere in the thoracic aorta.

Given the absence of knowledge the general guidance is suggested below:

Acceptable: A minimum FDG-avidity is required and should be specified in the clinical trial protocol. This can be determined by either a subject-specific threshold as proposed with PERCIST or as a general cutoff. For a general cutoff, an SUVmax of 4 is suggested for all target lesions, although in some settings a lower minimum SUVmax may be acceptable, such as in the lung or breast.

1911 1912		
1913		Target/Ideal: The ideal minimum threshold above background
1914		is not known. Components of the ideal threshold could include
1915		both the mean and standard deviation of the SUV of a normal
1916		reference tissue.
1917		
1918		
1919		
1920		
1921	10.2.1.1.2.	Influence of anatomic measurability of lesion size; including
1922		reportability of lesion anatomic size
1923		
1924		In PERCIST 1.0, lesions selected as target lesions on the basis of
1925		meeting minimum metabolic activity thresholds as defined
1926		above (Section 10.2.1.1.1) need not meet minimum size
1927		requirements; although if multiple lesions with similar FDG
1928		activity are present, the most FDG-avid anatomically
1929		measurable lesion(s) are preferable to FDG-avid lesion(s) that
1930		are not anatomically measurable. This may be more valid for
1931		lesions that are markedly FDG-avid than for lesions that show
1932		relatively low-level FDG activity. Therefore by extension for
1933		lesions that have less FDG avidity, it may be reasonable to
1934		include a minimum lesion size threshold (or guideline) in
1935		addition to other minimum criteria for target lesion
1936		qualification. This is especially important for small lesions in
1937		anatomic areas subject to artifact from motion (e.g., lung base
1938		or hepatic dome) or for lesions difficult to separate from
1939		contiguous normal tissues showing metabolic activity (e.g.
1940		urinary bladder). The SNM GHS* suggests that tumors should
1941		typically be over 2 cm in diameter for target lesion inclusion at
1942		baseline, although a lesion meeting the appropriate FDG activity
1943		metrics need not meet this anatomic measurement threshold as
1944		a mandatory minimum. Practically, evaluation of lesion size
1945		(e.g., longest diameter) may be difficult especially if no
1946		dedicated CT was performed either in conjunction with or
1947		within an allowable temporal association with the FDG-PET
1948		scan. This may be due to intrinsic lesion characteristics (e.g.,
1949		infiltrative or CT lesion isodensity to surrounding tissue) or due
1950		to the anatomic location of tumor (e.g., bone marrow site). For
1951		lesions subject to partial volume effect of SUV measurement,
1952		notably due to anatomic location (e.g., peri-diaghragmatic
1953		lesions at either lung base or hepatic dome), a minimum size
1954		requirement may also be reasonable.
1955		
1956		If multiple candidate target lesions of similar FDG intensity are
1957		present, then the chosen target (or targets depending upon

1958		response assessment paradigm being used) should be the larger
1959		of the lesion(s) also taking into account the reproducibility of
1960		lesion measurement based on subjective factors described
1961		below (Section 10.2.1.1.3).
1962		
1963		These issues should be addressed prospectively in the clinical
1964		trial protocol and protocol-specific guidelines should document
1965		whether or not minimum size criteria for target lesion
1966		qualifications are used and if so how such size criteria will be
1967		used.
1968		
1969	-	essment on reproducibility of measurement (e.g.,
1970	-	uous structures, conglomerate lesions, hypometabolic
1971	lesions	s, fluid collections, etc.)
1972		
1973		Given multiple lesions that qualify on the basis of threshold
1974		activity and minimum size, priority should be given to those
1975		lesions that are measurable in an accurate and reproducible
1976		way. Therefore, lesions with a problematic anatomic location or
1977		configuration might not be chosen for measurement if there are
1978		other lesions that may be measured with more accuracy and
1979		reproducibility. If a lesion is not chosen at baseline secondary
1980		to difficulty in accurate measurement, but on subsequent scans
1981		the lesion is assessed as dominant or progressive then hindsight
1982		review may be appropriate. The analysis and interpretation
1983		should explain the interscan discrepancy (see section 10.3) and
1984		such a lesion may have to be assessed as a "non-target" lesion.
1985		
1986	10.2.1.1	Use of Non-target lesions
1987	Non-target lesi	ons can be considered as disease that is quantifiable or disease
1988	that is assessab	ple qualitatively but does not meet requirements for target
1989	disease. The pr	esence of non-target lesions should be noted; this can be done
1990	either by notin	g the presence/absence of non-target disease or by identifying
1991	sites of non-tar	rget disease by organ or anatomic location (e.g., liver or
1992	abdominal nod	les). Non-target disease should be qualitatively evaluated at each
1993	time point. Fu	rthermore, changes in the status of the non-target lesions may be
1994	noted if only in	a qualitative manner (see section 10.2.1.3). However, if a non-
1995	target lesion be	ecomes a target lesion on a later scan, hindsight quantitative
1996	review may be	appropriate. The analysis and interpretation should explain the
1997	interscan discre	epancy (see section 10.3). Note that in PERCIST, non-target
1998	lesion(s) can be	ecome target if the lesion increases in intensity beyond the
1999	original target	lesion, such that the previously defined non-target lesion is the
2000	most FDG-avid	lesion on the subsequent scan performed on-study. This would
2001	typically be cor	nsidered disease progression if PERCIST criteria are met.
2002		
2003	10.2.1.2	Use of Qualitative lesion assessment

2004	Incorp	oration	of a visual assessment in the analysis and interpretation with			
2005	documentation in the CRF may have utility especially in certain oncologic					
2006	conditions (e.g., Cheson criteria in lymphoma).					
2007						
2008	10.2.1	3	Other Observations and reporting methods			
2009	101211					
2010	The ac	scossmor	it should include commentary related to false positive and false			
2010			disease mimics/variants/QC) activity as not all foci that meet the			
2011	•					
	•	-	eria may be indicative of disease (e.g., infection, inflammation,			
2013		•	radiation changes). Similarly, there may be artifacts that mimic or			
2014		-	able disease (e.g., metallic orthopaedic and/or dental implants).			
2015			eport forms should include a mechanism for ensuring the capture			
2016	of the	se data.				
2017						
2018	10.2.1	4	Covariate & Normalization Strategies			
2019						
2020	10.2.1	4.1	What to use and what not to use (e.g., glucose correction)			
2021						
2022			Glucose normalization (both for SUV and SUL): not discussed at			
2023			SNMGHS, but discussion needs to be included in UPICT			
2024			protocol. Proposal for discussion: Acceptable – collect glucose			
2025			data on everyone shortly before radiotracer is injected Target –			
2026			use properly specified glucometer and collect glucose data;			
2027			Ideal – It is not clear yet if corrections for glucose levels enhance			
2028			the ability of PET to predict treatment response. It is suggested			
2029			this can be explored prospectively to help determine if the			
2030			actual corrections of SUL are appropriate / necessary / possible.			
2031			It is possible the "corrections" may add additional errors to			
2032			assessments so it is not viewed as appropriate to routinely			
2033			apply "corrections" in this setting. ⁴¹			
2034			apply concetions in this setting.			
2035			Correction for the timing of image acquisition relative to the			
2035			time of FDG injection outside the prescribed window has been			
2030			suggested by some references. However, this is not universally			
2037						
			accepted and considered exploratory at this time.			
2039	10.2.2 On at					
2040	10.2.2 On-stu	udy Evait	lation			
2041	40.0.0.4	<u>.</u>				
2042	10.2.2.1	Strate	gy dependent upon the analysis and interpretation paradigm			
2043						
2044			orkflow for the analysis and interpretation of the non-baseline			
2045		-	g examinations (i.e., "on-study" evaluations) is based on the			
2046		•	se assessment paradigm that has been chosen for the specific			
2047		clinica	trial; and therefore the baseline requirements.			
2048						

2049	A reviewer's approach to performing target lesion inter-time point FDG-
2050	PET assessment depends primarily upon the interpretation strategy,
2051	distinguished by two considerations:
2052	• By using either one target lesion or up to five target lesions and
2053	• By using the most FDG-avid lesion(s) for each time point versus
2054	comparing the same lesion(s) across time points
2055	
2056	The imaging review charter should define the approach prospectively.
2057	Currently, the literature is not conclusive on which approach best
2058	correlates with clinical outcomes. In order to obtain data consistently
2059	across multiple studies that can eventually undergo meta-analysis, it is
2060	recommended to perform quantitative analysis on up to five of the
2061	most metabolically active lesions, to include the most metabolically
2062	active lesion at each time point. The details of how to perform this
2063	analysis are included in the target lesion section below. The case report
2064	form (and subsequent data capture) should be structured in a manner
2065	to allow both cross time point same lesion assessment as well as cross
2066	time point hottest lesion assessment.
2067	
2068	There are 3 basic methods as follows:
2069	
2070	1) Single most FDG-avid lesion: The most FDG-avid lesion at baseline
2071	that meets previously stated minimum requirements is defined on all
2072	time points. Relative change in this single lesion is calculated at each
2073	follow-up time point compared to baseline as follows :
2074	
2075	<u>SUV(TL_{BL}, FU)-SUV(TL_{BL}, BL)</u>
2076	SUV(TL _{BL} , FU)
2077	
2078	Where
2079	BL = Baseline scan
2080	FU = Follow-Up scan
2081	TL _{BL} = Target Lesion with greatest SUV at baseline
2082	
2083	2) Single most FDG-avid lesion at each time-point: The most FDG-avid
2084	single lesion meeting minimum requirements is selected at baseline as
2085	well as each time point. The follow-up lesion is not necessarily the same
2086	lesion as the baseline lesion or other follow-up time points. The relative
2087	difference between the baseline target lesion $(TL_{\scriptscriptstyle BL})$ and the follow-up
2088	target lesion (TL_{FU}) is calculated as follows where the target lesions are
2089	not necessarily the same:
2090	
2091	SUV(TL _{FU} , FU)-SUV(TL _{BL} , BL)
2092	SUV(TL _{BL} , BL)
2093	Where
2094	TL _{FU} = Target Lesion with greatest SUV at follow-up

2095	The workflow for the on-study evaluations is based on determining the
2096	most FDG-avid tumor lesion on each individual study independent of
2097	the baseline or any previous studies and performing the analysis and
2098	interpretation of the most FDG-avid single lesion; thereafter finding the
2099	non-target lesions (lesions other than the most FDG-avid lesion) and
2100	performing the analysis and interpretation on those that are pertinent,
2101	if any; and finally performing the summary statistical interpretation on
2102	the per subject basis (as opposed to the per lesion basis).
2103	
2104	Summed target lesions: Up to five most FDG-avid lesions are defined
2105	on the baseline examination (with no more than two per organ and all
2106	lesions meeting the defined metabolic threshold). The same target
2107	lesions are defined at each follow-up time point. For each time-point
2108	the sum of all target lesions is calculated. The change in the summed
2109	target lesions is calculated at each follow-up time point relative to
2110	baseline as follows:
2111	<u>SUM (SUV(TL_i, FU)- SUM(SUV(TL_i, BL)</u>
2112	SUM(SUV(TLi, BL)
2113	
2114	Where TL _i = from 1 to 5 target lesions
2115	
2116	The workflow for the on-study evaluations begins with finding the same
2117	lesions that were chosen as the target lesions on the baseline
2118	examination and performing the analysis and interpretation on each of
2119	them; thereafter finding the non-target lesions from the baseline
2120	examination and performing the analysis and interpretation on each of
2121	them; and thereafter finding any new lesions that meet the minimum
2122	threshold requirements and performing the analysis and interpretation
2123	on each of them; and finally performing the summary statistical
2124	interpretation on the per subject basis (as opposed to the per lesion
2125	basis).
2126	
2127	The preceding workflow is contrasted with the workflow in the
2128	paradigm that depends on using the five most FDG-avid lesions as
2129	defined on each examination independently from one another (with no
2130	more than two per organ and all lesions meeting the defined minimum
2130	threshold), the workflow for the on-study evaluations begins with
2132	defining the five most FDG-avid lesions as previously defined without
2132	regard to the lesions chosen at baseline or any preceding studies and
2133	performing the analysis and interpretation of those five lesions;
2134	thereafter finding any pertinent non-target lesions (lesions other than
2135	the five most FDG-avid lesions) and performing the analysis and
2130	interpretation on those that are pertinent, if any; and finally performing
2137 2138	the summary statistical interpretation on the per subject basis (as
2138	opposed to the per lesion basis).
2139	טארטיבע נט נווב אבו ובאטוו אמאאן.
2140	

2141	The details for response assessment within each of these paradigms are
2142	specified in the subsequent Section 10.3. The definition of "the target
2143	
	lesion" should be based on the preceding criteria that include SUV
2144	measurement, reproducibility, measurability, motion, etc. The use of
2145	the response assessment paradigms is categorized by performance level
2146	as:
	as.
2147	
2148	Acceptable –
2149	
2150	Option 1: Single target lesion at baseline followed over all subsequent
2151	studies (i.e., generally the most FDG-avid single lesion but defined as
2152	the same lesion from time point to time point).
2153	
2154	Option 2: Single target locion (generally the most EDC avid single locion
	Option 2: Single target lesion (generally the most FDG-avid single lesion
2155	but potentially a different lesion from time point to time point provided
2156	that the lesions were both present on both studies – i.e., not a new
2157	lesion on the subsequent study(ies)).
2158	
2159	Whichever option is chosen as the primary metric for the specific clinical
2160	trial, it is strongly suggested that data derived by both methods would
2161	be archived to allow post-hoc analysis of the clinical trial data.
2162	
	Townsh
2163	Target –
2164	Option 1: In addition to the acceptable performance, sum of the most
2165	FDG-avid five target lesions with no more than two per organ
2166	(potentially different lesions from time point to time point) with all
2167	lesions meeting the minimum threshold requirements.
2168	
2169	Option 2: Most FDG-avid five target lesions at baseline followed over all
2170	subsequent studies (i.e., defined as the same lesions from time point to
2171	time point). This option may have utility when lesion selection is
2172	performed in the context of RECIST 1.1 anatomic response assessment
2173	criteria.
2174	
2175	Whichover option is chosen as the primary matrix for the specific divised
	Whichever option is chosen as the primary metric for the specific clinical
2176	trial, it is strongly suggested that data derived by both methods would
2177	be archived to allow post-hoc analysis of the clinical trial data.
2178	· · · ·
2179	Ideal (exploratory) -
2180	In addition to the acceptable and target (either Option 1 or Option 2)
2181	level of performance one would also determine the TLG activity across
2182	lesions included in the paradigm's dataset meeting the PERCIST
2183	minimum threshold (either only the five target lesions or all lesions, to
2184	be specified in the protocol). The use of TLG activity has not yet been
2185	validated across multiple tumor types in a multi-institutional setting.
2186	Hence, while this level of performance may be categorized as ideal, it is
2187	at this point in time exploratory in nature.

2188	
2189	There may be alternative trial designs for specific clinical trial endpoints
2190	(e.g., targeting specific lesions based on local-regional therapies or
2190	correlation with biopsy).
2191	conclution with biopsy).
2192	10.2.2.2 Definition and Management of "New Lesions"
2193	10.2.2.2 Demittion and Management of New Lesions
2194	A new locion is defined as either 1) an anatomic area that had no
	A new lesion is defined as either 1) an anatomic area that had no
2196	evidence of disease at baseline by FDG activity but with FDG activity on
2197	the follow up study AND a confirmatory anatomic lesion that is not
2198	related to a false positive cause (e.g., infection, treatment effect) or 2)
2199	an anatomic area that had no evidence of disease at baseline by FDG
2200	activity but with FDG activity on follow up study but without a
2201	confirmatory anatomic lesion that is not related to a false positive cause
2202	(e.g., infection, treatment effect) that is confirmed as persistent at one-
2203	month follow up (by FDG and/or CT and/or biopsy). In the case of the
2204	latter definition, the dating of the new lesion should be the time of first
2205	appearance that met the previously defined minimum FDG-activity
2206	threshold. Some tumors might be anatomically new lesions without
2207	FDG activity. Non-FDG avid lesions should be assessed by RECIST 1.1
2208	criteria. For non-target lesions please see Section 10.2.1.2.
2209	
2210	10.3. Required Characteristics of Resulting Data – Summary Output Data (Response
2211	Assessment)
2212	
2213	Objective response
2214	Description of response should preserve the intrinsically continuous and
2215	quantitative nature of PET SUV. Determination if a response has occurred at all
2216	i.e., if the quantitative alteration is greater than expected due to intrinsic
2217	biological variability and measurement error) is critical. It may also be
2218	convenient to further classify or categorize response (e.g., CMR, PMR, SMD,
2219	PD). Quantitative response metrics should be determined with consideration of
2220	multiple factors including, but not limited to, the purpose of the trial, the
2221	precise timing of the PET/CT scans within the imaging and treatment schedule
2222	(including the allowable window around each time point), the tumor type, the
2223	treatment paradigm employed, and the type(s) of decision(s) that will be based
2223	on the response assessment. In particular, the choice of absolute or relative
2225	threshold for determining response category may depend on the context (e.g. %
2225	change may depend on tumor type and treatment). In addition, the utility and
2220	purpose of the response assessment will impact the appropriate threshold. For
2228	example, a larger threshold (e.g. => 30%) may be appropriate for predicting
2229	therapeutic efficacy and/or clinical evaluation of an individual patient, while a
2230	lower threshold (e.g. <=15%) may be appropriate for determining statistically
2231	significant change in a population of patients. Typically a larger change at the
2232	end of effective therapy is expected while smaller changes early after initiation
2233	of treatment may be indicative of response. There are a number of proposed
2234	schemas (EORTC, PERCIST) available to guide the categorization of quantitative
	10

2235 2236	response metrics (as derived by methods described previously in Section 10 of this document), which are otherwise a continuous variable.
2237	
2238	Should the proposed schema include confirmatory imaging studies, the type and
2239	timing of such confirmatory imaging should be specified in the protocol.
2240	
2241	The proposed response assessment schema references two comparator imaging
2242	timepoint scans: baseline scan and "best response" scan. The baseline scan
2243	timepoint is defined as the scan timepoint performed prior to initiation of the
2244	focused intervention under investigation. Thus, often the baseline scan is done
2245	prior to any therapy. However, when there has been prior therapy or there is a
2246	change in therapy, sufficient time should elapse following the prior therapy to
2247	ensure that the patient is in a stable state at the time of the baseline scan. The
2248	best response scan timepoint is defined as the scan timepoint at which the
2249	lowest level of disease (or maximal response to the therapeutic intervention) is
2250	identified. The best response timepoint may be the same as the baseline
2251	timepoint if there is no interval (on-study) timepoint that shows improvement.
2252	If progressive disease is determined using comparison to a nadir scan, then a
2253	follow-up confirmatory PET/CT scan is suggested. There is limited literature on
2254	progression and the zuse of comparisons to nadir, partially due to the small
2255	number of imaging time points.
2256	
2257	Although RECIST criteria uses comparison to the best response or nadir of tumor
2258	size response, it is not clear that this approach should be used in assessing
2259	response using metabolic imaging. In some cases it may be appropriate, but at
2260	this time it is not clear that the concept of change compared to nadir response
2261	should be used with FDG imaging. The current recommendation is that
2262	comparison should be done compared to the baseline scan, which is obtained
2263	prior to any therapy, or to a baseline scan that is done once any acute response
2264	to prior therapy has resolved.
2265	
2266	In some cases, particularly relatively early after start of therapy, FDG uptake in
2267	tumor can increase without reflecting true disease progression. This has been
2268	termed "pseudo-progression" ⁴²⁻⁴⁵ This only occurs in some settings, but must
2269	be considered in data interpretation in the design of a new clinical trial.
2270	
2271	For assessment of a responder (CMR or PMR), comparison is made to the
2272	baseline timepoint. For assessment of progression (PMD), comparison can be
2273	made to either the baseline timepoint or the nadir timepoint. See section
2274	below on PMD for further discussion. If the nadir timepoint is used as the
2275	comparator for PMD and time to progression is being evaluated as a reportable
2276	value, then time zero should be defined as the time of the baseline timepoint.
2277	This calculation would then capture the time interval between initiation of
2278	focused intervention and time of progression.
2279	

2280 One potential categorization schema is presented for consideration in this 2281 document (PERCIST). This schema also does capture the essence of the EORTC 2282 criteria. 2283 2284 Objective response reporting should be provided based on the following 2285 performance thresholds: 2286 2287 Acceptable: 2288 2289 The categorization schema used for a particular clinical trial should be clearly 2290 outlined in the clinical trial protocol prior to activation and data analysis. The 2291 rationale for the categorization schema used should be provided in the clinical 2292 trial design (which may be accomplished by reference to a societal standard or a 2293 publication in the peer-reviewed literature). Whichever categorization schema 2294 is used, the continuous un-categorized quantitative data as derived by methods 2295 described previously in Section 10 of this document should be retained and 2296 made available for post hoc analysis. Furthermore in cases of disease 2297 progression and/or response, data should be retained and made available 2298 regarding the quantitative and qualitative behavior of target, non-target, and 2299 new lesions including both PET and concomitant / follow-up CT-derived 2300 information. 2301 2302 Target and Ideal: While total lesion glycolysis and tumor burden may provide additional information, there are insufficient data at this time to suggest the 2303 2304 ideal method for assessing response. 2305 2306 An example categorization schema follows. 2307 2308 PMD (Progressive Metabolic Disease): 2309 2310 In a clinical trial that includes only a pre-intervention scan and a post-2311 intervention scan, PMD is defined as significant increase in tumor uptake compared to baseline. Note that, particularly when imaging is done relatively 2312 2313 early after treatment, increased uptake may indicate a good response (pseudoprogression). 2314 2315 2316 In a clinical trial that includes multiple post-intervention scans (perhaps in trials 2317 with longer term follow up after completion of therapy) it is useful to compare 2318 tumor uptake to "best response" uptake values. In this case, PMD is defined as 2319 a significant increase in tumor uptake compared to "best response". It is 2320 acknowledged that progression from the baseline is a very conservative 2321 approach that may undercall the date of PMD. If the best response timepoint is 2322 prospectively defined as the comparator for PMD assessment in a protocol, then 2323 it is strongly suggested that a confirmatory follow-up time point be performed 2324 at least when progression is defined ONLY in terms of a rise in SUV (and not new 2325 lesions). 2326

2327	Progressive disease can be assigned based on progression of target lesions,
2328	identification of one or more new lesions or unequivocal progression of non-
2329	target lesions as further defined:
2330	
2331	1) Target Lesion Assessment: It is proposed in PERCIST for the single most FDG-
2332	avid lesion at each time point (not necessarily the same lesion) that at least a
2333	30% increase in 18F-FDG uptake, with ≥1.0 increase in SUV unit (or ≥0.8 increase
2334	in tumor SUL peak) be used as the threshold for PMD, given assurance of
2335	technical quality of scan. If more than one target lesion option is chosen, the
2336	sum of all target lesions (up to 5) at baseline and follow-up should be calculated
2337	and then this increase will be calculated as sum change of all qualifying target
2338	lesions identified, not based on any one of the target lesions; and/or
2339	
2340	2) Non-target Lesion Assessment: Unequivocal progression of 18F-FDG-avid
2341	non-target lesion(s). There is currently no literature-based threshold defined to
2342	qualify the unequivocal requirement. Intuitively, the level of increase should
2343	probably be larger than that required for target lesion PMD to avoid
2344	overweighting of non-target assessment in PMD categorization. If PMD is based
2345	on non-target lesion assessment ONLY or primarily, then progression should be
2346	verified by confirmatory contemporaneous and/or follow-up imaging (which
2347	should be performed within 1 month) and/or biopsy unless PMD also is clearly
2348	associated with progressive disease by RECIST1.1; and/or
2349	associated with progressive disease by RECISTELE, and/or
	2) New Locian Assessments One or more new 185 FDC avid locian(s) that are
2350	3) New Lesion Assessment: One or more new 18F-FDG-avid lesion(s) that are
2351	typical of cancer and not related to treatment effect, infection or inflammation;
2352	this typification may also require confirmatory studies in some circumstances.
2353	(See Section 10.2.2.2).
2354	
2355	PMD should be reported to include percentage change in SUV units, (including,
2356	time after treatment, in weeks) and whether new lesion(s) are present/absent
2357	and their number. For example, rather than merely reporting PMD, the
2358	categorization should be specified to state that the SUV has increased by some
2359	value (e.g., +35%) as measured at some specific time point (e.g., week four) and
2360	the number if new lesions present at this time point if any (e.g., "in addition
2361	there are five new lesions). Because SUV is continuous variable, dividing
2362	response criteria into limited number of somewhat arbitrary response
2363	categories may result in loss of data. For this reason, PERCIST preserves
2364	percentage changes in SUV units in each reported category. Because rapidity
2365	with which the scan normalizes may be important (faster appears to be better),
2366	PERCIST asks for time from start of treatment as part of reporting. For example,
2367	a CMR with a change in SUV of -90%, at one week, is probably superior to a CMR
2368	with a change in SUV of -90%, at ten weeks; especially if the latter subject was
2369	previously evaluated as SMD with a percentage change of SUV of -20% at the
2370	one-week post treatment evaluation.
2371	one week post treatment evaluation.
2J/1	

2272	As a web with of TLC web was in heir a way and a single web web we have a during the
2372	As analysis of TLG volume is being proposed as an exploratory endpoint, this
2373	metric should not be used in isolation to determine PMD at this time. However,
2374	the data should be made available as previously stated (see Section 10.2.2.1).
2375	
2376	CMR:
2377	1. Complete resolution of 18F-FDG uptake within measurable target lesion(s) so
2378	that the uptake is less than or indistinguishable from blood-pool levels (When
2379	liver activity is available for evaluation, this implies that the lesion uptake would
2380	be less than mean liver activity).
2381	2. Disappearance of all other (i.e., non-target lesions) lesions to background
2382	blood pool levels.
2383	3. Percentage change in FDG uptake should be recorded from the measurable
2384	region, as well as the time in weeks after treatment was begun. For example, in
2385	addition to reporting the CMR the report should also include the percentage
2386	change in SUV (e.g., -90%) and the time at which the evaluation is being made
2387	(e.g., four weeks). If there is both anatomic and functional complete response,
2388	there is no anatomic lesion to target for SUV measurement. Hence, a change in
2389	the SUV of the lesion is not possible to measure, especially if there is only one
2390	target lesion. Recording the background activity at the site of the previous
2391	
	lesion (provided there is no obvious artifact in the anatomic region) or the liver
2392	or blood background could be explored.
2393	4. No new 18F-FDG–avid lesions in pattern typical of cancer.
2394	5. If progression is noted by RECIST (anatomic measurement), but not by
2395	metabolic activity, verify with follow-up imaging.
2396	6. There may be "faint" activity in certain lesions that is greater than immediate
2397	background but that is less than or indistinguishable from blood-pool levels.
2398	The presence of such lesions and the absolute SUV measurement should be
2399	noted; however, their presence should not dissuade classification as CMR
2400	provided those lesions meet the aforementioned criteria.
2401	
2402	PMR:
2403	1) Reduction of minimum of 30% in target measurable tumor 18F-FDG uptake.
2404	2) Absolute drop in SUV must be at least 1.0 (the absolute drop in SUL must be
2405	at least 0.8 SUL units), as well. Measurement is commonly in same lesion(s) as
2406	baseline but can be (an)other lesion(s) if the lesion(s) was previously present
2407	and is currently the most active lesion after treatment (see Section 10.2.2.1).
2408	ROI/VOI does not have to be in precisely same area as the baseline scan, though
2409	typically it is.
2410	3) No increase equal to or greater than 30% in FDG uptake (must be at least 1.0
2411	SUV or 0.8 SUL units, as well) or size of target lesion(s) (i.e., no PD by RECIST 1.1
2412	or IWC) (if PD anatomically, must verify with follow-up). Reduction in extent of
2412	tumor 18F-FDG uptake is not requirement for PMR. Percentage change in SUL
2413	should be recorded, as well as the time in weeks after treatment was begun.
2415	For example the categorization as PMR should be further qualified by including
2416	the percentage decrease in SUV units (e.g., -40%) and the number of weeks
2417	after treatment initiation at which the observation is made (e.g., three weeks).
2418	4) No new lesions.

2410	
2419 2420	SMD:
2420	
	1) Not CMR, PMR, or PMD.
2422	2) SUVpeak in metabolic target lesion(s) should be recorded, change in
2423	SUVpeak of the target relative to the baseline , as well as the time from start of
2424	most recent therapy, in weeks. As has previously been suggested the
2425	categorization as SMD should be accompanied by the percentage change in SUV
2426	units (e.g., -15%) and the number of weeks after treatment initiation at which
2427	the measurement is made (e.g., seven weeks).
2428	
2429	Overall Best Response in a given subject (summation of time point
2430	determinations using the categorization schema above including target and
2431	non-target lesions; new lesion; etc.):
2432	1. Best time-point response (e,g., CMR, PMR, SMD, PMD) that is noted during
2433	the time period defined as the time from treatment start to 1) CMR, or 2)
2434	disease progression / recurrence or 3) termination of the subject from the
2435	clinical trial.
2436	Duration of Best Response in a given subject (summation of time point
2437	determinations using the categorization schema above including target and
2438	non-target lesions; new lesions; etc.):
2439	1. Measured from the date Best Subject Response criteria are first met to date
2440	disease progression / recurrent disease is first noted or the date that the subject
2441	has completed the trial follow-up period (with some indication that the Best
2442	Response category (e.g., CMR, PMR/SMD) may still be ongoing). Note, CMR by
2443	RECIST 1.1 is not required. However, the criteria for CMR for the specific trial
2444	should be specified in the clinical trial documentation. Progression from PMR to
2445	PMD is suggested (i.e., the transition from PMR to SMD may be insufficient) to
2446	end the "Duration of Best Subject Response" for subjects with PMR as the
2447	transition from PMR to SMD may not be clinically relevant and/or statistically
2448	robust.
2449	2. Duration of Overall Response in a given subject : from date CMR and/or PMR
2450	criteria are first met (whichever status came first); to date PMD is first noted or
2451	
	the date that the subject has completed the trial follow-up period (with some
2452	indication that the best overall response category may still be ongoing).
2453	Progression from PMR to PMD is suggested (i.e., the transition from PMR to
2454	SMD may be insufficient) to end the "Duration of Best Subject Response" for
2455	subjects with PMR as the transition from PMR to SMD may not be clinically
2456	relevant and/or statistically robust.
2457	3. Time to Progression: from date of treatment start to date PMD is first noted
2458	by PET/CT.
2459	4. Duration of SMD : In subjects that do not achieve an observed CMR or PMR,
2460	the Duration of SMD is defined as the time from initiation of therapy to the time
2461	of PMD.
2462	5. Progression Free Survival: defined as the time from the initiation of therapy
2463	to the time of PMD or death. Progression Free Cancer-specific Survival is
2464	measured from the time of therapy initiation to the time of PMD or death due
2465	to cancer.
	E2

2466 2467		Note: If PMD must be confirmed on a follow up scan for any of these measures of duration, PMD would be timed to the date when PMD was FIRST noted by
2468		PET/CT criteria, not the date of confirmation.
2469		
2470		
2471		
2472	10.3.	Reader Training
2473		Reader training should be specified in the clinical trial documentation for the
2474		specific clinical trial or reference may be made to generic reader training
2475		documents when appropriate.
2476		and the second se
2477		
2478	11. Archival and Distrik	pution of Data
2479		
2480	11.1 Central M	anagement of Imaging Data
2481		
2482	Two sources (E	ANM, ACRIN) mention use of DICOM formatted data. One source (EANM)
2483	indicates that o	data should be stored in DICOM format Part 10: Media Storage and File Format
2484	for Media Inter	rchange. DICOM format should meet the Conformance Statement written by
2485	manufacturer	of the PET/CT system (EU).
2486		
2487	Acceptable: Da	ata should be stored and transmitted in compliance with pertinent DICOM
2488	standards (whi	ch for CD and DVD storage and transmission is DICOM format Part 10: Media
2489	Storage and Fil	e Format for Media Interchange). When data are transmitted using ftp or other
2490	Internet-based	systems, the archival and transfer method used must allow transmission of all
2491	data necessary	for qualitative and quantitative assessments without alteration of the data from
2492	the acquisition	state. All data transfer should be secure and HIPAA-compliant. When a central
2493	archival and re	view facility is used in a clinical trial, the individual trial design should explicitly
2494	state what type	es of data (e.g., raw data, reconstructed data, post-processed data, etc.) are to be
2495	transmitted to	the central facility in addition to being archived at the participating site.
2496		
2497	11.2 De-identi	fication / Anonymization Schema(s) to Be Used
2498		
2499	Two sources (E	U, ACRIN) indicate that DICOM image data need to be de-identified/anonymized.
2500		the DICOM formatted images may contain information that identifies the patient
2501	and these tags	should be scrubbed or these tags may be replaced by information about study ID,
2502	randomization	or case IDs as indicated by the image core lab. De-identification must be
2503	•	or to transmittal of the data from the local site to the image core lab. Both sources
2504		(s)FTP as means of transmittal. One source (EU) indicate storing de-identified
2505	DICOM format	ted images on media (CD, DVD) and sending it by regular mail.
2506		
2507	Acceptable: Da	ata de-identification / anonymization is performed on a third-party or PACS
2508	workstation in	a manner that is HIPAA-compliant and compliant with the directions of the
2509		owever, all data necessary to perform qualitative and quantitative assessments
2510		vailable and unaltered. Hence, removal of PHI should not affect the underlying
2511		Specifically all data necessary for reconstruction, post-processing, interpretation,
2512	and analysis sh	ould not be affected by the removal of PHI during the de-identification process.

And any algorithms used for de-identification should not remove prerequisite imaging data 2513 2514 when PHI is removed. There needs to be a mechanism to perform quality control to ensure that 2515 the de-identified / anonymized imaging data correctly correspond to a specific subject ID. 2516 Target / Ideal: In addition to the acceptable performance level, data de-identification / 2517 2518 anonymization is performed on the image acquisition platform in a manner that is HIPAA-2519 compliant and compliant with the directions of the clinical trial. There is no admixture of PHI 2520 and imaging data within the same DICOM fields. There should be no PHI in private fields (i.e., 2521 DICOM tags). There should be no imaging data necessary for qualitative or quantitative 2522 assessments in private fields (i.e., DICOM tags). 2523 2524 11.3 Primary Source Imaging Data 2525 2526 Acceptable: All FDG-PET/CT studies used within the context of the clinical trial should be 2527 archived as primary source data and should be subjected to the quality assurance mechanism 2528 for imaging obtained within the context of the clinical trial. Archival of raw projection data is optional. If raw projection data are of interest for a particular trial, the trial protocol should 2529 2530 state explicitly the standards for the format and storage (including the duration of storage) of 2531 such data. All archives and archival processes should be secure and should include disaster 2532 recovery. 2533 2534 Target / Ideal: In addition to the acceptable level of performance, archival of raw projection data is also mandated in a secure and redundant manner for a duration the same as for all other 2535 2536 archived trial data. 2537 2538 11.4 Reconstructed Imaging Data 2539 2540 Acceptable: Archival of reconstructed image data either by DICOM format Part 10-compatible 2541 media storage or local PACS / server-based storage by both the sites and the central review 2542 entity (if any). Archival of raw projection data is optional. If raw projection data are of interest 2543 for a particular trial, the trial protocol should state explicitly the standards for the format and 2544 storage (including the duration of storage) of such data. All archives and archival processes 2545 should be secure and should include disaster recovery. 2546 2547 Target / Ideal: In addition to the acceptable level of performance, archival of raw projection 2548 data is also mandated in a secure and redundant manner for a duration the same as for all other 2549 archived trial data. 2550 2551 11.5 Post-Processed Image Data 2552 2553 Acceptable: If post-processed image data is included in the clinical trial imaging 2554 protocol or is used during the analysis and interpretation steps whether specified in the 2555 trial protocol or not, such post-processed image data should be archived at the time and 2556 by the site at which the post-processing is performed, inclusive of all data that was used 2557 in the post-processing. 2558 2559 11.6 Analysis Results

2560					
2561		Acceptable: Archival of the analysis is performed at the time and by the site at which			
2562		the analysis is performed by use of a clinical trial-specific case report form that			
2562		references the specific slices and lesions and provides all pertinent qualitative and			
2564		quantitative data as required by the clinical trial protocol. DICOM secondary image			
2565 2565					
		capture may be optionally included for clarification.			
2566					
2567		Target: In addition to the acceptable level of performance, archival of the analysis is			
2568		performed at the time and by the site at which the analysis is performed by use of			
2569		annotations and/or mark-ups on the reconstructed (or post-processed) image data and			
2570		saved as a new series so that the original reconstructed (or post-processed) image data			
2571		are retained without alteration. Theses annotations and/or mark-ups may be archived			
2572		either as a "screen save" or DICOM secondary image capture.			
2573					
2574		Ideal: As per Target, except the ROI / VOI data are captured as true primary data in			
2575		DICOM format rather than as a representation of the ROI / VOI data captured as an			
2576		image.			
2577					
2578		11.7 Interpretation Results			
2579					
2580		Acceptable: All site interpretation results (see Section 10) should be archived at the			
2580 2581		time and at the site that such data output is generated. When a central facility is			
2581					
		included in the trial design, the site interpretation results and the central facility			
2583		interpretation results should be archived at the central facility. These results include,			
2584		but are not limited to, the interpretation and analysis data output as described in detail			
2585		within Sections 9 and 10 of this UPICT Oncologic FDG-PET/CT protocol pertinent to the			
2586		clinical trial design. Merely archiving the summary statistics at the subject level over all			
2587		time points is considered insufficient for QA and reproducibility assurance. The duration			
2588		of archive for the imaging data should be the same as for all other trial-related data			
2589		unless otherwise stipulated by the sponsor and/or regulatory oversight agencies.			
2590					
2591	12.	Quality Control			
2592					
2593		12.2. QC Associated with the Site			
2594					
2595		12.2.1. Quality Control Procedures			
2596					
2597		The Imaging QC section of the clinical trial protocol should specify how site			
2598		compliance should be verified and documented. There should be specific site			
2599		report forms and checklists to facilitate the verification and documentation of			
2600		QC.			
2601					
2602		If exceptions to any of the performance standards stated below occur and			
2603		cannot be remediated on site, the site should promptly communicate the issue			
2604		to the appropriate internal overseer / coordinating center / core lab for advice			
2605		as to how the irregularity should be managed; if possible this communication			
2606		should occur prior to acquisition of any subject data.			

2607		
2608	All Target perfo	ormance specifications are in addition to those stated for the
2609	Acceptable lev	el of performance. Similarly, all Ideal performance specifications
2610	are in addition	to those stated for both the Target and Acceptable levels of
2611	performance.	
2612		
2613	All auxiliary eq	uipment (e.g., clocks, scales, stadiometer, glucomter, and dose
2614	calibrators) are	e calibrated and/or synchronized and/or periodically monitored
2615	and document	ed as part of an ongoing QC program as follows:
2616		
2617	12.1.1.1.	Clock Calibration and Synchronization:
2618		
2619		Acceptable: Checks for internal consistency daily and after
2620		service events. Synchronization of all clocks used in the conduct
2621		of the FDG-PET/CT study should be performed monthly or as
2622		needed based on consistency checks. Dose calibrator and
2623		scanner computer clocks and all clocks used in the conduct of
2624		the imaging study are synchronized within +/- 60 seconds.
2625		Target: Checked weekly against an external reference standard
2626		(e.g., NTP or equivalent appropriate standard at the site of
2627		acquisition).
2628		Ideal: Dose calibrator and scanner computers are synchronized
2629		daily through an vendor-supported automated process against
2630		the reference standard and therefore within +/- 5 seconds of
2631		reference standard.
2632		
2633	12.1.1.2.	Scales and Stadiometer Calibration and Performance:
2634		Acceptable: Verified at the time of installation/comissioning
2635		and checked on a regular basis (no less frequently than
2636		annually) by assigned institutional staff.
2637		Ideal: Required data is transferred directly from measurement
2638		device into scanner by electronic, HIS/RIS, or other means
2639		bypassing operator entry but still requiring operator
2640		verification.
2641		
2642	12.1.1.3.	Glucometer Calibration:
2643		Acceptable: Glucose measurements should be made using a
2644		CLIA approved, CLIA cleared, or equivalent (outside the US)
2645		glucose measurement technique.
2646		Ideal: Required data is transferred directly from measurement
2647		device into scanner by electronic, HIS/RIS, or other means
2648		bypassing operator entry but still requiring operator
2649		verification.
2650		
2651	12.1.1.4.	Dose Calibrator(s) QC:
2652		Acceptable: All calibration tests are performed per the
2653		manufacturer's directions and as defined by the applicable

2654		regional and national regulatory bodies using acceptable
2655		reference standards (e.g., NIST). The most recent
2656		manufacturer-specific F18 gain settings are used during these
2657		calibration tests. Accuracy, linearity, and geometry tests should
2658		be performed at installation and after service events. Linearity
2659		testing should be performed at least quarterly. Accuracy testing
2660		should be performed at least annually using the appropriate
2661		reference standard. Daily constancy should be measured with a
2662		long-lived isotope in the range of 500-650 keV and net
2663		measured activity should be within +/- 5% of expected value.
2664		Manufacturer-recommended QC should be performed on dose
2665		calibrators that are part of an automated injection system.
2666		Cross calibration between manual dose calibrators that are used
2667		for scanner QC and/or manual injections and automated
2668		injection systems should be confirmed to be within 5%. Careful
2669		attention should be made to ensure consistent injection
2670		technique including tubing length and diameter. It should also
2671		be confirmed that all of the activity is injected into patients
2672		following the designated flush.
2673		
2674		Target : QC procedures should incorporate the use of traceable
2675		NIST (or equivalent) Ge68-calibration source to perform
2676		accuracy test at least annually to verify the F-18 calibration with
2677		deviation <+/-3%. Linearity testing should be performed
2678		quarterly using decay or attenuating sleeve method. Dose
2679		calibrators should be adjusted
2680		Ideal: An NIST-traceable (Ge68 or other equivalent source) F18-
2681		simulation source is used to calibrate the dose calibrator
2682		calibration setting for F18 to match the reading to the actual
2683		activity of the NIST source. Required data is transferred directly
2684		from measurement device into scanner by electronic, HIS/RIS,
2685		or other means bypassing operator entry but still requiring
2686		operator verification.
2687		
2688	12.1.1.5.	CT component of PET/CT scanner
2689		Acceptable: CT scanners require rigorous acceptance testing
2690		and routine QC to ensure appropriate image quality and
2691		radiation exposure. As these devices administer radiation, there
2692		are additional regulatory requirements at the national and/or
2693		state level. In addition, specific QC procedures should be
2694		performed according vendor recommendations. Examples or
2695		vendor-recommended CT QC procedures are shown . As an
2696		example of general procedures that should be formed on all
2697		scanners, the NCIE CQIE guidelines of CT QC are listed as
2698		follows.
2699		

2700		Daily QC: At a minimum, daily QC should be performed prior
2701		scanning and include air calibrations, measurements of water
2702		CT numbers and standard deviations, and check for absence of
2703		artifacts.
2704		
2705		Annual QC: The following tests should be performed at
2706		installation, after tube replacement, and annually:
2707		• Scout Prescription & Alignment Light Accuracy
2708		Imaged Slice Thickness
2709		(slice sensitivity profile, SSP)
2710		Table Travel/Slice Positioning Accuracy
2711		Radiation Beam Width
2712		High-Contrast (Spatial) Resolution
2713		Low-Contrast Sensitivity and Resolution
2714		Image Uniformity & Noise
2715		CT Number Accuracy
2716		Artifact Evaluation
2717		Dosimetry/CTDI
2718		• Dosimetry/CrDi
2719		Ideal: The results of QC testing should be exported in a file
2720		format that is readily accessible along acceptable ranges of
2721		performance.
2722		performance.
2723	12.1.1.6.	PET Scanner or PET component of PET/CT scanner (General QC
2724	12.1.1.0.	Procedures including Calibration)
2725		roccures including calibrationy
2726		Acceptable: Scanner is cross-calibrated with same dose
2727		calibrator used to assay patient injections. The cross calibration
2728		should be reviewed/performed at least every 3 months, after
2729		scanner upgrades , after new setups, and after modifications to
2730		the dose calibrator (per ACRIN CQIE guidelines).
2731		
2732		The same scanner with the same acquisition/reconstruction
2733		protocol, software and settings should be used for each subject
2734		study. Only if the primary scanner is unavailable, a scanner
2735		demonstrated as having equivalent output (as predefined by
2736		the clinical trial site qualification and QC documentation and
2737		supported by accepted international standards) and qualified
2738		through the protocol's site qualification process may be used
2739		(ideally the second scanner should be of the same make, model,
2740		and software version as the primary scanner). The same
2741		scanner acquisition and reconstruction parameters should be
2742		used for QC as are being used for subject image acquisition
2743		(except for scan duration which may be extended for QC
2744		purposes).
2745		

2746	Scanner calibration factors (as defined by each manufacturer
2747	specific to each scanner model) should be recorded and
2748	monitored. Variances of more than 3-5% are potentially due to
2749	mis-calibration and therefore should result in verification of
2750	correct calibration and/or recalibration as necessary.
2751	
2752	At a minimum, phantom calibration should be performed
2753	annually using acceptable standards as enumerated below. The
2754	same method should be used by each site for the duration of
2755	the trial (not necessary for every site to use the same method).
2756	the that (not necessary for every site to use the same method).
	A) ACDINI (Γ ANNA criterio for uniform culindor ^{1,46}
2757	A) ACRIN / EANM criteria for uniform cylinder ^{1,46}
2758	1. overall Mean Bkgd. SUV = 1.0 ± 0.1
2759	
2760	B) Modified ACR phantom criteria (note the modification of SUV
2761	Bkgd criterion)
2762	1. Mean Bkgd SUV: 0.9 – 1.1
2763	2. 25 mm cylinder: > 1.8 – < 2.8
2764	3. 16 mm / 25 mm ratio: > 0.7
2765	
2766	C) SNM CTN criteria
2767	1. SUV = 1.0 ± 0.1 as assessed in the standard
2768	uniform portion of the standard CTN oncology
2769	phantom.
2770	2. Visualization of all simulated lesions
2771	=>10mm.
2772	3. SUVmax of simulated lesions 15mm or 20mm
2773	>= 2.2.
2774	
2775	D) NCI CQIE
2776	1. Volume-averaged SUV in phantom between
2777	0.90 and 1.10
2778	2. Axial variation in phantom < 10%
2779	3. Dynamic studies: Volume-averaged SUV of
2780	each time frame varies by < 10% over the
2781	·
2781	course of the 25-minute acquisition.
	Manufacturor enocific Imago registration calibration between
2783	Manufacturer specific Image registration calibration between
2784	the PET and CT scanner should be performed at installation and
2785	after service events that involve moving either device. The
2786	image registration should be evaluated annually or after any
2787	suspicion of misregistration. Registration calibration should be
2788	performed after any confirmed misregistration that exceeds the
2789	manufacturer's specificed tolerance
2790	
2791	Target: Scanner calibration, uniformity and recovery coeficient
2792	versus sphere or cylinder diameter should be assessed quarterly
	60

2793 2794		or after any major service or upgrades that may affect quantitative accuracy.
2795		
2796		Ideal: Each site shall perform and document the full range of
2797		the QC tests listed below (as specified by the Ideal performance
2798		characteristics) using automated, standardized methods and
2799		phantoms (i.e., those listed above) to document compliance.
2800		This should be part of site qualification and then should be
2801		repeated periodically, at least annually and after any major
2802		service and after any scanner recalibration related to software
2803 2804		upgrades. Vendors should implement daily quality control
2804		reports that can be exported and submitted along with patient studies for clinical trials.
2805		studies for childen trials.
2807		SUV measurements for a standardized phantom should have an
2808		overall mean SUV = 1.0 ± 0.05 . ROIs (approximately 4 cm or
2809		greater but not including portions subject to partial volume
2810		effects) appropriate to the use instructions for the particular
2811		phantom employed.
2812		
2813		Cross calibration with dose calibrator is accomplished with
2814		paired NIST-traceable sources for the dose calibrator and PET
2815		scanner. This calibration is checked weekly.
2816		
2817		Image registration between PET and CT images should be
2818		evaluated periodically including the effect of patient weight and
2819		bed deflection.
2820		
2821	12.1.1.7	Syringes and tubing used during QC processes:
2822		
2823		Acceptable: Syringes and injection tubing are assayed pre- and
2824		post-injection and pertinent information (i.e., time of
2825		measurement and amount of residual activity) is recorded
2826		routinely if applicable to the specific scanner QC routine and
2827		capabilities. The injection technique should be standardized by
2828		ensuring that the same specification of syringes and tubing are
2829		used.
2830		
2831	12110	Newsellestics
2832	12.1.1.8.	Normalization:
2833		Accontable: Normalization of detector response should be
2834		Acceptable: Normalization of detector response should be
2835 2836		performed according to vendor recommendations at least every 3 months, after relevant service events, after appearance of
2837		software/hardware upgrades, and appearance of artifacts in
2838		uniformity check. Vendor-specific quality daily control checks
2839		should be performed and confirmed to be acceptable.
2033		

2840		
2841		Target: Documentation of the normalization and results should
2842		be provided in a readily accessible format.
2843		
2844		Ideal: For some systems, more frequent normalization may be
2845		preferred (e.g. monthly) provided that this is done in an
2846		automated manner with minimal risk of human error.
2847		
2848	12.1.1.9.	Uniformity:
2849		
2850		Acceptable: In addition during the normalization and
2851		calibration methods outlined above, transverse and axial
2852		uniformity should be assessed with a uniform phantom using a
2853		water phantom with F18 at least every 3 months, after new
2854		scanner calibrations, and after software upgrades. Qualitative
2855		review should be performed (i.e., by visual inspection) to ensure
2856		that there are no artifactual variations within or between axial
2857		slices.
2858		Uniformity should be assessed with a uniform cylinder with an
2859		F-18 compound in water. For uniformity tests the cylinder can
2860		also use Ge-68/Ga-68 in epoxy as a sealed solid source, but only
2861		if the uniformity has been verified by other means. The ROI
2862		employed should conform with the use instructions for the
2863		particular phantom employed. Phantom quantitative
2864		measurements with overall mean SUV = 1.0 ± 0.10 should be
2865		made with an ROI (approximately 3 cm or greater but not
2866		including portions subject to partial volume effects) appropriate
2867		to the use instructions for the particular phantom employed.
2868		
2869		By ACRIN/EANM/SNM criteria axial slice uniformity does not
2870		vary more than 10% from one end of the axial FOV to the other.
2871		
2872		By SNM CTN criteria, phantom sections of uniformity do not
2873		vary more than 10% from one another.
2874		
2875		
2876		Target : The overall mean SUV = 1.0 ± 0.05 should be made with
2877		an ROI (approximately 3 cm or greater but not including
2878		portions subject to partial volume effects) appropriate to the
2879		use instructions for the particular phantom employed.
2880		
2881		
2882		Ideal: Daily uniformity measurements are performed and
2883		recorded in an accessible manner that can be exported and
2884		distributed with individual patient studies.
2885	121110	
2886	12.1.1.10.	Image Quality:

2887		
2888		Acceptable: A standardized image quality phantom scan should
2889		be performed at least annually to check hot and cold spot
2890		image quality per the ACRIN CQIE guidelines. Additional review
2891		of resolution and noise should be performed according to
2892		specific trial guidelines and as stated below. Currently there is
2893		no consensus phantom that should be used. CT and PET co-
2894		registration should meet the manufacturers recommendations
2895		at scanner acceptance and after any major service events that
2896		involve moving scanner gantries.
2897		5 5
2898		For individual patients studies, qualitative assessment should be
2899		performed to evaluate co-registration, noise, resolution, and
2900		other aspects of image quality (see 9.6.1). See sections below
2901		for specifics aspects of (resolution and noise).
2902		for specifies aspects of (resolution and holse).
2903		
2904		Target/Ideal: Minimum standards for image quality should be
2905		defined based on the requirements of specific trials. Ideally co-
2906		registration should be inspected visually with a weight load to
2907		evaluate bed deflection due to patient weight.
2908		evaluate bed deflection due to patient weight.
2908	12.1.1.11.	Resolution / SUV Recovery:
	12.1.1.11.	Resolution / SOV Recovery.
2910		Accepteble. At a minimum annually, and site shall not form
2911		Acceptable: At a minimum annually, each site shall perform
2912		and document a qualitative resolution QC test by using the
2913		manufacturer's settings and demonstrating resolution of normal
2914		gross anatomic features within clinical images of the brain,
2915		heart, and abdomen (e.g., the images should not appear "too
2916		smooth").
2917		
2918		Per SNM criteria and using the CTN PET Oncology Phantom (and
2919		based on the use of the site's standard clinical acquisition and
2920		reconstruction protocols), all lesions 10mm or greater should be
2921		visually detectable for those sites that have access to this
2922		phantom. For sites without access to this phantom an
2923		equivalent quantitative test should be performed.
2924		
2925		The ACR criteria for resolution (based on the use of the site's
2926		standard clinical acquisition and reconstruction protocols) are:
2927		The lower portion of the cylinder contains six sets of acrylic rods
2928		arranged in a pie-shaped pattern with the following diameters:
2929		4.8, 6.4, 7.9, 9.5, 11.1, and 12.7 mm. At this target level, the
2930		9.5, 11.1, and 12.7 mm diameter rods must be visible.
2931		By ACR criteria, resolution should be achieved as measured by a
2931 2932		

2933 2934		ratio: >0.7 Ref ACR PET phantom test guidelines (revised 2/22/10).
2935		2/22/10).
2936		For specifications partha FANNA suidalines places see FANNA
2937		For specifications per the EANM guidelines please see EANM
2938		paper and EARL: <u>http://earl.eanm.org/cms/website.php</u> . The EANM/EARL provides harmonizing performance criteria for
2939		SUVmax and mean recovery as function of sphere size (NEMA
2940		NU 2 2007 IQ phantom) and thereby ensures comparable
2940		quantitative scanner performance between sites.
2941 2942		quantitative scallier performance between sites.
2942		For information on the SNMMI/CTN phantom please see the
2944		SNMMI/CTN website:
2945		http://interactive.snm.org/index.cfm?PageID=10641. Using the
2946		CTN PET Oncology Phantom
2947		the scanner resolution is accessed by ensuring that all lesions
2948		=>10mm are visually detectable
2949		and that lesions SUVmax values are within an acceptable range.
2950		
2951		Target: Scanner reconstruction protocols are adjusted to
2952		provide at least appropriate resolution properties as defined for
2953		the specific trial (i.e., recovery coefficient versus sphere or
2954		cylinder diameter) for a standard test object (e.g., ACR
2955		cylinders or NEMA spheres or other similar phantoms) that
2956		contains specific "hot spot" objects (e.g., Boellaard 2008, 2010.
2957).
2958		
2959		Ideal: Vendors implement a reconstruction protocol that
2960		ensures pre-defined image recovery coefficient characteristics
2961		are met. This implementation has two components. The first
2962		component is that every site in a particular trial and preferably
2963		across all trials would use the same calibration methods /
2964		phantom as prescribed in an accepted standard (either the
2965		same methods and phantom or the same methods coupled with
2966		a defined set of phantoms that have equivalent performance
2967		characteristics. The second component is that the vendors
2968		would provide or support the users to implement an acquisition
2969		/ reconstruction protocol that produces the desired results and
2970		the vendors provide an automated image assessment tool to
2971		verify that the acquisition and reconstruction protocols produce
2972		the desired results.
2973	121112	Netze
2974	12.1.1.12.	Noise:
2975		Accentable: During routing testing of a dama as a regular QA ar
2976 2977		Acceptable: During routine testing, e.g. done as a regular QA or
2977		QC procedure or for qualification purposes, and when the site uses the trial-specific acquisition parameters (e.g., time per bed
2978		
2313		position, dose, reconstruction etc.), the noise in phantom

2980	images should be assessed <u>qualitatively</u> to be of consistent and
2981	acceptable quality.
2982	······································
	Towards During noticing to the dama as a merulan OA on OC
2983	Target : During routine testing, e.g. done as a regular QA or QC
2984	procedure or for qualification purposes, and when the site uses
2985	the trial-specific acquisition parameters (e.g., time per bed
2986	position, dose, reconstruction etc.), the noise in phantom
2987	· · · · ·
	images should be <u>measured</u> by reporting the mean, standard
2988	deviation (SD), and COV of voxel values within a volume of
2989	interest (VOI) as described in section 7.2.
2990	
2991	Images are reconstructed with a voxel size of 3-4 mm all three
2992	
	dimensions, but not necessarily isotropic.
2993	
2994	Ideal: During routine testing, e.g. done as a regular QA or QC
2995	procedure or for qualification purposes, and when the site uses
2996	the trial-specific acquisition parameters (e.g., time per bed
2997	position, dose, reconstruction etc.), the noise in phantom
2998	images should be <u>measured</u> by reporting the mean, standard
2999	deviation (SD), and COV of voxel values within a volume of
3000	interest (VOI) as described in section 7.2. ²
3001	
3002	
3003	11.1.1 Baseline Metrics Submitted Prior to Subject Accrual
3004	See section 12.1.1.
3005	
3006	Acceptable: Representative human subjects images consistent with the specifics of the
3007	clinical trial should be carefully examined to finalize site qualification. This may be
3008	accomplished by one of several strategies. For example, one strategy would be to
3009	require submission of patient studies performed prior to the trial and outside of the
3010	trial. A second potential strategy may be to require rigorous QC review of the first one
3011	or two accrued subjects in the context of the trial. A third potential strategy would be
3012	to include initial "human subjects imaging" on subjects not getting the targeted
3013	intervention but obtained purely for the purposes of site qualification for the study. A
3014	combination of these mechanisms might also be used. Whatever mechanism is used
3015	should be compliant with human subjects protection regulations and the sites' IRB
3016	requirements.
3017	
	21.1.1 Metrics Performed and/or Submitted Periodically During the Trial
3018	
3019	See section 12.1.1.
3020	
3021	Acceptable / Target: The results of the QC procedures performed per Section 12.1.1 and
3022	Appendix E should be provided at least annually and should be available for any site
3023	
	audit. Should a new PET/CT system be installed that equipment must be qualified for
3024	the trial if it is to be used in the trial. Any PET/CT system that undergoes a major
3025	upgrade (i.e., an upgrade that may affect the SUV determination) during the trial must
3026	be re-qualified prior to use in the trial.
	· · ·

3027	
3028	Ideal: Variances in performance characteristics that remain within the range of normal
3029	but exceed a pre-specified threshold of percentage change should be documented and
3030	data should be aggregated for later analysis.
3031	
3032	21.4 QC Associated with Imaging-related Substance Preparation and Administration
3033	
3034	Acceptable: FDG must be obtained from a source that is approved by the geographically
3035	appropriate regulatory mechanism (e.g., in the USA an FDA-submitted NDA or ANDA). For
3036	geographic sites that lack such regulatory oversight, equivalency to the USA FDA NDA or ANDA
3037	standards is required.
3038	
3039	12.3. QC Associated with Individual Subject Imaging (performed per subject or
3040	performed daily and therefore available for association with individual subject imaging)
3041	
3042	12.3.1. Phantom Imaging and/or Calibration
3043	
3044	Acceptable: None
3045	
3046	Target: Daily phantom uniformity and calibration testing using Germanium
3047	cylindrical source or equivalent per manufacturers specifications
3048	
3049	Ideal: Daily phantom uniformity, resolution, noise, and calibration testing using
3050	a F18 - fillable source* or a Germanium-68 cylindrical source or equivalent per
3051	manufacturers specifications
3052	
3053	*If an F-18 fillable phantom is used, there may be more human error associated
3054	with the procedure and hence use of a Germanium-68 cylindrical source is
3055	preferred.
3056	
3057	12.3.2. Quality Control of the Subject Image and Image Data
3058	
3059	Consolidated Statement – The integrity of DICOM image headers should be
3060	reviewed and confirmed for regulatory compliance (HIPAA), protocol
3061	compliance, and consistency with source data such as CRFs. In some cases,
3062	internal references such as the liver can be used for quality control to confirm
3063	acceptable ranges of SUVs (ACRIN 6678).
3064	Acceptable:
3065	1. QC tests as described in sections 12.1.1 - 12.3.1 pertinent to the QC of the
3066	subject image data (i.e., visual qualitative inspection, alignment, motion artifact,
3067	noise, etc.)
3068	
3069	2. DICOM header integrity and compliance with protocol and institutional /
3070	other policies (e.g., for multi-site trials HIPAA compliance), consistency with CRF
3071	data.
3072	

3073	3. Internal QC control should be performed consistent with the performance
3074	standards expressed in Section 9.3.2.2.
3075	
3076	4. Syringes and injection tubing are assayed pre- and post-injection and
3077	pertinent information (i.e., time of measurement and amount of residual
3078	activity) is recorded and is consistent with the data used for quantitative
3079	analysis.
3080	
	Noice
3081	Noise:
3082	When the site uses the trial-specific acquisition parameters (e.g., time per bed
3083	position, dose, reconstruction etc.), the noise in patient images should be
3084	assessed <u>qualitatively</u> to be of consistent and acceptable quality. I.e., the images
3085	should not appear too noisy' for trial-specific purposes.
3086	
3087	Target (in addition to Acceptable):
3088	Noise:
3089	When the site uses the trial-specific acquisition parameters (e.g., time per bed
3090	position, dose, reconstruction etc.), the noise in patient images should be
3091	<u>measured</u> by reporting the mean, SD, and COV within a VOI using methods as
3092	described in Section 7.2. The VOI should be positioned in the mid or lower
3093	region of the right liver.
3094	
3095	Ideal (in addition to Acceptable and Target):
3096	Noise:
3097	When the site uses the trial-specific acquisition parameters (e.g., time per bed
3098	position, dose, reconstruction etc.), the noise in patient images should be
3099	measured as described immediately above. The COV of the voxel values thus
3100	determined should be recorded and should be below 15%.
3100	determined should be recorded and should be below 15%.
3102	
	12.4 OC Associated with Image Decompting
3103	12.4. QC Associated with Image Reconstruction
3104	
3105	Consolidated and Consensus Statement – Acceptable: CT images should be reviewed for
3106	potential artifacts such as beam hardening, metal objects, and motion. PET images should be
3107	compared to the CT images for proper image registration and potential attenuation correction
3108	artifacts. (ACRIN 6678).
3109	
3110	See Section 12.3.2 – Put text here and have reference in 12.3.2.
3111	
3112	12.5. QC Associated with Image Post-processing
3113	
3114	Acceptable: QC plan should be based on the type of post-processing that was performed (i.e.,
3115	DICOM Header manipulation including, but not limited to de-identification tasks; post-
3116	processing that affects quantitation; and/or post-processing that affects visualization). The rigor
3117	of the QC process should be commensurate with the type of post-processing that was
3118	performed and the potential for unintended consequences associated with the post-processing
3119	performed. The QC process employed for post-processing tasks should be described in
	67

3120		sufficient detail to allow "downstream" consumers of the trial data to have the necessary
3121		confidence in the imaging data for the purposes intended. The description of the QC process
3122		should be sufficiently detailed to allow non-trial personnel to perform validation checks of the
3123		QC process should they so desire.
3124		
3125		12.6. QC Associated with Image Analysis
3126		
3127		Acceptable: The imaging protocol should include a QC program for Image Analysis whether
3128		analysis is performed at a core facility, the acquisition sites, or both. Whatever program is
3129		stated should be followed and documented.
3130		
3131		12.7. QC Associated with Interpretation
3132		
3133		Acceptable: The imaging protocol should include a QC program for Image Interpretation
3134		whether interpretation is performed at a core facility, the acquisition sites, or both. Whatever
3135		program is stated should be followed and documented.
3136		
3137	13.	Imaging-associated Risks and Risk Management
3138		
3139		13.2. Radiation Dose and Safety Considerations
3140		
3141		The radiation dose of the PET/CT study results from radiation exposure from the injection of
3142		FDG and from the CT study (EANM, ACRIN, Hallet). One source (EANM) indicates that CT scans
3143		can be performed as low dose CT to be used for attenuation correction purposes to minimize
3144		radiation dose. Two sources (EANM, Hallet) indicate that radiation dose from the CT scans
3145		should be estimated specific to the system and imaging protocol used (EANM) or by means of
3146		standard estimates. ⁵ These standard estimates can be utilized within the framework of local
3147		regulatory requirements for risk analysis, ⁵ which will also depend on patient populations and
3148		life expectancy ⁵ and particular considerations to reduce radiation exposure should be given for
3149		pediatric applications (EANM). There are several publications reporting radiation doses for FDG.
3150		A paper that summarizes both adult and pediatric doses is Alessio et al, 2009. 47 For a typical
3151		administered dose of 370 MBq the estimated whole body radiation dose is 7 mSv. There is
3152		greater variability in the radiation doses from CT, which is very dependent on the exact protocol
3153		used (e.g., 1. CT for attenuation correction only, 2. CT with improved anatomic localization, or 3.
3154		diagnostic CT). A recent study (Huang, 2009) suggests that the CT doses can range from 7 to 26
3155		mSv. ⁴⁸ Many hardware and software improvements that have been developed for dose
3156		reduction in diagnostic CT studies are being used in PET/CT such as automated tube current
3157		modulation and iterative reconstruction. For pediatric studies, a common approach is to reduce
3158		kVp and tube current. Alessio et al. suggest that, with care it is feasible to decrease the CT doses
3159		to 3 to 6 mSv. ⁴⁷ Particular consideration to reduce radiation exposure should be given for
3160		pediatric patients. One common approach in children is to administer approximately 5.3
3161		MBq/Kg of FDG with a minimum dose of 37 MBq and a maximum dose of 370 MBq.
3162		
3163		
3164		Acceptable / Target: The protocol and the informed consent form should contain language
3165		describing the estimated administered dose range and estimated whole body radiation
3166		exposure (expressed as effective dose in mSv) for the FDG to be administered. In addition both

3167 documents should provide comparator (equivalency) radiation examples. The estimates of 3168 radiation dose will be site and protocol-specific and based on factors such as the number and 3169 frequency of studies. Useful comparators are annual background radiation (~ 3 mSV/yr) and the 3170 allowable dose to radiation workers (50 mSv/yr). 3171 3172 Ideal: In addition to the above, each site should document the estimated radiation dose for 3173 each subject (whole body) inclusive of FDG and CT. The protocol should contain the estimated 3174 critical organ dose attributable to FDG based on the proposed administered dose. 3175 3176 13.3. Imaging Agent Dose and Safety Considerations 3177 3178 There is a potential small risk of allergic reactions, but there have been no reports of such 3179 reactions associated with intravenous administration of FDG. 3180 3181 Approximately 1 person in 1000 may have an allergic reaction from the iodinated contrast 3182 drugs. These reactions are temporary and treatable. Allergic reactions may include: mild itching 3183 or hives (small bumps on the skin), and shortness of breath and swelling of the throat or other 3184 parts of the body. The subject should be instructed to tell the technologist immediately if s/he 3185 experience any of these symptoms so s/he can be treated promptly. 3186 3187 The placement of intravenous catheters has the associated risk of making the patient 3188 temporarily uncomfortable and a small bruise may form. A slight bruise may form where the 3189 needle has been in a vessel. There is a slight risk of infection at the site, but sterile technique reduces this risk nearly completely. The patient may also experience claustrophobia from the 3190 3191 imaging ring apparatus or discomfort from lying on the scanner table for 60-120 minutes. 3192 3193 Acceptable: The protocol and informed consent form should contain language stating that there 3194 have been no serious reported reactions to FDG. If iodinated contrast is used in the study, the 3195 protocol and informed consent should contain language outlining the risks associated with that 3196 contrast. The risks of intravenous access and the potential of extravasation of FDG and 3197 iodinated contrast should also be included in the protocol and informed consent document. 3198 3199 Imaging Hardware-specific Safety Considerations 13.4. 3200 3201 Acceptable: 3202 Per recommendations from the FDA, before beginning the first CT portion of the PET/CT 3203 scan, the operator should use history, physical examination, and CT scout views to 3204 determine if implanted or externally worn electronic medical devices are present and if 3205 so, their location relative to the programmed scan range. 3206 3207 For CT procedures in which the medical device is in or immediately adjacent to the 3208 programmed scan range, the operator should: 3209 Determine the device type; 3210 If practical, try to move external devices out of the scan range; 3211 Ask patients with neurostimulators to shut off the device temporarily while the scan ٠ 3212 is performed;

3213 3214	 Minimize x-ray exposure to the implanted or externally worn electronic medical device by:
3215	 Using the lowest possible x-ray tube current consistent with obtaining the
3216	required image quality; and
3217	• Making sure that the x-ray beam does not dwell over the device for more than a
3218	few seconds;
3219	
3220	After CT scanning directly over the implanted or externally worn electronic medical
3221	device:
3222	• Have the patient turn the device back on if it had been turned off prior to scanning.
3223	Have the patient check the device for proper functioning, even if the device was
3224	turned off.
3225	Advise patients to contact their healthcare provider as soon as possible if they
3226	suspect their device is not functioning properly after a CT scan.
3227	
3228	13.5. Management and Reporting of Adverse Events Associated with PET
3229	radiopharmaceutical or CT contrast agent
3230	
3231	Acceptable: Adverse event (AE) tracking and reporting for FDG-PET/CT in the course of
3232	a clinical trial should be embedded in the general trial AE tracking and reporting
3233	mechanism. It is reasonable to limit the time frame for possible AE attribution to less
3234	than twenty-four (24) hours after administration.
3235	
3236	13.6. Management and Reporting of Adverse Events Associated with Image Data
3237	Acquisition
3238	Does not apply to this protocol.
3239	
3240	

- 3241 ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATONS
- 3242
- 3243 ACRIN: American College of Radiology Imaging Network
- 3244 AE: Adverse Event
- 3245 ANDA: Abbreviated New Drug Application
- 3246 CT: X-ray Computed Tomography
- 3247 CTDI: CT Dose Index
- 3248 DICOM: Digital Imaging and Communications in Medicine
- 3249 DLP: Dose-Length-Product
- 3250 EORTC: European Organisation for Research and Treatment of Cancer
- 3251 EU: European Union
- 3252 FDG: Fluorodeoxyglucose
- 3253 GHS: Global Harmonization Summit
- 3254 HIPAA: Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act
- 3255 IRB: Institutional Review Board
- 3256 kVp: Peak Kilovoltage
- 3257 mAs: milliamp-seconds
- 3258 MIP: Maximum Intensity Projection
- 3259 MTV: Metabolic Tumor Volume
- 3260 NDA: New Drug Application
- 3261 PET: Positron Emission Tomography
- 3262 PERCIST: PET Response Criteria in Solid Tumors
- 3263 PHI: Protected Health Information
- 3264 RECIST: Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors
- 3265 RSNA: Radiological Society of North America
- 3266 QA: Quality Assurance
- 3267 QC: Quality Control
- 3268 QIBA: Quantitative Imaging Biomarker Alliance
- 3269 ROI: Region-Of-Interest
- 3270 TLG: Total Lesion Glycolysis
- 3271 UPICT: Uniform Protocols in Clinical Trials
- 3272 VOI: Volume-Of-Interest
- 3273

3274	REFERENCES		
3275			
3276			
3277	1. Boellaard R, O'Doherty MJ, Weber WA, et al. FDG PET and PET/CT: EANM procedure guidelines		
3278	for tumour PET imaging: version 1.0. Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging 2010;37:181-200.		
3279	2. Boellaard R, Oyen WJ, Hoekstra CJ, et al. The Netherlands protocol for standardisation and		
3280	quantification of FDG whole body PET studies in multi-centre trials. Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging		
3281	2008;35:2320-33.		
3282	3. ACRIN Protocol #6671: Utility of preoperative FDG-PET/CT scanning prior to primary		
3283	chemoradiation therapy to detect retroperitoneal lymph node metastasis in patients with locoregionally		
3284	advanced carcinoma of the cervix (IB2, IIA≥4 CM, IIB-IVA) or endometrium (grade 3 endometrioid		
3285	endometrial carcinoma; serous papillary carcinoma, clear cell carcinoma, or carcinosarcoma (any grade);		
3286	and grade 1 or 2 endometriod endometrial carcinoma with cervical stromal involvement overt in clinical		
3287	examination or confirmed by endocervical curettage). 2009. (Accessed at		
3288	http://www.acrin.org/TabID/156/Default.aspx.)		
3289	4. ACRIN Protocol #6685: A multicenter trial of FDG-PET/CT staging of head and neck cancer and its		
3290	impact on the NO neck surgical treatment in head and neck cancer patients., 2005. (Accessed at		
3291	http://www.acrin.org/TabID/569/Default.aspx.)		
3292	5. Hallett WA, Maguire RP, McCarthy TJ, Schmidt ME, Young H. Considerations for generic		
3293	oncology FDG-PET/CT protocol preparation in drug development. IDrugs 2007;10:791-6.		
3294	6. Shankar LK, Hoffman JM, Bacharach S, et al. Consensus recommendations for the use of 18F-		
3295	FDG PET as an indicator of therapeutic response in patients in National Cancer Institute Trials. J Nucl		
3296	Med 2006;47:1059-66.		
3297	7. ACRIN Protocol #6678: FDG-PET/CT as a predictive marker of tumor response and patient		
3298	outcome: Prospective validation in non-small cell lung cancer. 2010. (Accessed at		
3299	http://www.acrin.org/TabID/162/Default.aspx.)		
3300	8. ACRIN Protocol #6665: A phase II trial of neoadjuvent /adjuvant STI-571 (Gleevec NSC #716051)		
3301	for primary and recurrent operable malignant GIST expressing the KIT receptor tyrosine kinase (CD117).		
3302	2002. (Accessed at http://www.acrin.org/TabID/152/Default.aspx .)		
3303	9. Wahl RL, Jacene H, Kasamon Y, Lodge MA. From RECIST to PERCIST: Evolving Considerations for		
3304	PET response criteria in solid tumors. J Nucl Med 2009;50 Suppl 1:122S-50S.		
3305	10. Cheson BD, Pfistner B, Juweid ME, et al. Revised response criteria for malignant lymphoma. J		
3306	Clin Oncol 2007;25:579-86.		
3307	11. SNM Clinical Trials Network Summit: World-wide Standardization of FDG PET Imaging Protocols		
3308	for Clinical Trials. In. Salt Lake City, Utah; 2011.		
3309	12. de Langen AJ, Vincent A, Velasquez LM, et al. Repeatability of 18F-FDG uptake measurements in		
3310	tumors: a metaanalysis. J Nucl Med 2012;53:701-8.		
3311	13. Delbeke D, Coleman RE, Guiberteau MJ, et al. Procedure guideline for tumor imaging with 18F-		
3312	FDG PET/CT 1.0. J Nucl Med 2006;47:885-95.		
3313	14. Coleman RE, Delbeke D, Guiberteau MJ, et al. Concurrent PET/CT with an integrated imaging		
3314	system: intersociety dialogue from the joint working group of the American College of Radiology, the		
3315	Society of Nuclear Medicine, and the Society of Computed Body Tomography and Magnetic Resonance. J		
3316	Nucl Med 2005;46:1225-39.		
3317	15. ACRIN Imaging Core Laboratory Standard Operating Procedure: PET Scanner Qualification Image		
3318	Review and Quality Control Analysis. 2010. (Accessed at		
3319	http://www.acrin.org/Portals/0/Corelabs/ACRINPETScannerQual_SOP 3-17-10.pdf.)		

- Graham MM. The Clinical Trials Network of the Society of Nuclear Medicine. Semin Nucl Med
 2010;40:327-31.
 ACRIN Imaging Core Laboratory Standard Operating Procedure: Patient Preparation and FDG
- Administration. 2009. (Accessed at <u>http://www.acrin.org/Portals/0/Corelabs/ACRINPatientPrep_SOP 3-</u> 3224 17-10.pdf.)
- Lindholm H, Johansson O, Jonsson C, Jacobsson H. The distribution of FDG at PET examinations
 constitutes a relative mechanism: significant effects at activity quantification in patients with a high
 muscular uptake. Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging 2012;39:1685-90.
- 332819.Williams G, Kolodny GM. Suppression of myocardial 18F-FDG uptake by preparing patients with3329a high-fat, low-carbohydrate diet. AJR Am J Roentgenol 2008;190:W151-6.
- 333020.Wykrzykowska J, Lehman S, Williams G, et al. Imaging of inflamed and vulnerable plaque in3331coronary arteries with 18F-FDG PET/CT in patients with suppression of myocardial uptake using a low-
- carbohydrate, high-fat preparation. J Nucl Med 2009;50:563-8.
- Soderlund V, Larsson SA, Jacobsson H. Reduction of FDG uptake in brown adipose tissue in
 clinical patients by a single dose of propranolol. Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging 2007;34:1018-22.
- 3335 22. Agrawal A, Nair N, Baghel NS. A novel approach for reduction of brown fat uptake on FDG PET.
 3336 Br J Radiol 2009;82:626-31.
- 3337 23. Treves ST, Parisi MT, Gelfand MJ. Pediatric radiopharmaceutical doses: new guidelines.
 3338 Radiology 2011;261:347-9.
- 333924.Gelfand MJ, Parisi MT, Treves ST. Pediatric radiopharmaceutical administered doses: 2010 North3340American consensus guidelines. J Nucl Med 2011;52:318-22.
- 334125.Hamberg LM, Hunter GJ, Alpert NM, Choi NC, Babich JW, Fischman AJ. The dose uptake ratio as3342an index of glucose metabolism: useful parameter or oversimplification? J Nucl Med 1994;35:1308-12.
- 334326.Lodge MA, Lucas JD, Marsden PK, Cronin BF, O'Doherty MJ, Smith MA. A PET study of 18FDG3344uptake in soft tissue masses. Eur J Nucl Med 1999;26:22-30.
- ACRIN Imaging Core Laboratory Standard Operating Procedure: Image Acquisition and
 Processing for ACRIN PET Scans. 2009. (Accessed at
- 3347 <u>http://www.acrin.org/Portals/0/Corelabs/ACRINAcquisition_SOP923_5-05-07_.pdf.</u>)
- Boellaard R. Need for standardization of 18F-FDG PET/CT for treatment response assessments. J
 Nucl Med 2011;52 Suppl 2:93S-100S.
- Beyer T, Antoch G, Muller S, et al. Acquisition protocol considerations for combined PET/CT
 imaging. J Nucl Med 2004;45 Suppl 1:25S-35S.
- 3352 30. James W. Research on Obesity. London; 1976.
- 3353 31. Morgan DJ, Bray KM. Lean body mass as a predictor of drug dosage. Implications for drug
 3354 therapy. Clin Pharmacokinet 1994;26:292-307.
- 335532.Sugawara Y, Zasadny KR, Neuhoff AW, Wahl RL. Reevaluation of the standardized uptake value3356for FDG: variations with body weight and methods for correction. Radiology 1999;213:521-5.
- 33. Hanley MJ, Abernethy DR, Greenblatt DJ. Effect of obesity on the pharmacokinetics of drugs in
 humans. Clin Pharmacokinet 2010;49:71-87.
- 3359 34. Janmahasatian S, Duffull SB, Ash S, Ward LC, Byrne NM, Green B. Quantification of lean
 bodyweight. Clin Pharmacokinet 2005;44:1051-65.
- 3361 35. Chan T. Computerized method for automatic evaluation of lean body mass from PET/CT:
- 3362 comparison with predictive equations. J Nucl Med 2012;53:130-7.
- 3363 36. Lodge MA, Chaudhry MA, Wahl RL. Noise considerations for PET quantification using maximum
 3364 and peak standardized uptake value. J Nucl Med 2012;53:1041-7.
- 336537.Velasquez LM, Boellaard R, Kollia G, et al. Repeatability of 18F-FDG PET in a multicenter phase I3366study of patients with advanced gastrointestinal malignancies. J Nucl Med 2009;50:1646-54.

- 3367 38. ACRIN Imaging Core Laboratory Standard Operating Procedure: Procedure for physician analysis
- of PET and PET/CT images for ACRIN quantitative PET protocols. 2007. (Accessed at
- 3369 <u>http://www.acrin.org/Portals/0/Corelabs/ACRINPhysicianAnalysis_SOP924_5-05-07_.pdf.</u>)
- 3370 39. Larson SM, Erdi Y, Akhurst T, et al. Tumor Treatment Response Based on Visual and Quantitative
- Changes in Global Tumor Glycolysis Using PET-FDG Imaging. The Visual Response Score and the Change
 in Total Lesion Glycolysis. Clin Positron Imaging 1999;2:159-71.
- 40. Paquet N, Albert A, Foidart J, Hustinx R. Within-patient variability of (18)F-FDG: standardized uptake values in normal tissues. J Nucl Med 2004;45:784-8.
- 41. Huang SC. Anatomy of SUV. Standardized uptake value. Nucl Med Biol 2000;27:643-6.
- 3376 42. Clarke JL, Chang S. Pseudoprogression and pseudoresponse: challenges in brain tumor imaging.
 3377 Curr Neurol Neurosci Rep 2009;9:241-6.
- 43. Hygino da Cruz LC, Jr., Rodriguez I, Domingues RC, Gasparetto EL, Sorensen AG.
- Pseudoprogression and pseudoresponse: imaging challenges in the assessment of posttreatment glioma.AJNR Am J Neuroradiol 2011;32:1978-85.
- 3381 44. Caroline I, Rosenthal MA. Imaging modalities in high-grade gliomas: pseudoprogression,
- 3382 recurrence, or necrosis? J Clin Neurosci 2012;19:633-7.
- 3383 45. Oborski MJ, Laymon CM, Lieberman FS, Mountz JM. Distinguishing Pseudoprogression From
- Progression in High-Grade Gliomas: A Brief Review of Current Clinical Practice and Demonstration of the
 Potential Value of 18F-FDG PET. Clin Nucl Med 2013.
- 3386 46. Scheuermann JS, Saffer JR, Karp JS, Levering AM, Siegel BA. Qualification of PET scanners for use
 3387 in multicenter cancer clinical trials: the American College of Radiology Imaging Network experience. J
 3388 Nucl Med 2009;50:1187-93.
- 338947.Alessio AM, Kinahan PE, Manchanda V, Ghioni V, Aldape L, Parisi MT. Weight-based, low-dose3390pediatric whole-body PET/CT protocols. J Nucl Med 2009;50:1570-7.
- 339148.Huang B, Law MW, Khong PL. Whole-body PET/CT scanning: estimation of radiation dose and3392cancer risk. Radiology 2009;251:166-74.
- 3393
- 3394