

QIBA Q-CT Weekly Update
Monday, December 06, 2010 at 11 am CST
Call Summary

In attendance

Andrew J. Buckler, MS (QIBA Program Director)
P. David Mozley, MD (Co-chair)
Maria Athelougou, MD
Sung Chang, PhD
Heidrun Endt
Charles Fenimore, PhD
Kavita Garg, MD
David Gustafson, PhD
Philip Judy, PhD

Hyun Grace Kim, PhD
Michael McNitt-Gray, PhD
James Mulshine, MD
Kevin O'Donnell
Anthony Reeves, PhD
Daniel Sullivan, MD
Ying Tang, PhD
David Vining, MD
Hiro Yoshida, PhD

RSNA
Fiona Miller
Joe Koudelik

Overview of Recent QI/IB Activities (Mr Buckler)

- QI/IB Special Focus Session held at RSNA 2010 in efforts to build momentum; standing room only (220+) demonstrated the interest for continued quantitative imaging efforts
- Corporate visits with device and software manufacturers have begun
 - Dynamic range of discussion encompasses engineers/scientists, physicists and management
 - Planning site visits to Toshiba, Siemens and Philips

Process Map (Dr Mozley)

- Need clear goals for QIBA in terms of qualifying Volumetric CT as a biomarker
- QIBA/NIBIB funding to help bridge any knowledge gaps in the process;
 - project proposal process has begun with many proposals received
- Spiral Roadmap from Sept 2009 based on a large and diverse group of stakeholders need to converge on a mission still applicable

Profile (Mr Buckler)

- Version 2 of Profile is end goal
- Extension from Lung to Whole Body proposed; as well as solid tumors of other body regions
- Groundwork needed in efforts to work together and produce a product
- Studies of accuracy and precision of measurements with physical objects need to be characterized

Specific Questions from FDA Biomarker Qualification Review Team (Dr Tang)

- Plan needed to proceed with biomarker qualification
- List of highlighted FDA question discussed
- Dr Tang highlighted bullet points to be addressed in the VolCT Profile (Claims 1-6)

NIBIB Funding Proposals

- Questions from the above drivers (not yet prioritized):
 - 1) Support or inform the specifications of Claim 4 (via groundwork: Impact of various acquisition parameters on reproducibility of measurements
 - a) Inter- and intra-observer variability in VIA. How consistent are the results?.
 - b) For CT, this might include system type, vendor, collimation, pitch, dose, FOV, recon kernel, recon slice thickness, etc. This should also consider spiral vs. nonspiral CT, contrast use, etc.)
 - c) protocol
 - 2) comparison to current response criteria (RECIST, WHO, etc)
 - 3) correlation coefficient to clinical endpoints
 - 4) Replace – or “just” augment – SLD and WHO?
 - 5) Algorithm characteristics not yet modeled enough to support Claim 6 (Claim language still needs drafting (accuracy and speed trade-off, automated and semi-automated)
 - 6) Apply DICOM SR to this model
 - 7) AIM standard being proposed from caBIG program
- Need to map questions to NIBIB task list (19 items)
- Prioritization needed; all feedback due before Dec 16th

Next steps:

- Prioritize list (1-7) next week
- Next call scheduled for Monday, Dec 13, at 11 am CST