QIBA fMRI Biomarker Committee (BC) Call

Wednesday, November 20, 2019 at 11 a.m. CT Call Summary

In attendance

Feroze Mohamed, PhD (Co-chair)

Jay J. Pillai, MD (Co-chair)

David Soltysik, PhD (Co-chair)

Cathy Elsinger, PhD

Andrew Kalnin, MD

Ho-Ling (Anthony) Liu, PhD

Susan Stanfa

Review of Previous Call Summary

The 11.06.2019 call summary was approved as presented

Literature Review

- A literature search and review of presurgical language-mapping with fMRI repeatability studies are underway
- Discussion on the following publication and issues concerning Laterality Index (LI) (e.g., how it should be
 measured, how it fares in task-based fMRI vs. resting-state fMRI in language-mapping): Seghier ML. <u>Laterality</u>
 index in functional MRI: methodological issues. Magn Reson Imaging. 2008; 26(5): 594-601.
- It was noted during the Nov. 6 fMRI BC t-con that task-based is currently preferred; LI may be prone to errors and is generally not as reliable
- Discussion re: using resting state to calculate laterality; neurosurgeons currently prefer a qualitative assessment, so requests to quantify laterality are generally not received today
- Discussion re: focus for fMRI Profile v2.0: language-mapping for tumor presurgical planning only, or tumor and epilepsy presurgical planning
 - Agreement to focus on tumor and epilepsy presurgical planning
 - Suggestion to recruit an expert who does these studies routinely (epilepsy radiologist); Drs. Elsinger and
 Mohamed to reach out to neuropsychologists
 - o Two separate Claims would be needed since these follow different approaches
 - Dr. Pillai mentioned a 2017 white paper that established fMRI as a standard for language mapping, making laterality assessment more useful clinically
- Eight different LI issues were outlined and discussed
 - 1. Counting voxels v. counting voxels weighted by the statistical strength
 - 2. Global versus regional ROIs: fMRI BC members may be more interested in regional ROIs, e.g., Broca's and Wernicke's areas
 - Discussion to continue during upcoming calls; a paper on six critical language regions paper to be reviewed and is more in line with those who do presurgical mapping
 - o 3. Setting an LI threshold to determine dominance (0.2 is reasonable for language)
 - 4. LI can vary across thresholds
 - 5. The chosen threshold can be defined by statistical strength or the spatial extent of activation: it was noted that the volume of activation cluster not as important as which gyrus to which a cluster corresponds
 - o 6. LI will vary across tasks: there are different results for different language tasks
 - o 7. LI can vary for different baseline tasks: an appropriate baseline task needs to be chosen
 - 8. LI reproducibility can vary, e.g., it is higher for verb generation tasks, inferior frontal ROIs, and when computed using F statistics

Next Steps

- fMRI BC members were encouraged to bring additional studies of presurgical language-mapping with fMRI repeatability for discussion during upcoming calls
- Due to low expected attendance, the fMRI breakout session planned for the Dec. 4 QIBA Working Meeting has been cancelled
- The December 4th call falls during RSNA Annual Meeting, so the group will reconvene on December 18th

NEW! Visit the QIBA Citations EndNote Library! Details can be found on the QIBA Wiki Education page

Next call: Wednesday, December 18th, 2019 at 11 a.m. CT (1st & 3rd weeks of each month)

RSNA Staff attempt to identify and capture all committee members participating on WebEx calls. However, **if multiple callers join simultaneously or call in without logging on to the WebEx, identification is not possible.** Call participants are welcome to contact RSNA staff at <u>QIBA@RSNA.org</u> if their attendance is not reflected on the call summaries.