Castian	Income.	Duan acad Change	Dui ouite.	C4 2 4 2 2 2	Discussion/Desclusion
Section	issue	Proposed Change	Priority	Status	Discussion/Resolution
3.1	(In plane resolution) f50 units changed to lp/mm here, but still mm-1 in section 3.2	Keep both frequencies as (cycles) mm-1	Low	Done	now consistent
	Nominal Section Tomographic Thickness appears twice. Perhaps for different exam types.	"Shall set to Less than or equal to 0.75mm for coronary exams and Less than or equal to 1.0mm for carotid exams."	Low	Done	as suggested
	Is it common for technologists to not use the prescribed intravenous contrast protocol?	Consider dropping the requirement per Violation Criteria	Low	Done	Dropped
	CTVol simplified Table Height Req since centering was seldom far off (Violation) and didn't pass the Impact Criteria either.	Review/consider CTVol req text	Low	Done	Left as is, can update in next profile version if desired
3.4	Seems like the two motion artifact requirements could be combined.	Motion Artifacts: Shall confirm the images containing the lesion are free from artifact due to patient motion or physiological motion. (If you think radiologists will not know how to assess physiological motion artifacts, can keep the text about blurred features)	Low	Done	Left as is, can update in next profile version if desired
3.4	(Patient positioning) Does this pass the Impact Criteria?	If not, consider dropping.	Low	Done	Clarified this is only when a measurement of change is beign done
3.4	(Scan plane) Does this pass the Impact Criteria?	If not, consider dropping.	Low	Done	Clarified this is only when a measurement of change is beign done
3.4	(Pacemaker leads)	Fold into Artifacts (above): Shall confirm the images containing the lesion are free from artifacts due to dense objects (e.g., pacemaker leads, stents), anatomic positioning (e.g., arms down at sides), or equipment issues (e.g., ring artifacts).	Low	Done	Left as is, can update in next profile version if desired
3.5	(Multiple lesions) Does this pass the Violation Criteria?	Consider dropping the requirement	Low	Done	Left as is, can update in next profile version if desired
3.5	(Multiple encounters) Does this pass the Impact Criteria since no longitudinal claim?	Consider dropping the requirement	Low	Done	Left as is, can update in next profile version if desired
4.1	CTVol clarified the procedure with nice declarative bullets	Consider grabbing the new text	Low	Done	Left as is, can update in next profile version if desired
4.2	CTVol clarified the procedure with nice declarative bullets	Consider grabbing the new text	Low	Done	Left as is, can update in next profile version if desired
4.3	Title doesn't match the reference from 3.5	Update to match "Assessment Procedure: Vessel Structure Bias and Linearity"	Low	Done	now consistent
	I think it requires that 6 phantoms be scanned 3 times and each analyzed once.	If so, might state that more directly. If not, clarification would help	Low	Done	Left as is, can update in next profile version if desired
4.4	Title doesn't match the reference from 3.6	Update to match "Assessment Procedure: Tissue Characteristics Bias and Linearity"	Low	Done	now consistent
Form	Ballot title doesn't identify which Profile: "QIBA Profile Vote to Publish as Stage 3: Clinically Feasible Ballot"	Proposal for future ballot titles, something like: Ballot to Publish CTA Profile as Stage 3: Clinically Feasible	Low	Done	Left as is, can update in next profile version if desired
Form	Form said it had 3 pages and showed 2 pages	Consider 1 page.	Low	Done	Left as is, can update in next profile version if desired
Form	Some people might not know where to email comments	Add an "upload comment file" to the form if that's easily done.	Low	Done	Left as is, can update in next profile version if desired