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Introduction and agenda (Mr. Buckler)

Goal is to review Profile work on Wiki; many new additions and changes in past two weeks. Invite broad participation; thanks to members who have been participating.

Progress reports

- Dr. Petrick reported Group is ready to start pilot; list of cases and order for scheduling has been sent to RadPharm
- RadPharm is scheduling readings week of March 2-5, 2009
- Dr. Schwartz will consult with Dr. Clarke about timing of posting of MSK coffee break experiment data; may be NIH issue

Review Profile: CT Lung Nodule Volume Measurement for Primary/Regional Nodes and Metastatic Sites

- Division of Table of Contents into Claims and Details  
- Placeholders (< >) denote values which may change  
  - Discussion of best location for Mr Avila’s posting of claim that phantom performance have to achieve certain accuracy in addition to engineering claims  
  - May be “pre-test” information to build confidence

Editing and review

1. Renamed $V$ and $D$ cursor to Precursor
2. Discussion of use of word tumor in place of nodule (which is defined as ≤3mm)  
   - Tumor does not imply malignancy
3. Remove “skeleton” line
4. Summary statement: Consider bracketing (< >) 18%; that value may be improved or diminished  
   - Need definition of repeatability
• Issue of expressing RECIST repeatability and degree to which RECIST has been qualified
5. Table of Contents:
  • Activity is defined as happening in one spot (one place); Transaction is transfer/moving images along
6. Profile claims
  • Summary will be requirements and analysis
  • Claims to make specific takes on the summary-substantiated work to be linked to pages
  • Add sub-items - Vol CT Groups 1A, 1B, 1C to be layered into profile

Review of Claims

Claim (#2): Can create, store, retrieve linear, area and volume measurements made on lung tumor images
  • Make congruent with ‘what would be needed for a clinical trial?’
  • Expand Scope to obtain repeatable measurement or to run clinical trials

Claim (#3): Can create, store, and retrieve mark ups of lung tumors, i.e., region of interest (ROI) boundaries
  • May have implications for meeting claims target
  • DICOM implementation suggested, but multiple possibilities for data storage here; list the possibilities?
    o polylines
    o voxels
    o polygons/triangles

Claim (#4): Can measure lung tumor volume with repeatability of <18%> (one half of one half of the RECIST threshold for making a diagnosis of Progressive Disease) for tumors greater than 10mm in Longest Diameter.
  • Discussion of addressing repeatability - what do we mean by repeatability?
  • Use % change above certain thresholds
  • Use absolute change below a certain threshold
  • Drawing from RECIST 1.1 wording: “change totaling 5mm” as an escape clause from using percents
  • To what extent should we continue with RECIST view or establish a different view
  • Size-absolute vs. percent; detail matters with boundary condition
  • Precursor: Should we give thought to reviewing the RECIST definitions?
  • Re: repeatability over operators, over scans? Is this a single reader or multiple readers?
  • Role of bias
  • Are we looking for change or absolute values?
  • There is a link to Group 1A test-retest measurements; add links to Groups 1B and 1C including groundwork areas
Review of Profile Details
- Roles are identified separately to help flexibility, e.g. measurements might be based on PACS, modality, 3rd party workstation, acquisition systems; roles may be linked
- Requirement on number of slices may go under “system specification”; performing patient scans covered under UPICT?
- Run a scan on xyz phantom and demonstrate that you can resolve
- Emphasize what we want versus how to get there

Review of Activity: Image Acquisition
- Specify parameters (e.g. kVp, MAS) or results (slice thickness)?
- Move towards physical performance
- When to implement: now or in the future?; the spiral model suggests that what is relevant now stays relevant over the course of time

Note that both Spiral and Waterfall models are in play; Spiral utilizing current protocol and Waterfall allowing revision over time i.e. a living document

Next steps
Continue with Profile Details starting with Activity: Patient Preparation