In attendance:

Andrew Buckler, BSEE, MSCS (Co-Chair)  James Mulshine, MD
P. David Mozley, MD (Co-Chair)          Daniel Sullivan, MD
Lawrence Schwartz, MD (Co-Chair)        Binsheng Zhao, PhD
Rick Avila, MS                            Fiona Miller (RSNA)
Martin Barth, PhD                          Joe Koudelik (RSNA)
Michael McNitt-Gray, PhD

Rick Avila provided a status report on Group 1A activities
- Goal to measure the inter and intra-reader variability with phantom lesions
- Scanning protocol nearly complete
  - Continued discussion on appropriate slice thickness
  - Methods to determine variability (metrics)
  - Reaching consensus on phantom content in protocol
- Efforts turning now on high-level issues
  - Are we setting the process up for “too easy” a job for readers (i.e., spherical nodules)
  - May need more complexity in phantom
- Beginning with statistical evaluations
- Tight experimental design moving ahead
- Definitive study proposed (not a pilot)

Dr. McNitt-Gray provided a brief status report on 1B Activities
- Goal of group is to on progressing from phantom to clinical images
- Drs. Fenimore, Ford, Schwartz and Zhao to assist in group efforts
- Create datasets to test reader method variability

Team discussion on scaling of project as a whole
- More discussion required to determine scope
- Cases number, etc still required -- may influence each step
- Guidelines and expectations needed to steer the sub-groups
  - Key stakeholders needed to endorse what is needed
- Statistical evaluation needed
- Parts 1A and 1B are seen as necessary first steps building to a whole and must be understood first
- In the end, business case needs to be straight-forward e.g.,
  - Vol-CT is more sensitive or accelerates getting to the critical decision factor (go, no-go)
    faster for progressive vs. stationary disease
  - Fewer patients need to be enrolled per trial
- Project proposals must be concrete with objectives and time-lines
- A comprehensive plan is needed including an estimate of scale
- Participants can build a project proposal and move from discretionary part-time to driver-mode, thus requiring funding, PPP development, etc
- This level of reality is needed to get organizations to get involved
• Dedicated resources needed to reach complete goal despite good progress is being made with current resources
• RSNA Annual Meeting – a place to work f2f to develop a broader plan
  o Lead time to be used for preparation
• Dr. Lori Dodd to work on stat framework proposal and assist with the experimental design
  o Groups 1A and 1B close to forming specific questions for L Dodd to pursue
• Design needs to answer the question and include statistical support
• Needs to be considered academically and clinically sound

RSNA’s Role/Participation
What is RSNA’s ambition concerning this group and next steps (i.e., PPP, funding, etc)?
• To be discussed on next QIBA Planning Committee tcon
• Proposal to be drafted for RSNA Board review and consideration (group’s identity)
• Move this process from a part-time effort to driver
• Need input from all to develop a strawman
• An extension of the validation plan needed
• Need to become more quantitative about group goals
• Dr. L. Dodd to assist with structural question concerning scale
• The f2f at RSNA 2008 would be an opportunity to assemble the proposal
• What study designs are needed by pharma and academic communities?

Jim Mulshine to reach-out to Drs. Mozley and Schwartz if capable to help with the study design

Next Week Agenda:
Groups 1A and 1B Updates
Kevin O’Donnell’s and Dr. Fenimore’s reports