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Day 1, May 25, 2010

General discussion
COPDGene experience with COPDGene Phantom (Dr Philip Judy)
- Quality assurance (QA) phantom needed for cross-sectional (site-to-site) and reference phantom for longitudinal studies (over time)
- CT numbers found stable across scanner designs
- Push vendors to develop algorithms to match scanner output data

AstraZeneca experience with COPDGene Phantom (Dr Lars Wigstrom)
- Harder reconstruction kernels tend to produce greater variation in data
- Strong correlation with body mass index (BMI)
- Care needed not to make phantoms too general; false sense of security with phantoms

ECLIPSE experience with “ECLIPSE” Phantom (Dr Harvey Coxson)
- Longitudinal study scanning Kyoto Kagaku phantoms twice across 46 centers in the US, Europe and Eastern Europe
- Results showed a 4 HU scatter over a three year period; therefore scanning sites consistent
- All CT scanners underestimating foam densities by approximately 8 HU
- CT deemed useful for emphysema if images could be cleaned

Utility of phantoms (Mr. Joshua Levy)
- Variability discussed due to phantom shapes; CT number accuracy and attenuation
- ACR already providing CT number ranges for numerous material
- NIST to apply CT numbers to a numeric basis; push to get CT numbers “correct”
- Attenuation effects coefficient discussed
- Bias may be greater an issue than variability; need to correct for all bias; need to optimize phantoms to eliminate bias and accept variability

Internal correction for density overview (Dr David Lynch)
- Need to decrease the variability of Quantitative-CT measures
- Adjusting for variability in total lung capacity (TLC) via linear and volume corrections discussed
- Normalization of airway numbers discussed; airway measures to be next group focus
NIST Foam Experiments (Dr Zachary Levine)

- Various foam density studies discussed; bubble size decreases with increased foam density
- Foam analysis overview; foams may be useful as standard reference materials; NIST considering certifying foams for QA
  - Need to link Hounsfield Units to the SI System for NIST certification
  - Manufacturers could purchase “NIST Certified” material to scan alongside other reference samples
  - Phantoms of “known” foam density could be used for cross scanner/site comparisons
- CT numbers reasonably reproducible if data averaged (average across the data)
- No issues deemed with scanning foams within acrylic container
- FOV had no effect on CT numbers
- Hard reconstruction kernels have small affect on lowering CT numbers

Discussion of the use of available phantoms as reference standards

- Combining all useful COPDGene elements into one phantom discussed
- A single/simple phantom design needed for ease of handling; multiple compartments possible
- Phantom could be used to compare various algorithms and be used in clinical trials for longitudinal studies
- Clinical need is a standard (phantom) to use for 5+ years (longitudinal studies)
- Phantoms may also help answer dose-related questions
- Phantoms needed to identify all possible sources of scanner variability

QIBA’s role is to education radiologists that this is the future; to convince the community that this is in their best interest

Resource Requirements

- Funding needed to move forward; for phantom modifications, NIST experiments, people in the field (ie, taking time off to scan materials, etc)
- Funding to address all phantom issues needed (via NIBIB, NIST, QIBA, etc)
- Dr Crapo proposed a f2f meeting in Oct/Nov of 2010 with manufacturers once phantom developed to challenge manufacturers to develop algorithms to meet specific performance claims based on the phantom
  - Dr Crapo to pursue funding/support for f2f meeting
  - Dr Judy to pursue funding/support for phantom development
    - Simple annulus design = 4 weeks fabrication
    - Complex annulus design = 3+ months fabrication
Day 2, May 26, 2010

COPD Profile Development/Update

- Profiling based on IHE model could lead to a “product compliance sticker” with vendor documentation of participation
- Profile seen as a “challenge format” (A Buckler) based on long-term picture, ie, 20+ years
  - Invite equipment manufacturers to participate in a “challenge” to find new ways to demonstrate their product performance
  - Manufacturer feedback is critical; participatory contributions with no trade details divulged
  - Manufacturers need to meet specific performance requirements
- Profiles deemed helpful with reimbursement and writing papers
- Need to visualize cross-impact for COPD
- COPD Profile groundwork could be based on COPDGene, ECLIPSE, or a combination of data

Vendor Roadshow planned for 2010

- Mr Buckler (QIBA) to coordinate a vendor Roadshow for summer 2010 to engage all levels of manufacture management, including engineers and marketing departments

Summary of progress and assignments

- Profile Next Steps and Assignments
  - Executive summary – Dr Coxson
  - Context – Dr Newell
  - Subjects – Dr Lynch
  - Imaging procedure / image analysis / post processing – Dr Judy
  - Claims and actors (those responsible) – Dr Eric Hoffman
- Wordsmithing of Claims language next; beginning with HU acceptable range, ie, 3 HU
- Range of CT numbers for lung segmentation needed
- Accuracy and noise are 2 parameters to pursue
- Dose variation
  - Intrinsic vs stat variation to be pursued
  - Dr Levine to continue foam scans in June using two recon kernels and 5-6 doses on four foam densities
- Next COPD/Asthma Ctte update call scheduled for 1 month (Tuesday, June 29 at 11 am CDT)