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**Update from Breakout Sessions at QIBA Meeting, RSNA 2015**

- Two presentations from the Breakout Session were:
  - Dr. Mulshine summarized remaining claim issues for clinicians
  - Mr. Avila presented a suggestion for an online calculator to help make the Profile more user-friendly for physicians, thus increasing Profile usage
    - This type of tool makes an assessment utilizing simple feedback from provided data elements
    - In context of the small nodule calculations, this could be a very useful tool, though some intricacies must be resolved
    - In general, this would be a much easier and more efficient way to quantify lesion change
  - Group to determine whether a similar tool exists that could be used for the CT Volumetry Profile, using volume measurements
- Dr. Obuchowski suggested that different coefficients of variation could be used depending on lesion sizes and shape configurations

**State of the Profile**

- To continue moving forward, the following ideas were suggested and will be further discussed on January 18th
  - Setting of milestones for Profile release / publication: Mr. O’Donnell to add any additional necessary requirements for analysis to the Profile for BC review and comment prior to the next call on January 18th
  - Consideration to forgo the Field Test / Technically Confirmed phase (step 3) by elevating the Profile Public Comment phase (step 2) status, and releasing the Profile for public use at this new “publication” or public release phase
    - While it is possible that some funds may be available for a Field Test, this has not yet been confirmed, though Dr. Sullivan is to follow up regarding potential NIH resources
  - A “registry” approach was another suggestion for moving the Profile forward
    - This may involve the use of consensus standards which are not quantitatively-supported, and would require revision to the claim language
    - Scans would be collected that are a) acquired in a way that is Profile-compliant and b) helpful to QIBA in terms of evaluating whether Profile-compliant scans meet the claim
    - This approach would allow for QIBA statistical design and analysis related to the data collection effort
      - Substantial and targeted marketing would be needed to alert the community that this is happening, and hopefully drive data submission
      - Support of the Process Committee would be needed
- These topics and others will be discussed beginning in mid-January prior to any official action

**Action item:** Mr. O’Donnell to update the Profile for BC comment and review prior to the next call on January 18th

**Next Call:** Monday, Jan. 18th at 11 am CT | 2016 planning and review of RSNA 2015 discussions at QIBA Working Meeting
Review of the Profile and Next Steps