QIBA Ultrasound Shear Wave Speed (SWS) Biomarker Committee (BC)

Wednesday, May 11, 2022; 2 PM CT Call Summary

In attendance RSNA

David Fetzer, MD (Co-Chair)J. Brian Fowlkes, PhDMark Palmeri, MD, PhDJoe KoudelikStephen McAleavey, PhD (Co-Chair)Nancy Obuchowski, PhDMichael Wang, PhD, MAScJulie Lisiecki

Jun Chen, PhD Kevin O'Donnell, MASc Keith Wear, PhD Todd Erpelding, PhD, MSE Arinc Ozturk, MD

Moderator: Dr. Fetzer

VOTE PASSED: US CC Consensus Ballot

- The US Coordinating Committee (CC) vote-to-publish the revised Profile at the Consensus stage was successful.
- The ballot closed at EOB on Tuesday, May 3 with a majority (11 votes) in favor to release the Profile (N=19), with 0 "no" votes or abstentions.
- The Consensus Profile and Checklist have been posted to the <u>Profiles QIBA Wiki page</u>.
- The Public Comment resolution document is still needed for wiki posting.

The following were discussed:

- Publication / advertising of the Profile as available for use
- Technical Confirmation planning, aka Profile feasibility testing
- Suggestions for two potential publications to be submitted to the *Journal of Ultrasound in Medicine (JUM)*:
 - 1. "How to" use the Profile and Checklist
 - 2. Why certain checklist items were included to provide rationale to users to aid with understanding

Action items (general/ongoing):

- Co-chairs to submit a <u>Public Comment Resolution document</u> for wiki posting
- Co-chairs to prepare a manuscript outline for the next call and ask for volunteers to draft sections
- Minor revisions to the Profile based on feedback, e.g., vendor edits to appendices, changes of company affiliation
- Vendors will use the SRU guidance to adjust machines to meet prescribed interpretation and decrease variability
- A second manuscript to be considered with clinical insights after feasibility testing observations are compiled
- Include reference to Dr. Palmeri's manuscript in JUM, January 2021

Action items (feasibility testing):

- Dr. Fetzer to add suggested site opinion columns to the checklist for feasibility testing:
 - 1. Did you conform? (y/n)
 - 2. Do you think this is feasible / practical on a regular basis? (y/n)
 - 3. Site feedback for all "No" responses
- RSNA staff to send checklist with opinion columns to BC and invite anyone interested to participate in feasibility testing
- Dr. McAleavey to reach out to network colleagues at Rochester General
- Dr. Ozturk to reach out to network colleagues in Boston

Next Steps per *QIBA Process Committee*

- Plan for Technical Confirmation (Stage 3) will require at least 3 volunteer sites to fill out the checklist demonstrating feasibility of following the Profile (a Google form would be ideal)
- Process links: http://qibawiki.rsna.org/index.php/Process

Next call – Wednesday, June 8th at 2 pm CT {2nd Wednesdays of the month}

Additional detailed notes provided by Dr. McAleavey

Next steps:

- Profile is posted to QIBA Wiki
- SMcA needs to complete comment resolution

Technical conformance:

- Need at least 3 volunteers
 - o Read the checklist and do it
 - If can't figure it out fix checklist
 - If "you really think we can do that" get comments and fix list
 - Take notes points of confusion, "what's the point of this," suggestions, etc.
 - Extra columns:
 - Did you conform? (Y/N)? If no, why?
 - Would you do this on a regular basis? (Y/N)? If no, why?
 - David will fix up checklist with these columns and share (via Julie?)

Paper:

- Options
 - 1. Here's profile and reasoning behind it
 - How the committee has modeled the physics
 - Experiments, groundwork studies & results
 - Why the decisions were made as they were
 - Still testing to be done
 - Here's the profile and how to run it
- Notes from discussion:
 - Probably better to hold off on the "hot to run it" paper (2) until we get feedback from technical confirmation sites
 - o Proceed with outline for paper (1) and share with group for next call